Public Works and Safety Committee
Standing Committee Meeting Agenda
Monday, July 21, 2014
5:00 PM

Location:
Municipal Office Building
701 N 7th Street
Kansas City, Kansas 66101
5th Floor Conference Room (Suite 515)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Mike Kane, Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Hal Walker</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Tarence Maddox</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Angela Markley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Jane Philbrook</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Bryant - BPU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I . Call to Order / Roll Call

II . Approval of standing committee minutes from May 12, 2014.

III . Committee Agenda

Item No. 1 - RESOLUTION: FY14 JAG APPLICATION

Synopsis:
Request approval of a resolution to submit a grant application in the amount of $102,775 to the US Dept. of Justice for the Edward Byrne Memorial FY2014 Justice Assistance Grant Program (JAG) to fund the Active Shooter Response Preparedness Project, submitted by Lisa Stimet, PSBO/Police/Sheriff. This is 100% grant funded; no impact on the General Fund.

Tracking #: 140231
Item No. 2 - RESOLUTION: RENAME PORTION OF NORTH 7TH STREET IN FAIRFAX

Synopsis:
Recommend approval of the request from Fairfax Industrial Association and Fairfax Drainage District to officially rename a portion of North 7th Street (900' north of the intersection of Kindleberger Road) to Fairfax District Way, submitted by Bill Heatherman, County Engineer.
Tracking #: 140237

Item No. 3 - APPOINTMENTS: COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS ADULT ADVISORY BD.

Synopsis:
Request approval of the list of nominees for appointment to the Community Corrections Adult Advisory Board as recommended by the Mayor and Community Corrections staff, submitted by Phil Lockman, Community Corrections.
Tracking #: 140247

Item No. 4 - PRESENTATION: INTEGRATED OVERFLOW CONTROL PLAN

Synopsis:
Presentation and update on the control of overflows from the UG's combined sewer and separate sewer systems, presented by Bill Heatherman, County Engineer.

For information only. No action required.
Tracking #: 140236

IV. ADJOURN
The meeting of the Public Works and Safety Standing Committee was held on Monday, May 12, 2014, at 5:00 p.m., in the 5th Floor Conference Room of the Municipal Office Building. The following members were present: Commissioner Kane, Chairman; Commissioners, Walker, Maddox, Markley, Philbrook; and BPU Board Member Bryant.

Chairman Kane called the meeting to order. Roll call was taken and all members were present as shown above.

Approval of standing committee minutes for March 24, 2014. On motion of Commissioner Markley, seconded by Commissioner Maddox, the minutes were approved. Motion carried unanimously.

Committee Agenda:

Item No. 1 – 140152… REQUEST: CNIP MONEY FOR DISTRICT 7

Synopsis: Request from Commissioner Walters that District 7 receive a full funding equal to other districts for Phase II CNIP dollars.

Commissioner Walters said I’m here to propose a modification to a resolution that’s currently in place. The resolution modification I’m requesting is that all CNIP monies be distributed equally among commissioners. Currently, the resolution states that District 7’s allocation be reduced. I don’t believe that was the intent of the resolution when initially authored, but during discussions of the final resolution, it resulted in District 7 having a reduced share.

I have some information and I will be glad to talk about CNIP. I’m sure staff members here have the same information. Essentially it’s a $2M set-aside direct to commissioners. There were 10 allocations so roughly $200,000 per commissioner was the plan. The last time those funds were distributed, because of the reduction to District 7 each of the other 9 districts got about $10,000 more so roughly $210,000 and District 7, received about $100,000 less than the
other 9 commissioners. I wasn’t around when that discussion took place and all the logic that went with that. I think I could say without having done a lot of research that revenues from District 7 are probably not the highest and probably not the lowest of any other district, and there are plenty of opportunities to spend CNIP money in District 7 as there are in other districts as I believe I shared with you in my virtual tour of District 7.

**Commissioner Markley** said I’ll just say for historical reference, some of you weren’t here when we went through the CNIP process initially. I can say with certainty that none of the other commissioners brought up reducing this district’s CNIP dollars. Actually, Commissioner Walters’ predecessor is the one who said I don’t have all of my area in the city so take some of my money. Of course all, the other commissioners said yes, we will take some of your money. We sure weren’t going to turn it down. Actually wasn’t something that was brought forward by any other member of the commission. It was Commissioner Walters’ predecessor who sort of offered up the holy grail of the extra $10,000. That’s how it happened historically and how that district came to be shorted.

**BPU Board Member Bryant** asked in land size compared to other districts, how large is District 7 that’s actually within the UG part. **Commissioner Walters** said I don’t know. District 7 is one of the larger districts, but I don’t know what percentage of it in land area is Kansas City, KS. It’s roughly, I think, 35% of the population of District 7 is in Kansas City, KS, if that helps.

There’s several ways to look at that of course. These are monies that are collected and spent. Part of District 7, obviously, is in Edwardsville; part of it is in Bonner Springs. Well, there are not a lot of places to spend money in Edwardsville. There’s one very good barbeque restaurant. There are a couple of gas stations but most of the people in Edwardsville spend their money in Kansas City, KS. Grocery stores, car dealerships, furniture stores, all that stuff exist in Kansas City, KS, not in Edwardsville. Similarly with Bonner Springs, there are more retail opportunities there but most of the expenditures, again, are in Kansas City, KS.

I did a very scientific survey and looked at my MasterCard charges for the last 6 months and I found that I spent over 80% of my money that was spent in the county was spent in Kansas City, KS. Even though there’s some geography that’s outside of Kansas City, KS, a lot of the
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people that are not within Kansas City, KS, but in District 7 contribute a lot to the overall economics of Kansas City.

**BPU Board Member Bryant** asked does CNIP money get used for projects that are in Edwardsville and Bonner Springs proper. **Commissioner Walters** said no, only in Kansas City. **BPU Board Member Bryant** said that’s more why I was asking about the land mass. I mean I’m very unknowledgeable about the CNIP program in whole. I understand it was like parks, sidewalks and things like that. I was looking at landmass dollar wise versus the amount of landmass that is going to be in another area. Are they much larger and, therefore, almost more fitting that there be more expenditures required to keep them up to pace.

**Bob Roddy, Public Works Director,** said to answer your earlier question, it’s about 50% outside of Kansas City and about 50% inside. If you look at the dollars, it splits it along that direction. Many of our commission districts are—none of them are the same size. Every one of them is different. That’s correct; the CNIP money would be spent in the KCK portion.

**Action:** **Commissioner Walker** made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Markley, to adopt that all commissioners receive the same allocation of CNIP money.

**Commissioner Maddox** said maybe I didn’t hear it well or maybe a member of staff can answer, once we do make the move to vote and distribute the funds equally across each district, how much total will it be in each district? **Mr. Roddy** said well, currently, if this proceeds, it’ll be $200,000 for each commissioner and then we have the issue of the At-Large that is currently empty. That’s not been discussed this evening. Currently, with the rules we have in place right now, if this proceeds to full commission adoption, then I would say each commissioner has $200,000. **Commissioner Maddox** said roughly it would be about a $20,000 reduction per district. **Commissioner Walters** said it’s more like $10,000. **Commissioner Maddox** said alright. Commissioner Walters is our buddy and I don’t think we mind doing that.

Roll call was taken and there were six “Ayes,” Bryant, Philbrook, Markley, Maddox, Walker, Kane.
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Item No. 2 – 140155…ORDINANCE: SANITARY SEWER BUY-IN CONNECTION FEE

Synopsis: An ordinance establishing a sanitary sewer buy-in connection fee to partially reimburse the UG for the design and construction cost of sanitary sewer utility improvements south of Leavenworth Road, 115th to 119th Street, submitted by Misty Brown, Legal.

Bob Roddy, Public Works Director, said I’m Misty’s stand-in with Ken. February 20, 2014, the commissioners approved the development agreement for our Village West Apartments Phase II.

As part of that agreement, the UG committed to constructing a 9,000 ft.—the 9,000 ft. of sewer line will run approximately from—this is Parallel here. This is 110th. This green line, that’s the new line that would be installed. The ordinance before you tonight basically places a surcharge on anyone who connects to this sewer in the future. The concept is somewhat like having the people that would be using this sewer in the future to pay for part of it. Water Pollution Control, as a general expense, will still pay over 50% of the cost. It’s still a bargain, I believe, for the
people that would be connecting in the future. This will open up approximately 800, 900 acres for future development.

That’s the concept. There will be an additional charge of approximately $400 per residential connection which still makes our connection fees quite low. Our connection fee would be $960 plus the $400 some odd dollars. Johnson County, for example, charges something in excess of $2,000 for a sewer connection.

**Action:** Commissioner Walker made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Markley, to approve and forward to full commission. Roll call was taken and there were six “Ayes,” Bryant, Philbrook, Markley, Maddox, Walker, Kane.

**Item No. 3 – 140157…MOU: TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEM**

**Synopsis:** Request approval of a memorandum of understanding with the BPU regarding the operations, construction, and maintenance of the traffic signal system, submitted by Bill Heatherman, County Engineer.

Bill Heatherman, County Engineer, said I have Ms. Lideana Laboy, our City Traffic Engineer, with me. This item really memorializes a revised understanding that BPU and Unified Government staff have been developing over the years with how we handle traffic signal maintenance and capital expenditures. The Board of Public Utilities has for many decades provided the operation and maintenance of our traffic signal system. I think it grew out historically as an electric utility. They had specialized expertise and knowledge there. We provided the transportation engineering and the transportation side of the house if you will.

The previous agreement was actually written in a letter in 1968 that was to kind of clarify things. I guess we managed to make it forty years plus without needing to revisit it. It basically kind of split things down the middle as we’ll provide the labor and installation as BPU and the Unified Government side was to buy the equipment.

The reality is modern construction and the complication of systems is that didn’t really work that well in capital projects. It really just made it very difficult for both organizations to plan and schedule. As a de facto matter, we kind of evolved to where BPU really provided the maintenance side of the house pretty much as if we were hiring someone to help us do that.
activity. On capital instruction, we would just undertake the higher and build on—have all the work done. This MOU primarily memorializes that understanding, plus it formalizes a governance committee that just clarifies the kind of coordination that we had long done and wished to make sure that everyone realizes that we need to continue doing in the future.

**Commissioner Walker** asked has the Board of Public Utilities seen this MOU and agreed to it. **Mr. Heatherman** said I’m not sure if the board itself has formally seen it yet. I know the senior staff of both organizations have been working on this sometime and I think were both carrying it through concurrently. **BPU Board Member Bryant** said I guess I can answer that. It hasn’t come before the board itself but I did have a discussion today with Bill Johnson regarding it. What I got from our conversation was it’s my understanding this MOU is meant to clarify responsibilities as we address the needs of our community. I believe the desire is to create a cost sharing agreement that is fair to both parties and can serve as a guide for future generations. I would imagine it would probably come before the board but I can’t speak for the entire board. I’m pretty sure that it’s going to come to us more as information than a vote form.

**Commissioner Walker** asked how does this apportion cost. Is this just memorializing the existing relationship or are there new wrinkles to this that are going to come back as additional costs on the BPU that they’ve not previously incurred? **Mr. Heatherman** said we don’t really believe it’s going to create any new cost for BPU. What it does do is releases them from the labor obligations related to constructing new traffic signals. We, the Unified Government, simply take that on as part of our bids. They continue to provide the spare parts and the replacement parts. In reality, they have provided that through their inventory control. Neither organization has ever kept a detailed grocery list of who did what when. Both of us have kind of worked from year to year based on kind of the operational understandings we have. In fact, this MOU was initiated in part by Mr. Johnson who really wanted to bring some formality to what had evolved over the years. It was really at BPU’s initiation and we agreed wholeheartedly that we ought to put this down on paper.

**Commissioner Walker** asked in the future, we’ll be presented with an annual report or some kind of periodic report whether annual or biannual of who did what, when and where so we can
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determine—this issue comes up periodically as to the “free services” that were provided by the Board of Public Utilities. That has always been a concern of mine dating back when I worked here. It’s very difficult to get a handle on the extent of those free services if they are, in fact, free that without any recordkeeping as to the amount of the time and cost. I guess what I’m saying is even though I move to approve this, I hope that there’s some kind of accounting for each unit so that in the future we can look back and say, well, in the next year or whatever year we’re looking at, we have a real handle on the extent to what services are provided either by the UG or the Board of Public Utilities, an accounting if you would.

Mr. Heatherman said I think, Mr. Walker, the idea of having better annual records of what we’ve done and more transparent kind of report amongst each other and better communications is one of the goals of this MOU. We have a quarterly meeting now between senior staff where we try to keep track of the initiatives and the requests that have been made from us by either board. This provision certainly provides for annual reporting. We’ve not discussed any particular level of detail or format. I think it’s the intent of both organizations to be able to have that look back better in the future.

Commissioner Walker said my hope is you’ll be able to tell me in three years the cost that we contributed to the lights and the cost that was contributed by the Board of Public Utilities, quantified in some way. Hours don’t mean anything if you don’t have a number. How much at the bottom line does it take for the Board of Public Utilities to do this? Bob Roddy, Public Works Director, said to clarify, this is for traffic lights. It’s not for streets. Commissioner Walker said I understand; no street lights. We have an issue on street lights too, do we not? How we’re going to adapt them to the future LED versus—I understand we have other issue but this could be a good prototype for how we deal with all aspects of that relationship. Mr. Heatherman said yes, in fact that’s actually the intent is to bring a companion to this memorandum here forward when we finish tying up a few loose ends. It would largely follow the same principal of shared responsibilities, capital versus maintenance.

BPU Board Member Bryant said, you know, I think we had a discussion about this when we had the joint meeting a while back. I kind of look at it as whether the bill is coming from the UG
or the bill is coming from the BPU. It’s a cost to our citizens to live in our city. I try not to separate them any more than I have to because it’s just one or the other. It’s my hope and kind of my understanding from Mr. Johnson that this is, in the long run, supposed to help facilitate to lower the overall costs because there’s less confusion and more direction. Hopefully, there won’t be duplicity along the way. At the end of the day, the total dollars spent to continue the programs that are needed for traffic signals will hopefully have a total lower cost to our residents. **Mr. Heatherman** said yes, sir, whether it’s lower cost or wider reach, either way we’ll be more efficient if each team does what it does best and doesn’t need to get in the way of the other one.

**Action:** Commissioner Walker made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Markley, to approve and forward to full commission. Roll call was taken and there were six “Ayes,” Bryant, Philbrook, Markley, Maddox, Walker, Kane.

**Item No. 4 – 140158…UPDATE: MARC TRANSPORTATION & TIGER GRANT**

**Synopsis:** MARC Transportation and Federal TIGER Grant updates, submitted by Bill Heatherman, County Engineer.

**Bill Heatherman, County Engineer,** said this is just a second update. Actually, it’s probably more than second by this time on our process for applying for Mid America Regional Council administered funds for federal aid for transportation as well as the TIGER Grant program. We were here in March just right before the applications went in.
TIGER Applications

- Considered 3 locations, Decided No Go on each:
  - Village West and State Ave. Interchange
  - KU Medical Center Area Transportation
  - Turner Diagonal Area

- KDOT and MARC Submitted Regional Applications, Which We Supported:
  - I-35 Moving Forward
  - KC Workforce Connect (Job Access/Transit)

- Considerations: TIGER is major investment, best suited to Regional Applications and Special Angles. KU could benefit from both KDOT and MARC efforts. UG would need more pre-planning at KU to sustain a self-administered planning grant.

With regards to TIGER, in March we discussed three possible ideas with you, Village West & State Interchange, KU Medical Center Area Transportation Planning and the Turner Diagonal area. Even at that meeting we kind of indicated the bar that we would have to overcome to make a credible TIGER application and the amount of resources it takes to put into a TIGER Grant application.

For those of you that don’t know, TIGER is this major national competitive application process for transportation funds in the many millions of dollar range and tends to be very, very competitive and requires very specific type of criteria to be advanced. We ended up determining that really none of our projects were quite at the ready of planning or would meet the cost benefit rigors the way that TIGER scores them. Really, for the limited staff resources we have we’re better spent doing our own planning in the future than going after this TIGER grant.

At the same time; however, KDOT and Mid-America Regional Councileach came forward with regional type applications which we do think actually hit some of the same concerns and goals. We gladly signed on and gave our letter of support. Quite frankly, it was probably good that we were out of the competition because probably no more than one TIGER to any major metropolitan regional area is going to be very likely.

I-35 moving forward is the name KDOT gave to their major study of I-35. The specific application they put forward is the next programmed phase. The biggest component would be the 75th St. & I -35 in Johnson County, although that bottleneck does certainly impact folks that
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are trying to get further north on I-35 and many of our KU faculty and staff are quite dependent on I-35 as a means of commuting. It also would include bus on shoulder extension into Wyandotte County, operated by Johnson County transit. Some ramp metering and other intelligent transportation type controls that KDOT believes is going to be necessary in order to keep good quality free flow and accident management down during peak hours. Overall, it’s the next step forward. Some of the more dramatic construction for Wyandotte County would be in the step beyond this one. KDOT didn’t feel they were ready to apply for funds for that by getting this work out of the way, it just hastens the day that we can continue to see even more major capital investment in I-35.

The second one is a planning grant headed by Mid America Regional Council that’s really going to focus on job access and connections via transit. The connections there for many of our citizens, for KU Medical Center are quite obvious. Mid America Regional Council understands the synergies in Wyandotte County. Both of these seem to be good applications, probably score better than anything we would have been able to come up with on our own and seems like just a good way forward. That’s where we stand with TIGER. I’ll take any questions there before I move on to the next.

**MARC Applications**

- Submitted in March. 3 Different Fund Programs. Locations Previously Discussed with Committee
- 178 Applications Submitted from All Jurisdictions, Kansas and Missouri
- STP the largest element, has $76 Million in applications chasing $24 Million in funds.
- CMAQ and TAP programs also competitive.
- MARC Committees work until Oct on Selection

For Mid-America Regional Council, when we were here in March, we showed you the projects that we were looking to apply for. We did apply for all those projects. For the program as a whole, there were 178 applications submitted between Kansas and Missouri to the Mid-America
Regional Council. That’s probably a record for them. The way this particular round was structured, there was a lot more money and more programs in play. STP stands for Service Transportation Program. That’s the largest element and for Kansas alone there is $76M in applications chasing about $24M in funds. This is going to be very competitive. CMAQ, is Congestion Mitigation Air Quality. TAP, is Transportation Alternatives which is the bikes, trails safe routes to school, some transit type programs. Those are also very competitive. The Mid-America Regional Council has a very long and complex process really that they go through to handle all these applications and vet them. We will not have final approved project awards until October.

UG Projects Submitted for MARC Federal Funds

- Route 107, Southern Extension (operations)
- Route 107, Bus Stop/Station Improv.
- Leavenworth Road, 63rd to 38th
- Minnesota Avenue, 9th to 5th
- Central Avenue and 18th Street Intersection
- 12th/10th Street Bikeway, Metropolitan to Quindaro

This is just a listing of the projects that we did apply for. We have two transit projects, Route 107 which is the 7th St. Transit Route, the southern extension to the new Mission transit operation to make the connection to Johnson County transit as well as bus stop and station upgrades all along 107. We’ve talked about Leavenworth Rd., 63rd to 38th. Minnesota Ave., 9th to 5th, which we did apply for although, obviously, the other conversation since then may mean that portion of the project accelerates through other means. It’s in the works and its being evaluated. Central and 18th St. intersection, the 10th to 12th St. bikeway, Metropolitan to Quindaro and safe routes to school which we ended up packaging kind of two sets of three schools each and then also the walking school bus, which is a non-construction educational.
oriented effort that ties what we call the soft side of walking, school bus and safe routes to school together.

UG Projects Submitted for MARC Federal Funds (continued)

- Safe Routes to Schools
  - T.A. Edison, Midland Trails, Hazel Grove
  - Frank Rushton, W.A. White. Noble Prentis
  - Walking School Bus Programs (non-construction)

Edwardsville:
- Edwardsville Drive, Phase 2

I mentioned also that the city of Edwardsville did also submit an application for Edwardsville Dr., what they’re calling Phase II. From Wyandotte County as a whole, there are 10 applications in. This just gives you a little feel for the location of those projects.

Proposed MARC-funded Projects

This is the same slide as last time except we added a star for Edwardsville and Route 107 is served here off of 7th St.
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Route 107, this is just a little graphic that gives you a better feel. The current Route 107 is the light blue cyan line and right now it ends right around Rainbow and Adams St. right close to my house. This will come a little further in dimension. I’ve taken Route 107 and it’s perfect. It drops me off right here at the front door. We have good transit service.

A little on funding, this is a very competitive program and there are a lot of factors that go in. The size of a community and kind of its share of the metropolitan population is taken into account. There’s kind of an equity discussion that gets held so that no particular jurisdiction
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gets left too far in the cold—there’s kind of a limit of how well you’re going to do no matter what list of projects you send up.

If we won the lottery, if we got the federal funds to the maximum for everything that we submitted it would take—we would get $14.2M. That’s not going to happen. Typically, most applications have kind of a 20% cut taken right off the top that says we need to spread this money out a little bit more. Probably $11.4M would still be a really good situation for us. If we got that much, it would take about another $11M to do all the match, design and do the right-a-way, which is 10% on us. Realistically, when we go after these federal funds we mentally think of it as about a 50/50 when you’re finally all said and done.

What I would say if we broke even. If I just took our population against the amounts of money available and said, you know we ought to be able to compete to that level, we’d probably be at about $7.8M and a $7.8M match. That’s an analysis that’s not really specific to exactly which projects scored the highest or lowest. That’s just a sense of the range that we might be in.

Kansas STP Applications

This gives you a sense of just the geographic distribution throughout the metropolitan area. We submitted the most. That ought to count for something.
Next Steps

• Applications available for public comment
  Visit www.marc2.org/tr-call to Browse Projects
• MARC Staff scoring & eligibility review
• Modal Committee Workshop
  June 3, 2014 – Kauffman Center
• Programming Committee Prioritization
  June – August 2014
• TTPC & MARC Board Approval
  October 2014

The next steps, I’m curious, did most of the commissioners get the email from me that had the link to the applications. Commissioner Markley said I sent it out to my complete mailing list. I just checked, there are 14 comments under Phase D Safe Route for Schools. Mr. Heatherman said I was accused. It was hinted at a recent meeting that perhaps Unified Government was orchestrating a comment response. I demurred but certainly we’re not going to get a project based on having the most comments. This is the first time that Mid-America Regional Council has made the applications this public and created that comment. I certainly think that it helps when the various committees go to look at these applications when they see how important it is to that local community. Any encouragement you can to have folks comment is probably very helpful to us. Mid-America Regional Council has a number of processes that are going; however, the most important one is on June 3, 2014. They’re having a major workshop at the Kaufman Center where the members of all the different committees are coming together to look at these projects. The public is able to attend. Certainly, you are commissioners if you wish to see that process, let me know and we can make arrangements. The programming plays out through some other processes and by October we should know.

Action: No action required.
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Item No. 5 –140160…REQUEST: COMMUNITY AMERICA BALLPARK CAPITAL PROJECTS

Synopsis: Communication requesting approval of the 2014 capital expenditure program for Community America Ballpark, submitted by Bob Roddy, Public Works Director.

Bob Roddy, Public Works Director, said when the Unified Government purchased the baseball stadium there was approximately $2M - $2.5M set aside for future capital construction. The commission instructed staff to come back and review with them and get approval for any expenditure as they proceed. Because of the timing the future expenditures were not taken through a CMIP process. However, considering where we are and the stadium is already going to be used, their opening game is this week, we need 2014 dollars to basically do some improvements before the season ends and before the ultimate CMIP budget is adopted for future years at the baseball stadium. As a result, we put together a budget that I believe you’ll see as a budget change. I believe the total is approximately $90,000 for 2014. Most of the expenditures are rather common for what you would think goes into—there’s money for roof failures. There are holes in some of the roof sections. Some of the fencing needs to be repaired. We’re repairing some of the stairwells going up to the stadium second floor. We have money set aside for some profession engineering. There’s some concrete subsidence out in the outer buildings and we want to see what’s going on. We believe that these dollars would allow us to proceed with some of the work as soon as possible and make sure nothing deteriorates any further.

Commissioner Markley said when we went through with this deal we anticipated certain maintenance costs as part of the deal. Is this within the amount that we expected to be spending? Mr. Roddy said yes. When they submitted their list and the list we reviewed with them, all these items were included.

Action: Commissioner Philbrook made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Markley, to approve and forward to full commission. Roll call was taken and there were six “Ayes,” Bryant, Philbrook, Markley, Maddox, Walker, Kane.

May 12, 2014
Item No. 6 – 140167…REQUEST: NAMING OF ATHLETIC FIELD

Synopsis: Communication requesting to name the athletic field at 9th & Parallel as Adrion Roberson Athletic Field, submitted by Commissioner Maddox.

Commissioner Maddox said in light of the CNIP project that came along, my CNIP project to say the least, was a field located at 9th & Parallel. Since making that decision, I’m thankful that the staff went with me to the school district to make sure we could reacquire the field that was actually in the school district’s grasp moving forward into late last year. I decided to put the majority of my CNIP funds into that field which would require resodding, fences, bleachers, scoreboard and all those things that are needed to create a good feel in our community.

This field was important because as I got in office, probably the second complaint to not having a grocery store was actually lack of fields for young people to practice during the summer. In in the Fourth District there’s Kensington, there’s a few fields and often when soccer season comes around along with the football season there are spit spats, fights, you name it between parents because there are not adequate practice times for teens. Moving forward with that in Wyandotte County I don’t think there’s an actual football field/soccer field that would service the young people who play football. Upon doing my research, come to find out most of the teams that play football in Wyandotte County, which are thousands of students would have to travel outside of the Wyandotte County limits to play football, whether it be in Johnson County or in Missouri.

Being able to put this field forward and having a place for those young people to play in their own backyard where we can nurture talent and character, this field was essential. Again, I want to thank the staff for assisting me in getting this project done. The field will be named after Adrion Roberson, which to my knowledge has coached, created teams and leagues probably dating more than 30 years. He currently has a league, Kansas City United, that reaches over 1,000 students yearly during the football season. This field will be named after him for being a dedicated servant to the community, being dedicated to the young men and creating character and nurturing healthy young people.

I think he well deserves it; therefore, coming to us tonight is to name this field after Adrion Roberson, again, which has devoted more of his time than possible. It probably predates my age. Ever since I could remember when I first started playing football he was the coach and
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now he has created a league again that service thousands of people. This was important. I hope we will support this field in the future as a city because again it’s the only one I know that’s dedicated to football. We have thousands of young men in our city who play football yearly. No longer do they have to travel outside of the city limits unless they like to because they can play football in their own backyard. I want to thank you guys.

Action: Commissioner Maddox made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Philbrook, to approve and forward to full commission. Roll call was taken and there were six “Ayes,” Bryant, Philbrook, Markley, Maddox, Walker, Kane.

Public Agenda

Item No. 1 – 140159...APPEARANCE: ANN MURGUIA REGARDING DONATION OF LAND

Synopsis: Appearance of Ann Murguia, ANDA Director, regarding the donation of land and cash from ANDA to the UG for a public safety building to be located in Argentine.

Ann Murguia, ANDA Director, said tonight I’m not here as the commissioner of the Third District but I’m here as the Executive Director for the Argentine Neighborhood Development Association which is a 501C3 not-for-profit. What we do is community development and urban revitalization predominately in the Argentine neighborhood right here in Kansas City, KS. I have brought with me the press release that I sent out tonight in regards to the donation that we’d like to make to the Unified Government.

It really talks to it better than I could. I’m just going to go ahead and read a portion of it. It says the Argentine Neighborhood Development Association is proud to announce their donation of over $675,000 in cash contributions, property, and park and community recreational improvements to the Unified Government of Wyandotte County. These contributions include the donation of the property valued at $0.5M where the new proposed public safety facility will be built; $10,000 cash contribution to the Kansas City, KS Police Department for new interior equipment for their offices which will hopefully be located at the new public safety campus.

Improvements to Vega field, including three new park benches and three concrete pads for existing benches, new interior lighting for our restroom facility, improved tennis courts at Emerson Park, which include resurfacing of the courts, new fencing, nets and lighting. These
substantial contributions and improvements were made possible by leveraging the multi-million dollar redevelopment project that ANDA is engaged in on the 17 acre Brownfield site located in Argentine. You all might be familiar with it as the new Walmart market. ANDA is very proud of the infrastructure improvements, the community health programs and the commercial and residential developments that Argentine has experienced in the last several years through ANDA’s hard work. These have included raising $1.6M in charitable funds to build and open a new Save-A-Lot grocery store, which you also are very familiar with, located at 2102 Metropolitan Ave.

The new Walmart that is being built now and will open later this summer near the new propose public safety facility and the construction that is taking place right now of 24 units Simmons Villa Senior Housing. My intent tonight is just to create awareness about the impact that the Argentine Neighborhood Development Association is having not only on my district, the Third District but Wyandotte County as a whole. I’m very proud of the work that the neighborhood has been involved in and done. I’m very proud of our ANDA board of directors. I’m not sure, Commissioner Kane, does this require action for you to accept those donations or is this sort of an FYI. Chairman Kane said it takes an action.

**Action:** Commissioner Philbrook, seconded by Markley, to approve. Roll call was taken and there were five “Ayes,” Bryant, Philbrook, Markley, Maddox, and Kane. Commissioner Walker did not vote.

Ms. Murguia said I do have one comment, Chairman Kane, if you will give me just a couple more minutes. I do have just one concern about this donation. You’ll have to excuse me. I’m a little bit frustrated. I think you’re all aware that four years ago we adopted some new ethics rules. Our former mayor and our commissioners adopted those rules. As a result of those rules it has been very difficult financially on ANDA to be able to make this $675,000 donation. For example, we had to retain outside legal counsel in order to be able to make sure ANDA was abiding by the rules because of my dual role as commissioner and the Executive Director of ANDA which cost us approximately $17,000.

According to our new ethics rules we’re not able to use the development fund that was established from ANDA recruiting the new Walmart to pay those fees. I think it is beyond ethics.

*May 12, 2014*
when you are charging a not-for-profit in the community regardless of who’s running it to give money to the Unified Government. That’s my two cents. All that said, it’s also my opportunity to voice my real concerns with our current new ethics that have been going on for four years. I will tell you my bigger concern is as I manage on a day-to-day basis the dual hats I wear as commissioner and the Executive Director of ANDA, I will tell you that it is becoming more and more obvious that the ethics rules are being selectively enforced. These rules are for some people and not for others.

I’m not going to get into those details today but I’m going to ask this community to look into that. All of you are welcome to have access of this maybe couple inch file of all of those situations; probably three–fourths of this which don’t involve me or Argentine Neighborhood Development Association. I would just like to see Wyandotte County continue to move forward where there’s not patronage politics or a good old boys system in where minorities and women are treated equally to everyone else. Unfortunately, there’s a file thick here where that’s not currently happening with our current ethics ordinance. I hope you’ll choose to investigate. It’s sort of a bittersweet night for me. I’m glad to be able to make the contribution to the Unified Government but I would hope that this committee would investigate those. I’m not asking anyone to pick sides or take my word for it. I’m asking for those cases to be investigated.

**Commissioner Walker** said I think the record should reflect that I chose nonvoting because I’m still currently a member of the ANDA board. I’m extraordinarily confused as to when I can and can’t vote on something. I guess accepting a gift from ANDA or a donation is difficult for me to understand how that would be unethical for a board member to agree to that. I chose to err on the side of caution, which to me highlights the problem with ethics. If any of you watched 60 Minutes the other night, there was a story about our congress and how they write ethics. For example, they can’t spend their campaign funds for personal benefit. They can create what’s called leadership packs. These leadership packs don’t fall under the same rule. Contributors give to the leadership pack and then they can use the leadership pack. For example, one congressman toured 18 or 25 of the world’s premier golf courses and play golf with money from that leadership pack.

I think there is a serious need to change some aspects of the ethics code. I’m not among those that are in favor of tossing it all out of the window but I am in favor of changing what’s
wrong it and that’s what we’re supposed to do. If something isn’t working fairly and is discriminatory, I want it changed. I’m willing to take a look at it, although I think probably the committee is administration and governance more by definition the appropriate committee to look at it. But anyway, that’s why I chose to not vote on this, because being a lawyer and I read these things, I’m confused as to when I can vote for anything with the word ANDA in it.

**Commissioner Maddox** said my question is simply, I heard you make the statement that you didn’t feel that the Ethics Department was operating in a fair manner. I also understood that you had a file of documents there. I just want to ask a dual question just to say why don’t you think that they’re operating fairly? Also where are those documents that you may have in that file? We may not ever get to see them. **Ms. Murguia** said you’re welcome to review them all that you want to, anybody here and anybody in the public that’d like to review the discrepancies there. It just seems to be some rules within our ethic code that are enforced for some groups and not for others. What I’ve discovered is there’s a pattern for women and minorities being held to a different standard than others. It’s an ongoing situation and without getting into details, the file is available. I brought it to staff’s attention in particular, administration. It seems to fall on deaf ears. This is a great opportunity to air any misconceptions about ANDA. We don’t receive any Unified Government money. We’re now giving hundreds of thousands of dollars to the Unified Government and now in addition to that, it’s costing us attorney’s fees to be able to do those kinds of things. It just seems like in the big picture, none of that is really good for Wyandotte County. **Commissioner Maddox** said thank you.

My last thing I wanted to say that I haven’t heard anything since but I remember thinking back to April 10, 2014, when we had a special session. A commissioner or two had requested to make a motion about the Ethics Department and it would be placed on a later agenda. Was that decided or was that never brought back to the group? **Ms. Murguia** said I was the one that asked to make a motion in regards to ethics. I was not put on the agenda to make that motion in a public meeting. Yes, that was me and it’s not been allowed to be on the agenda to make a motion.

**Commissioner Philbrook** said I believe at an open commission meeting we did request for some feedback from that committee in particular about what kind of changes that they felt they could tweak to come into compliance with some of the requests we were making. We did
not state when that would be. I think maybe we could ask for something like that; give them a time period and they would report to us. I don’t know what a good time period would be for that. Hal, do you have any ideas? Commissioner Walker said I was under the impression that after the meeting in which the ethics administrator, and I think she is the chairman of the Ethics Commission at the current time, spoke that they were to come back to us with—I can recall identifying certain issues that were troubling to me. There were other comments and they were to take those comments and come back with recommendations and then we were to act on them, create our own or take some form of action. Commissioner Philbrook asked is this the proper format to request something. Chairman Kane said I think that we need to request from Legal to talk to the Ethics Commission and see when they are going to get back with us on the questions we’ve already asked. Commissioner Philbrook said yes. Thank you. Commissioner Walker said I’m sure they have legal help, do they not. Do you have an attorney, Ms. Boeding, assigned to them? Jody Boeding, Chief Counsel, said yes. Commissioner Walker asked who would that be. Ms. Boeding said Ken Moore. Commissioner Walker said Mr. Moore sitting here, he has heard. He can have something to us by the next meeting. Ken Moore, Deputy Chief Counsel, said I will follow up with Ethics Commission and find out the status of that. Commissioner Walker asked would you let us know when they plan to get to this.

Commissioner Maddox said I’ve got one more question, Commissioner Murguia. What are you asking us to do with the file of papers? According to what you spoke of how as an attorney/commissioner is it possible to do it or is it not? Commissioner Walker asked do what. Ms. Murguia said to answer your question I’m not sure it was clear, apparently, because there seems to be about soliciting potential changes from the Ethics Commissions. I’ve made two comments. First of all, in regards to your comment, Commissioner Walker, about throwing ethics out the window. I don’t think any commissioner wanted to see ethics thrown out the window. I think if anything, the commission’s concern is that the standard of ethics have slipped and we’re trying to get it back on track and doing the right thing. I personally thought the suggestion of taking it to the state was a good suggestion because I wanted to save Wyandotte County the embarrassment of selectively enforcing the current rules; however, that didn’t work. At this point what I’d like to see is a review, Commissioner Maddox, to your question. I’d like to see a review of the past four years of the ethics rulings. If there’s no selective enforcement going
on and all the rules are being carried out and it’s a level playing field and everyone is being treated exactly in the same manner, then we have no issue. However, if that’s not happening, I think we have a bigger issue that we won’t here locally be able to solve because I’m sure Commissioner Walker can talk to himself that if there’s discrimination going on, I’m sure in all likelihood there will be a lawsuit. **Commissioner Philbrook** said it’s almost to a point of order really.

**Commissioner Walker** said I just said that about throwing it out the window because after our meeting there was a great deal of dialogue that I came across in social media that had construed either the option of changing the existing ordinance or falling under the state ordinance, eliminating ethics completely. My intent is to say I’m not in favor of eliminating ethical requirements on commissioners. That was more an answer to the general misinformation that certain individuals either heard at the meeting or saw on the television or responding to other social media which I realize is not the official record. This is where we try to make what we’re trying to do a formal thing.

Adjourn

**Chairman Kane** adjourned the meeting at 5:55 p.m.
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**Staff Request for Commission Action**

**Tracking No. 140231**

- [ ] Revised
- [ ] On Going

**Type:** Standard

**Committee:** Public Works and Safety Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>Contact Phone</th>
<th>Contact Email</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Department / Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td>Lisa Stimetz</td>
<td>913-573-5856</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lstimetz@wycokck.org">lstimetz@wycokck.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>PSBO/Police/Sheriff</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Date of Standing Committee Action:** 7/21/2014

(If none, please explain):

**Proposed for the following Full Commission Meeting Date:** 8/7/2014

**Confirmed Date:** 8/7/2014

- [ ] Changes Recommended By Standing Committee (New Action Form required with signatures)

**Item Description:**
The KCK Police Dept. and the Wy Co Sheriff's Dept., together, are seeking approval to apply for the FY14 Justice Assistance Grant (FY14 JAG) in the amount of $102,775 to help fund the Active Shooter Response Preparedness Project. The JAG award will provide first responders with the necessary supplies and equipment to be better prepared to immediately confront an active shooter in the community and to protect and save as many lives as possible. It will also fund training to be provided to those individuals responsible for the financial administration of the FY14 JAG award by attending the US Dept. of Justice Programs Regional Financial Management Training Seminar.

**Action Requested:**
Approve a grant application to the US Dept. of Justice for the Edward Byrne Memorial FY 2014 Justice Assistance Grant Program in the amount of $102,775. Forward request to the Board of Commissioners for approval by adopting the attached resolution at its August 7, 2014 commission meeting.

- [ ] Publication Required

**Budget Impact:** (if applicable)

- **Amount:** $0
- **Source:**
  - [ ] Included In Budget
  - [x] Other (explain) 100% Grant Funded. No Impact on General Fund

---

**Note:**
- Type: Standard
- Committee: Public Works and Safety Committee
- Date of Standing Committee Action: 7/21/2014
- Proposed for the following Full Commission Meeting Date: 8/7/2014
- Confirmed Date: 8/7/2014
- Item Description:
The KCK Police Dept. and the Wy Co Sheriff's Dept., together, are seeking approval to apply for the FY14 Justice Assistance Grant (FY14 JAG) in the amount of $102,775 to help fund the Active Shooter Response Preparedness Project. The JAG award will provide first responders with the necessary supplies and equipment to be better prepared to immediately confront an active shooter in the community and to protect and save as many lives as possible. It will also fund training to be provided to those individuals responsible for the financial administration of the FY14 JAG award by attending the US Dept. of Justice Programs Regional Financial Management Training Seminar.

**Action Requested:**
Approve a grant application to the US Dept. of Justice for the Edward Byrne Memorial FY 2014 Justice Assistance Grant Program in the amount of $102,775. Forward request to the Board of Commissioners for approval by adopting the attached resolution at its August 7, 2014 commission meeting.

- Publication Required

**Budget Impact:** (if applicable)

- **Amount:** $0
- **Source:**
  - [ ] Included In Budget
  - [x] Other (explain) 100% Grant Funded. No Impact on General Fund

---
MEMORANDUM

TO: Public Works and Public Safety Standing Committee
FROM: Lisa Stimetz, Public Safety Business Office
DATE: July 1, 2014
SUBJECT: FY14 Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Application

The KCKs Police Dept. and the Wy. Co. Sheriff’s Dept., together, are seeking approval to apply for the Edward Byrne Memorial FY2014 Justice Assistance Grant (JAG).

Following is a synopsis of the JAG grant application to be considered at the Public Works & Public Safety Standing Committee meeting on July 21, 2014:

**Edward Byrne Memorial FY2014 Justice Assistance Grant (JAG)**

The Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas is eligible for $102,775 from the U.S. Dept. of Justice under the Edward Byrne Memorial FY 2014 JAG Program. This program furthers the efforts of the Dept. of Justice to prevent or reduce crime and violence. This grant is 100% federally funded, therefore, the UG is not required to match funding.

The JAG funds will be used by the KCKs Police Dept. and the Wy. Co. Sheriff’s Dept. to support the Active Shooter Response Preparedness project. Funding will provide first responders with the necessary supplies and equipment to be better prepared to immediately confront an active shooter in the community and to protect and save as many lives as possible. In addition, training will be provided to those individuals responsible for the financial administration of the FY14 JAG Award by attending the US Dept. of Justice Regional Financial Management Training Seminar.

Your consideration in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Thank you.

ls
**Program Narrative**

**Applicant’s Name:** Unified Government of Wyandotte County/ Kansas City Kansas

**Project Title:** Active Shooter Response Preparedness

**Amount Requested:** $102,775

**Program Goal(s):**

To provide first responders with the necessary supplies and equipment to be better prepared to immediately confront an active shooter in the community and to protect and save as many lives as possible.

To provide training to those individuals responsible for the financial administration of the FY14 JAG Award by attending the US Dept. of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Regional Financial Management Training Seminar.

**Statement of Problem:**

In August 2013 members of the Kansas City, Kansas Police Department and Wyandotte County Sheriff’s Department attended Advanced Law Enforcement Rapid Response (ALERRT) Training in San Marcos, Texas. The training consisted of new techniques in responding to an emerging trend across the country, Active Shooter events. Attendees were taught how to “Save as many lives as possible” by immediately confronting the active killer by using a technique called SIM (Security, Immediate Action Plan and Medical). The attendees became trainers in the subject and brought the information back to the Kansas City, Kansas region where members of the Kansas City, Kansas Police Department and Deputies of the Wyandotte County Sheriff’s Department were taught the new skills. The training has spread throughout the departments and been well received. So much so that the Unified Government authorized some of the training be taught to Civilian Employees. This training is known as ADD (Avoid, Deny and Defend also referred to as Run/Hide/Fight).

As a result of this training, members of the two law enforcement agencies are better prepared to confront an active killer in the community. However, there is a weakness in providing first responders with the necessary supplies and equipment to be better prepared to immediately confront an active shooter and to protect and save as many lives as possible.

Our agency lacks equipment such as protective/entry shields and breaching tools. Protective/entry shields would give the officer a higher level of protection when responding to an active shooter. The shields will be placed in the trunks of patrol vehicles. The protective/entry shields will allow the officer to be protected as they rush into the danger zone to save lives. Breaching tools would allow the officer to quickly enter a location of an active shooter vs. standing outside the location attempting to make entry and causing precious seconds being lost on confronting the killer.
Additionally, our agency lacks supplies that would allow first responders to render immediate aid to those injured in an active shooter event. The medical aid consists of officers being able to use what is often referred to as a IFAK (Individual First Aid Kit), also known as combat medical training, where the first responder can render immediate aid with the use of Quick Clot for wounds, tourniquets and pressure bandages. The IFAKs would be placed in each patrol car. In order to use these kits, they would have to be bought and the personnel be trained in the use of them.

**Program Design and Implementation:**

FY14 JAG Program funds will be used to pay for supplies, equipment and training as follows:

- **Protective/Entry shields** will be purchased to better equip the officer with a higher level of protection when responding to an active shooter event. The shields will be placed in the trunks of patrol vehicles. The protective/entry shields will allow the officer to be protected as they rush into the danger zone to save lives.

- **Breaching tools** will allow the officer to quickly enter a location vs standing outside the location attempting to make entry and causing precious seconds being lost on confronting the killer. These breaching tools should consist of at least a medium sized mallet/hammer and pry bar.

- **Individual First Aid Kits (IFAK)** will be purchased to allow first responders to render immediate aid to those injured in an active shooter event. The IFAKs will be kept in the patrol cars.

- **Travel/training expenses** for two staff members to attend the US DOJ OPJ Regional Financial Management Training Seminar. This training is designed for individuals responsible for the financial administration of discretionary/formula awards. Each seminar consists to 2 full days of presentations and financial exercises.

**Timeline:**

The Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas anticipates FY14 JAG funding to resume on October 1, 2014, based on the award amount. Reporting requirements will be met as outlined in the FY14 JAG Local Solicitation. The Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas and the Kansas City, Kansas Police Department will monitor the continued effectiveness and efficiency of the project.
**BUDGET DETAIL WORKSHEET AND BUDGET NARRATIVE**

Edward Byrne Memorial  
FY 2014 Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program  

Applicant’s Name: Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas  
Project Name: Active Shooter Response Preparedness  
Amount Requested: $102,775  

A. Personnel  
None  

B. Fringe Benefits  
None  

C. Travel/Training  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Computation</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Staff Training for 2 staff members | Washington, DC | US DOJ OJP Regional Financial Mgmt Training Seminar | Airfare, Parking, Taxi/ Shuttle: $573/ea x 2 travelers = $1,146  
Lodging: $184/per night x 3 nights x 2 travelers = $1,104  
Meal Per Diem: $53.25/per day for first and last day (day 4) of travel and $71/per day for days 2 & 3 = $248.50 x 2 travelers = $497 | $2,747.00  |

**TOTAL TRAVEL/TRAINING** $2,747.00
D. Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Item</th>
<th>Computation</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protective Shields</td>
<td>$1,836.00/ea x 23 sets = $42,228.00</td>
<td>$42,228.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaching Tools</td>
<td>$250.00/ea x 60 sets = $15,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL EQUIPMENT $57,228.00

E. Supplies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplies Item</th>
<th>Computation</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual First Aid Kits (IFAK)</td>
<td>$100.00/ea x 428 kits = $42,800.00</td>
<td>$42,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL SUPPLIES $42,800.00

F. Construction

None

G. Consultants/Contracts

None

H. Other Costs

None

I. Indirect Costs

None
BUDGET SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Personnel</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Travel/Training</td>
<td>$ 2,747.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Equipment</td>
<td>$ 57,228.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Supplies</td>
<td>$ 42,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Construction</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Consultants/Contracts</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Other</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Direct Costs $102,775.00

I. Indirect Costs $ 0.00

**TOTAL PROJECT COSTS** $102,775.00

Federal Request $102,775.00
BUDGET NARRATIVE

A. Personnel: No funding requested for personnel expenses.

B. Fringe Benefits: No funding requested for fringe benefits.

C. Travel/Training: $2,747.00

Funding is being requested for two staff members of the Unified Government Public Safety Business Office (the Director and the JAG Grant Financial Point of Contact) to attend one of the annual Regional Financial Management Training Seminars sponsored by the US Dept. of Justice, Office of Justice Programs. This seminar is designed for individuals responsible for the financial administration of discretionary/formula awards. Each seminar consists of 2 full days of presentations and financial exercises. Attendance is recommended every two years. There is no registration fee for the seminar. However, attendees are responsible for all costs associated with their participation in the seminar, including transportation, lodging and meals.

D. Equipment: $57,228.00

1. Protective/Entry Shields: $42,228.00

Protective/Entry shields will be purchased to better equip the officer with a higher level of protection when responding to an active shooter event. The shields will be placed in the trunks of patrol vehicles. The protective/entry shields will allow the officer to be protected as they rush into the danger zone to save lives.

2. Breaching Tools: $15,000.00

Breaching tools will allow the officer to quickly enter a location vs standing outside the location attempting to make entry and causing precious seconds being lost on confronting the killer. These breaching tools should consist of at least a medium sized mallet/hammer and pry bar.

E. Supplies: $42,800.00

1. Individual First Aid Kits (IFAK)

In August 2013 members of the Kansas City, Kansas Police Department and Wyandotte County Sheriff’s Department attended Advanced Law Enforcement Rapid Response (ALERRT) Training in San Marcos Texas. The training consisted of new techniques in responding to an emerging trend across the country, Active Shooter events. Attendees were taught how to “Save as many lives as possible” by immediately confronting the active killer by using a technique called SIM (Security, Immediate Action Plan and
Medical). The attendees became trainers in the subject and brought the information back to the Kansas City, Kansas region where members of the Kansas City, Kansas Police Department and Deputies of the Wyandotte County Sheriff’s Department were taught the new skills. The training has spread throughout the departments and been well received. So much so that the Unified Government authorized some of the training be taught to Civilian Employees. This training is known as ADD (Avoid, Deny and Defend also referred to as Run/Hide/Fight). Members of the two law enforcement agencies are better prepared to confront an active killer in the community, however, there is a weakness in the amount of time it could take to render medical aid to injured victims. The medical aid consists of officers being able to use what is often referred to as a IFAK (Individual First Aid Kit), also known as combat medical training, where the first responder can render immediate aid with the use of Quick Clot for wounds, tourniquets and pressure bandages. In order to use these kits, they would have to be bought and the personnel be trained in the use of them.

F. **Construction:** No funding requested for construction.

G. **Consultants/Contracts:** No funding requested for consultants/contracts.

H. **Other Costs:** No funding requesting for other costs.

I. **Indirect Costs:** There are no indirect costs charged to this project.
RESOLUTION NO. ____________________

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS:

That the Board of Commissioners hereby approves of the Kansas City, Kansas Police Department and the Wyandotte County Sheriff’s Department’s submission of a grant application in the amount of $102,775 for the FY14 Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) for funding to purchase equipment and supplies for the Active Shooter Response Preparedness Project and to provide financial management training to those responsible for the financial administration of the grant funds.

ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS,

THIS _____ DAY OF AUGUST, 2014

____________________________________
Unified Government Clerk
Type: Standard  
Committee: Public Works and Safety Committee

Date of Standing Committee Action: 7/21/2014
(If none, please explain):

Proposed for the following Full Commission Meeting Date: Confirmed Date: 8/7/2014

8/7/2014

[ ] Changes Recommended By Standing Committee (New Action Form required with signatures)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Contact Name:</th>
<th>Contact Phone:</th>
<th>Contact Email:</th>
<th>Ref:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/8/2014</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman</td>
<td>573-5416</td>
<td>Bheatherman@wycokck...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Department / Division: Public Works

Item Description:
The Fairfax Industrial Association and Fairfax Drainage District have requested that we officially rename a portion of North 7th Street to “Fairfax District Way”. The purpose would be to increase the prominence of the district identity via street signage on major routes, as more fully explained in their attached letter. This name change would also allow MoDOT to officially list “Fairfax Dist.” on the major highway guide signs along I-635 and US-69 leading into the Missouri River Bridges. MoDOT and KDOT have endorsed this request. The name change would only take place from a point 900 feet north of the intersection of Kindleberger Road – so as not to require any changes in addresses to individual businesses. An illustration of the area affected by this change is attached.

Action Requested:
Staff recommends approval of the attached resolution to change the street name as proposed. Please note that this is a true name change, not an honorary name.

[ ] Publication Required

Budget Impact: (if applicable)

Amount: $
Source: Minor direct costs for new signs

[ ] Included In Budget
[ ✓ ] Other (explain) Policy action.
Dr. Heatherman

The Fairfax Industrial Association requests that the name “7th Street Trafficway” for the area north of Kindleberger in the Fairfax District be changed, but not in a manner that would require changing of the postal address of any current building or existing address. We request that the name “Fairfax Districtway” be used instead.

We specifically request that the current properties at 3327 N 7th Street and 3341 N. 7th Street not be impacted, nor any other address on 7th Street north of Kindleberger.

We make this request to allow signage using the term “Fairfax Districtway,” which would create a clear gateway into our historic district, bring helpful attention to the district as a whole, and improves way-finding for trucks and visitors attempting to find us.

It is our understanding that with this name change approved, the highway exit signs on I-635 will be changed by MoDOT in the future to reflect the new name, “Fairfax Districtway.”

We have visited with businesses and property owners on the street named, have assured them of no negative impact on their business addresses, and have 100% of their support. In addition the FIA Board is also in agreement.

Thank you for considering our request. I am available to answer any questions or attend any meetings necessary.

Chuck Schlittler, Executive Director
Fairfax Industrial Association, Inc
Street Name Change Request
Proposed 5-29-14

Fairfax District Way from Point A to Wyandotte County limits (i.e. KS\MO state line). Approximately 1550 ft.

Point A, which is 900 ft north of Kindleberger Rd.

Street addresses to these 2 buildings to remain off North 7th Street.

New US-69 Bridge to be completed in 2016.

Street Segments Remain North 7th Street

Street addresses to these 2 buildings to remain off North 7th Street.

N 7th St and Kindleberger Rd
RESOLUTION NO. ____________________

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS:

That the County Administrator of Wyandotte County, Kansas is hereby authorized and directed to take the measures necessary to designate the street names as follows:

That a section of street formerly known as North 7th Street from a point 900 feet north of the center of the intersection with Kindleberger Road, thence northerly along the centerline approximately 1,550 feet to the state line between Kansas and Missouri, a point which lies on the bridge over the Missouri River, be known and designated as Fairfax District Way.

ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS

THIS _____ DAY OF ____________________, 2014.

__________________________
UNIFIED GOVERNMENT CLERK
The terms of the community members of the Community Corrections Adult Advisory Board (7 positions) have all expired. The Board of Commissioners makes the appointment of the community members. Community Corrections staff has compiled a list of seven recommended nominees. That list is contained in the attached letter from Ed Brancart, Chair of the Advisory Board, and Phillip Lockman, Director of the Unified Government Community Corrections Department. Five of the nominees are reappointments who have served well in the past and whose continued service is deemed beneficial. Two of the recommended nominees would be new to the Board. The Mayor is recommending appointment of the seven nominees in his attached Memorandum. In an attached Memorandum Chief Counsel Jody Boeding has given her opinion that the statutory and ordinance requirements for the Board's composition are met by the suggested slate of nominees.

Action Requested:
Approve the list of nominees as recommended by the Mayor and Community Corrections staff.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Board of Commissioners
FROM: Mayor Mark Holland
DATE: July 10, 2014
RE: Community Corrections Adult Advisory Board

Attached is a letter dated July 2, 2014, from Ed Brancart, Chairperson of the Adult Community Corrections Advisory Board, and Phillip Lockman, Director of the Communications Department, containing recommendations for appointments to this Advisory Board as well as a list of the current Advisory membership. There are seven positions on the Board which must be appointed by the Unified Government Board of Commissioners. Also attached is a memorandum from Chief Counsel Jody Boeding outlining the statutory requirements for Board composition.

The last time members of this Advisory Board were appointed was 2011. The appointments were considered by the Public Works and Safety Standing Committee. The make-up of the Advisory Board must meet certain statutory requirements as to gender and race and occupation, so that limits the appointments.

To refresh your memory, those statutory requirements include the following:

(1) One member must be an “educational professional”;
(2) The other members must be representative of the following categories
   a. Parole officers
   b. Public or private social service agencies
   c. Ex-offenders
   d. The health care professions
   e. The general public;
(3) At least two members must be representative of ethnic minorities;
(4) No more than 2/3 of the members shall be members of the same sex.
I have reviewed the names of the nominees, and I am submitting the following slate of seven individuals for your consideration as recommended by the Community Corrections staff.

(1) Donald Alsdfur, Associate Professor, Kansas City, Kansas Community College –
    • Education professional, male, Caucasian
(2) Sherrie Watkins-Alvey, Manager, Wyandotte Center –
    • Health care professional, female, Caucasian
(3) Victoria Meyer, Municipal Court Prosecutor --
    • General public, female, Caucasian
(4) Teresa Clardy, Workforce Development Center --
    • Social service agency, female, African-American
(5) Jacqueline Randle, Kansas City, Kansas Housing Authority
    • General public, female, African-American
(6) Pamela Smart, Executive Director, Mt. Carmel Redevelopment Corporation –
    • General public, female, African-American
(7) Sister Carol Ann Petersen, Director, Keeler Women’s Center --
    • Social service agency, female, Caucasian

The first five of these individuals would be re-appointments. The last two would be new to the Board.

Of the five individuals who serve on the Advisory Board by virtue of their positions, two are female and three are male. According to the statute, for a Board of 12 persons, at least four must be of one gender. With the recommended slate and the statutory appointments, the balance would be six women and four men.

Four of the above-listed individuals are Caucasian and three are African-American. The ethnic make-up of the statutory appointments is three Caucasian and two African-American. The total ethnic composition of the recommended slate and the statutory appointments together is seven Caucasians and five African-Americans. Only one individual is an education professional as required by the statute, and that is Professor Alsdfur.

Accordingly, the seven individuals making up the recommended slate of nominees together with the statutory appointments meet the requirements of the statute and the ordinance.
Since these appointments are to be made by the Board of Commissioners, I am submitting this list to you as meeting the legal requirements. If the Commission decides to make any changes, those requirements should be kept in mind.

I recommend that these individuals be approved for appointment to the Community Corrections Adult Advisory Board at the Standing Committee meeting on July 21st and the Full Commission meeting on August 7th.

Attachments
July 2, 2014

Mayor Mark Holland
Unified Government of Wyandotte County/KCKS
701 N. 7th Street, 9th Floor
Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Dear Mayor Holland:

As the Adult Community Corrections Advisory Board our purpose is to "fulfill the responsibilities set out in the Community Corrections Act, K.S.A. 75-5290 et seq., including the continued development, operation, and improvement of an adult community corrections plan for Wyandotte County" as noted in Section 1 of our governing by-law.

The Community Corrections Advisory Board consists of 12 appointed community members. Membership of half the board is prescribed by the statute as criminal justice partner appointments from the judiciary, law enforcement, and prosecutor’s office. The remaining positions are local legislative appointments.

The Board is seeking to fill a vacant position, previously held by local defense counsel Reginald Davis. Mr. Davis’ appointment expired on November 3, 2013.

We also are seeking to fill the vacant position, previously held by Marlon Goff of Community Development. Mr. Goff’s Appointment expired on November 3, 2013.

Five of the Board’s current members, whose appointments are also expired, are requesting your consideration for reappointment. Those members are;

1. Professor Donald Alsdurf from Kansas City, Kansas Community College
2. Sherrie Watkins-Alvey from Wyandotte Mental Health Center
3. Prosecutor Victoria Meyer from Municipal Court
4. Teresa Clardy from the Work Force Center
5. Jacqueline Randle from the Housing Authority.

Each of these members has contributed to the Board’s progress. Their continued service would be beneficial.

Attached is a copy of the K.S.A., the Community Corrections Advisory Board By-Laws, and list of the current appointments.

Community Corrections staff would recommend the following individuals as possible appointees to fill the vacant positions:
Pamela Smart  
Executive Director  
Mt. Carmel Redevelopment Corporation  
1220 Troup Ave  
Kansas City, Kansas 66104

Sister Carol Ann Petersen  
Director  
Keeler Women’s Center  
2220 Central  
Kansas City, Kansas 66102

All identified parties have expressed interest in board representation.

The Community Corrections Board meets monthly making suggestions to the Community Corrections Department. Current Board priorities include recommendations on safe and adequate work environment and addressing the high risk indicators of probationers.

Again, thank you.  

[Signature]  
Ed Brancart  
Chairperson  
Community Corrections Advisory Board

[Signature]  
Phillip Lockman  
Director of Community Corrections

Cc: Criswell, Lockman, Jackson, Sprague, Board members
### Wyandotte County
### Adult Community Corrections
### Advisory Board

812 N. 7th Street, 3rd floor  
Kansas City, Kansas 66101

---

**February 26, 2014**  
Amended April 21, 2014

Mayor Mark Holland  
Unified Government of Wyandotte County/KCKS  
701 N. 7th Street, 9th Floor  
Kansas City, Kansas 66101

RE: Current Advisory Board Membership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appointed By</th>
<th>Representing</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title/Profession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hon. R. Burns</td>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>LT. Tracy McCullough</td>
<td>Sheriff's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County Sheriff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Meyer</td>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>Steve Haulmark</td>
<td>KCKPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chief of Police</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Brancart</td>
<td>Prosecution</td>
<td>Ed Brancart</td>
<td>Assistant DA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>District Attorney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrator</td>
<td>Judiciary</td>
<td>Pat Henderson</td>
<td>Director Court Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqueline Randle</td>
<td>Unified Commission</td>
<td>Judge Robert Burns (Requesting reappointment)</td>
<td>District Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Donald Alsdurf (Requesting reappointment)</td>
<td>Prof / Coordinator Criminal Justice Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vickie Meyer (Requesting reappointment)</td>
<td>Municipal Prosecutor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unified Commission</td>
<td>Sherrrie Watkins-Alvey, LMSW (Requesting reappointment)</td>
<td>Wyandot Center Services Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Haulmark</td>
<td>General-public service</td>
<td>Teresa Clardy</td>
<td>Workforce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unified Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Alsdurf</td>
<td>General-health care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unified Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VACANT</td>
<td>General-social service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unified Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teresa Clardy</td>
<td>General-public service</td>
<td>VACANT (Requesting reappointment)</td>
<td>KCK Housing Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unified Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor Holland and Board of Commissioners
FROM: Jody Boeding
DATE: July 9, 2014
RE: Community Corrections Adult Advisory Board

The Department of Community Corrections has notified staff that the terms of the community members of the Community Corrections Adult Advisory Board have all expired and that these appointments must be approved by the Board of Commissioners.

This Board was created by County Resolution in 1979. The requirements for the Board are set out in Sections 2-531 through 2-535 of the Unified Government Code of Ordinances and Resolutions. The resolution states that the Advisory Board is established under the provisions of state statute, namely, K.S.A. 75-5297, and that the 12 members of the Board are to be selected and appointed according to the dictates of the statute.

Terms of the Advisory Board members are for two years. The Board meets quarterly to review Adult Community Corrections practices to ensure quality services are being provided to the community and to the clients.

Five of the Board positions are designated by statute by virtue of their offices as follows:

(1) the Sheriff
(2) the Chief of Police of the City with the largest population in the County
(3) the District Attorney
(4) the Chief Judge of the Judicial District containing the County
(5) a Court Services Officer designated by the Chief Judge

The remaining seven positions are to be appointed by the Unified Government Board of Commissioners, four in their capacity as County Commissioners and three in their capacity as
the governing body for the City of Kansas City, Kansas. The statute has requirements for the seven appointments to the Advisory Board, which are discussed below.

One member must be an “educational professional.” The other members must be representative of the following categories under K.S.A. 75-5297(b):

(1) parole officers;
(2) public or private social service agencies;
(3) ex-offenders;
(4) the health care professions; and
(5) the general public.

In addition, the statute states that at least two members of the Advisory Board “shall be representative of ethnic minorities and no more than 2/3 of the members . . . shall be members of the same sex.”

In the letter dated July 2, 2014, the Chair of the Advisory Board and the Director of the Community Corrections Department have provided a list of seven individuals they think are qualified under the requirements of the statute. Five of those are re-appointments and two are new appointments.

In my opinion the statutory and ordinance requirements are met by the suggested slate of nominees together with the positions filled by the statutory appointees.
Staff Request for Commission Action

Type: Standard
Committee: Public Works and Safety Committee

Date of Standing Committee Action: 7/21/2014
(If none, please explain):

Proposed for the following Full Commission Meeting Date: 7/10/2014
Confirmed Date: 7/10/2014

Changes Recommended By Standing Committee (New Action Form required with signatures)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>Contact Phone</th>
<th>Contact Email</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Department / Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/8/2014</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman</td>
<td>573-5416</td>
<td>Bheatherman@wycokck...</td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Works</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Item Description:
The “Integrated Overflow Control Plan” or IOCP is the name given to our consolidated plan for control of overflows from our combined sewer and separate sewer systems. This plan is required to meet our permit requirements to KDHE and EPA, as well as the terms of our partial Consent Decree with EPA signed in 2013. The plan will lay out the major capital investments that the City must undertake for several decades, and involve a substantial expenditure of utility funds. For the plan to be successful, it is important that the Commission and public are involved and can speak to the communities priorities. The attached memo outlines our basic ideas on strategy and summarize the key messages that our involvement process will deliver. Staff will give a short presentation on this memo and update on our progress.

Action Requested:
No action required. For information purposes and to provide opportunity for feedback.

Publication Required

Budget Impact: (if applicable)

Amount: $
Source:
- Included In Budget
- Other (explain)
We are undertaking a public involvement program reaching out and communicating to community stakeholders about the Integrated Overflow Control Plan.

**Our goal:** We will develop relationships with stakeholders, instilling trust in and support for the planning process and understanding of the Water Pollution Control IOCP program. We will solicit substantive input by stakeholders, and ensure that stakeholders and the public concerns get fair consideration.

**Stakeholders:**

**UG Employees** -- UG employees will receive information about the IOCP and we will especially communicate to Water Pollution Control staff the importance of the IOCP to the community and their role in implementing it.

**The Public** -- The public will see work being done, changes in their rates and ultimately the benefit of the improvements. The public will receive information about the IOCP in a manner that is straightforward and easily understood. We will explain how they will be engaged and how the feedback the public provides will be used.

**Methods:**

- We will build basic information about the IOCP in a variety of formats using a consistent identity and key messages (for example: fact sheets, video, presentation materials, website, etc).
- We will use existing communications methods the UG already has in place plus present program information to established groups. We will proactively reaching out to stakeholder groups asking if they would like to have a presentation made.

**Key Messages:**

- More stringent regulation and enforcement by federal regulators is commonplace across the country. The Unified Government is taking proactive steps to prepare a plan that meets the regulations but more importantly, meets the needs of our community and our citizens.
• The work of UG Water Pollution Control is part of a national effort to protect our lakes, rivers and streams.
• UG WPC has always been in the business of protecting public health and the environment. The Overflow Control Program is an increased investment in an important community asset – our sewers.
• Ratepayers are making a substantial reinvestment in our aging infrastructure to reduce overflows, create local jobs, enhance our community and protect water quality. Much work is left to be done and the longer it is delayed, the greater the need and cost becomes.
• UG WPC is improving our community and the environment and citizens can take an active role as well.