Call to Order / Roll Call

Approval of standing committee minutes from September 8, 2014.

Committee Agenda

Item No. 1 - REPORT: QUARTERLY INVESTMENT & BUDGET REVISION

Synopsis:

For information only.
Tracking #: 970146
Item No. 2 - REVIEW: BUDGET TO ACTUAL REPORT THRU 3RD QUARTER

Synopsis:

For review and discussion only.
Tracking #: 140359

Item No. 3 - RESOLUTIONS: AUTHORIZE/FINANCE VARIOUS IMPROVEMENTS

Synopsis:
Various resolutions authorizing improvements and the manner for paying for such projects approved in the CMIP budget for the 2015 temporary note and bond financing, submitted by Debbie Jonscher, Finance.

- Schedule A: Initial financing for 14 projects approved in the 2015 CMIP Budget
- Schedule B: 8 ongoing projects per the 2015 CMIP Budget requiring an amendment to the project description or authority and/or additional financing
- Schedule C: Reimbursement for 5 projects that the UG expects to make capital improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of bonds, notes or a lease purchase agreement up to the maximum amount stated

Tracking #: 140363

Item No. 4 - DISCUSSION: FINANCING FOR VARIOUS IMPROVEMENTS

Synopsis:
Discussion on financing various improvement projects approved in the CMIP budget for the 2015 temporary note and bond financing, submitted by Debbie Jonscher, Finance. Resolutions for these projects will be submitted at the December standing committee.

- Schedule D: 6 projects identified in the budget as the Major Arterial Roadway Reconstruction (Federal Aid) projects
- Schedule E: 4 projects requiring an increase in authority and financing for 2015 (Approval will amend the 2015 CMIP Budget.)
- Schedule F: 2 projects to be funded per the CMIP budget for Commission Neighborhood Infrastructure Program (CNIP)

For discussion only.
Tracking #: 140364
Item No. 5 - DISCUSSION: FINANCING FOR VARIOUS IMPROVEMENTS

Synopsis:
Discussion on various improvement projects approved in the CMIP budget for the 2015 temporary note and bond financing, submitted by Debbie Jonscher, Finance. Resolutions for these projects will be submitted at the December standing committee.

- Schedule G: Projects contained in the Commission Neighborhood Infrastructure Program (CNIP)

For discussion only.
Tracking #: 140365

Item No. 6 - ORDINANCE: IRBS FOR ASSOCIATED WHOLESALE GROCERS

Synopsis:
An ordinance authorizing the issuance of $17,248,290 in industrial revenue bonds for Associated Wholesale Grocers (AWG) to finance all the AWG property as it relates to the newly constructed corporate office tower located at 5000 Kansas Avenue, submitted by George Brajkovic, Economic Development Director.

Tracking #: 140358

Item No. 7 - RESOLUTION: GENERAL MOTORS PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

Synopsis:
A resolution approving General Motors, LLC performance agreement regarding their paint shop addition at the Fairfax Assembly Plant, submitted by George Brajkovic, Economic Development Director.

Tracking #: 140344

Item No. 8 - RESOLUTION: SET PUBLIC HEARING FOR LANE 4 PROPERTY GROUP

Synopsis:
A resolution setting a public hearing date for December 4, 2014, to consider a community improvement district (CID) and development agreement for Lane 4 Property Group, submitted by George Brajkovic, Economic Development Director. The group plans to remodel the Fairway North Shopping Center, located just east of W. 47th Ave. and Mission Rd., with a total capital investment of $5.56M.

It is requested that this item be fast tracked to the November 6, 2014 full commission meeting.
Tracking #: 140357
Item No. 9 - DISCUSSION: PROPOSED CHANGES TO 2015-2017 NRA PLAN

Synopsis:
Review and discussion on recommended changes to the Neighborhood Revitalization Act (NRA) tax rebate program for years 2015-2017, submitted by Charles Brockman, Economic Development Dept.
Tracking #: 140361

IV. Outcomes

Item No. 1 - OUTCOMES

Synopsis:
Overview/discussion of the next phase.

EDF's outcomes presented at the following standing committee meeting:

December 3, 2012
Environmental Trust Fund

August 26, 2013
Economic Development. Foster an environment in which small and large business thrive, jobs are created, redevelopment continues, tourism continues to grow, and businesses located in the community.

January 6, 2014
1. Relook at the tax credit policy
2. Scoring system
3. Strategy countywide for attracting economic development as it relates to housing
4. How much low-income housing
5. How much fair-market housing
6. Over the last five years, what percentage of new housing has income restrictions - report from Charles Brockman, Economic Development Dept. and Mike Grimm, UG Research Division
7. Data on number of new housing starts; types of new housing starts
8. Total number of dwellings for each project

February 3, 2014
Discussion and presentation on workforce housing and its relationship to the LITCH Program.
March 3, 2014
Communication presenting a TIF financial status report which details each TIF since 1991, submitted by Charles Brockman, Economic Development. In addition to the separation of residential and commercial projects, the financial status is broken into three categories: 1) Current TIF’s 2) TIF’s paid off early, and 3) Other.

Tracking #: 120137

V. Adjourn
The meeting of the Economic Development and Finance Standing Committee was held on Monday, September 8, 2014, at 5:30 p.m., in the 5th Floor Conference Room of the Municipal Office Building. The following members were present: Commissioner McKiernan, Chairman; Commissioners Townsend, Murguia, Walters; and BPU Board Member David Alvey (left at 7:00 p.m.) The following officials were also in attendance: Doug Bach, County Administrator; Gordon Criswell, Assistant County Administrator; Joe Connor, Interim Assistant County Administrator; Jody Boeding, Chief Legal Counsel; and Chief Hanson, Police Department.

Chairman McKiernan called the meeting to order. Roll call was taken and members were present as shown above.

Approval of standing committee minutes for July 7, 2014. On motion of Commissioner Murguia, seconded by Commissioner Townsend, the minutes were approved. Motion carried unanimously.

Chairman McKiernan said now, Commissioners, we had a blue sheet that came out with some updated information on Item No. 5, the Digital Outdoor Advertising Services and added a new Item No. 6., Discussion and Direction Proposed New South Patrol Police Station. What we are going to do, I’ve talked to everyone here on the committee, and we’re going to change the order of the agenda. Rather than being Item No. 6 we’re going to move Discussion and Direction Proposed New South Patrol Police Station up to Item No. 1. We’re going to lead our meeting with that discussion and then take the rest of the agenda as originally set out.

Committee Agenda:
Item No. 1 – 140307…DISCUSSION AND DIRECTION: PROPOSED NEW SOUTH PATROL POLICE STATION
Synopsis: Re-evaluate and determine a new funding formula regarding the proposed new South Patrol Police station since the state withdrew Corrections as a tenant in this project, submitted by Mike Tobin, Public Works.

Mike Tobin, Public Works, said if I might, I’m here this evening at the direction of the Public Works & Public Safety Standing Committee to present updated numbers for the construction of a new South Patrol Police Facility in conjunction with the new retail development anchored by the Walmart store right there roughly at 18th & Metropolitan, at the old Kansas City Structural Steel Site.

If I can refer you to the memo of Robert Roddy that was attached to the blue sheet in your agenda packets, you can see that the size of the facility was kept the same at 10,000 square feet. The estimated total cost for the new facility would be $2.25M, roughly $225 a foot. This number includes all construction cost, the extension of utilities to the facility, the interior and exterior finishes and the construction of the parking required for this facility also. It also includes the site work. This site is a little tricky to work on because it was an environmental site but it will be fine. That number should be more than sufficient to construct that facility.

Right below those numbers, also at the direction of the other standing committee, we put together the numbers for the move of the Tactical Unit which is also housed with South Patrol right now for them to move to a new facility. The size of that facility is smaller but since this would also be a new facility the numbers are basically about the same; about $225 a foot. Again, that would include all construction cost, interior, exterior, finished site work, extension of utilities etc. However, it is my understanding that the Tactical Unit is not really an appropriate attachment, let us say, for the South Patrol Police Station but that’s the opinion I believe of the Police Department. BPU Board Member Alvey said I’m sorry I don’t understand that.

Doug Bach, County Administrator, said I’ll clarify it and it’s not the South Patrol, it’s not the attachment to South Patrol. It’s an evaluation of it, we’d rather not have our Tactical Unit located within a shopping center location for when they need to mobilize or such like that. We’re not coming with the recommendation that we would put it in that location. The other standing committee was very avid about us wanting to not leave it behind in the older facilities if
we made the move to improve the South Patrol and move them out and not put it into that facility. What I had Mike do is price it more of the building perspective, land cost or where we might go for land is not factored into this number. We haven’t worked on alternative sites at that point. If you want additional explanation on that, Chief Hanson is here. She can speak to it, otherwise that’s— BPU Board Member Alvey said so just to be clear, if it does move to that new site, if we move the South Patrol to the new site, the Tactical Unit, the Police Department is asking that it not remain behind there on South 34th, which means we would have to build or find a new place to house the Tactical Unit. Mr. Bach said we would have one of three options. One, is we would just move South Patrol out and the Tactical Unit would remain in the building they are in. Most likely, we would move it over into the other station that South Patrol occupies now. We’d probably expand their space or work with it like that. Two, is we make the decision to move the Tactical Unit out at the same time and then find a new location for them. I guess the third is that it could go where, and I will say this, we’re not recommending that it goes over to the shopping center. They don’t have to go together but the other committee wanted to make sure that we were evaluating that option. I guess also, I want to make sure the committee understands Mike jumped into where this committee is at from a financial perspective. When this item was brought before the other committee, we talked about what had happened in the process and, Mike, maybe you want to speak to that a little bit. We were moving down a path to co-locate a public facility in the South Patrol location, thinking we were going to get an influx of money maybe from a joint facility with the State of Kansas for a Corrections Unit. That did not materialize. Mike maybe you want to—Mr. Tobin said it was originally thought that the Department of Corrections was going to share as a tenant in the facility, which would have helped offset all of the cost in the TIF district. The Department of Corrections informed us, however, that they could not do that, did not have the financing to do that and it was at our report at the committee meeting where we told them that this had occurred and we need to reevaluate our numbers to move forward and that included our construction numbers since they would not now be part of it. It would be all police and the numbers for the financing.

BPU Board Member Alvey said again, I’m trying to clarify this. Right now we’re looking at $2.25M just for the South Patrol to be built in the new shopping center but that additional expense is then to somehow reestablish the Tactical Unit in a different place or in the same
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location that it’s currently in. Mr. Bach said yes. Mr. Tobin said correct. Mr. Bach said like I said, you have multiple decisions you could look at from that standpoint.

Chairman McKiernan said since I wasn’t present for the other committee’s discussion, let me just make sure I’m understanding here. So now tonight we’re looking at some financing options and finance numbers. Is it my understanding that the concept for how to build these stations hasn’t changed over time but there has been some change in the potential financing? The cost estimates are staying as originally projected. Mr. Bach said I will say no because we had started with early work of this project and then we moved into the joint facility and then we went back to the single South Patrol Station and that’s what we’re working with. What we were planning was to come to this committee about this same and say here’s a proposal based on our numbers with the state of how we think this project could be financed and if you recall, in our budget what we did was built in money for this project but it was built in as special revenue. We haven’t built any money into our general fund budget to pay for any of these costs. So now with that change, what we did was we went back and Lew Levin and his team went through and ran the numbers. If you look at the sheet that’s labeled the South Patrol, if you have that. I’ll just kind of walk through that a little bit. Lew, if you see something you want to jump on—what he did was run this over a 20-year time period assuming the TIF period that we’re looking at so that’s what helps make this project work. We have a couple of things that come into play.

One, we have the Department of Commerce one-time contribution. It’s noted on the bottom of the page $400,000. They were willing to do something to help stimulate the joint project as well as help this whole shopping area move forward creating jobs and creating activity that was going on there. When Corrections pulled out Commerce said hey we understand. To the point of Corrections, they did this very nice to us in the way they did it. They came in and told us it just didn’t work but Commerce said we’re still willing to contribute our $400,000 to your project if it will help it go forward now. I said, thank you, we’ll work with that in our numbers. They factored that into the cost to say the $2.25M. We’ll use that $400,000 as upfront money and then what Lew has done has shown a payment for principal and interest. In the far right column you see what our deficit is. We’re short $102,000 up to $104,000 or $105,000 dollars up through year 2022. If we were to move forward with this plan, we would have to put a little over $100,000 in the budget starting in 2016 in order to pay for the debt service on this
project. However, the really positive number that comes by this whole scenario is then by 2023 based on our current revenue flows that we’re projecting for the TIF area, and we can use the TIF revenues to pay for public buildings, we actually go into a positive margin of a little over almost $8,000. Then you see that number continue to just move through. You know it’s $8,000-$20,000 a year until we get to 2034 and then in those two last years it looks like we have a deficit again of about $70,000. Lew, the combined debt that we would have in building a $2.25 million dollar facility would be the $701,000 we would have to fund out of the general fund, correct.

Lew Levin, Chief Financial Officer, said as projected. Mr. Bach said and as Lew qualifies by his projection number is this is projecting based on our estimated TIF revenue flows that are coming from the site. As we look at any project we have, obviously, we’re going to be standing behind these bonds. We don’t have somebody else that’s going to say we believe the revenue would flow. If we’re short any amount of that number, that coverage number of that $8,000 a year is what you have. It’s not a lot of coverage over and above. Really, I would say you’re looking at more of a 1:1 coverage ratio when you’re looking at when we think this will be. This is the money that will come at the end of the waterfall when you look at the flow of revenue.

You have a risk factor to say well, if it doesn’t come in, we could be paying $150,000 a year that we would have to put into it from the general fund in order to make this happen. When I look at this and say if we can leverage $700,000 over the next 20 years; $150,000 of it which comes 19 and 20 years from now that’s a good deal for us. That’s why we moved it forward because it’s kind of like when we look at projects that we’re working on with KDOT and we’re out there on a road, well, we may say it’s a $4M road and we don’t really have it but if we have KDOT come in and say we’ll fund it for $2 or a federal government grant that’s a little of how you can look at a project like this. That’s why it’s before you today to say do you want to consider this to move forward at this time. We’re not under any immediate time constraint or anything like that. This is in our control as to how we’d work it. That’s how the South Patrol option works according to this. Lew, did I miss anything in the way I explained that? Do you want to cover anything? Mr. Levin said maybe I’ll just discuss how the excess TIF revenue could be generated in the future. These numbers I would say they are certainly preliminary figures. We’ve provided government backing to project areas within the metropolitan TIF. The first being where the Save-A-Lot development is with Dollar General. That project’s currently performing based on its assessed valuation and the incremental TIF revenues we’re receiving to
date. The excess TIF revenues would occur from the Walmart project. We don’t really have a
revenue stream that we can say, these are the revenues today. We used revenue projections
based on what the typical Neighborhood Walmart store would produce in an estimate of property
tax revenue. Based on those particular assumptions, if that project performs to that level by year
10, it will be generating excess TIF revenue. It will pay off the obligations associated with that
TIF. Right now those obligations, what the government has committed to the Walmart project is
$2.7M for the Walmart project and an additional $1M for street improvements that the
government’s proceeding with. We haven’t issued permanent financing. Our expectation is we
have a temporary note in place and we would go to permanent financing next March on that
project. That project will begin to generate revenue immediately as it is opening on time this
week and its current property value for that Walmart was based upon where it was as of January
this year, 2014 where it was vacant property. Its first property valuation will occur in January
2015 and at that time we’ll be able to, I’ll say, have more accurate and up to date information on
what that TIF is going to generate.

Commissioner Murguia said I just have some general comments to make but first I have some
questions. Originally, when this project was discussed, like you said it was both Tactical and the
Public Safety Building. The estimated cost was $6M. I know this because how this all started
for those of you around the table that don’t have a history on that—I was acutely aware of the
needs of public safety for several years now but about a year ago I went to an architectural firm
called Hoefer Wysocki who offered to donate $100,000 worth their time and architectural efforts
to work our Police Administration and South Patrol Police Officers and come up with plans for
the ideal building for South Patrol. That was all donated. It didn’t cost us anything except our
officers and some of our Command Staff Officers and South Patrol Officers time. They came up
with a plan and this plan involved approximately, like I said, a $6M building.

I’m just concerned; I’m not at all negative at this point. I’m just concerned how do we go
from a $6M facility to a $2M facility and how do we go from excluding the Tactical Unit when
administration drove the planning effort around this police public safety facility. At that time
they said it was a good idea and that was part of the discussion. I’m just curious how we got
there.
This is what I don’t want to do. I don’t want to do something halfway. If we’re going to build a facility, we need to build a nice facility instead of just kind of piecemealing things together. This Walmart Neighborhood Market is the second in our entire county. It’s the first market and it’s the second Walmart in our whole county. It’s going to be open 24 hours a day. It sits right off of 18th St. Expressway. We need public safety there. I want it to be a quality facility, that is obviously well staffed and has the resources that police need to do their job well. Again, if $2M does that and the officers can tell me, not just command staff, no offense Chief Hanson; but that the guys actually doing the work on the street can tell me that’s okay that’s a good facility and we’re good with that then I’m okay with that.

Mr. Bach said one of the difficult things for us is I don’t know that we’ve ever had a full breakdown of the work you had with Hoefer Wysocki as far as what they built into it. We know there were elements here that you may want to add back to it as far as—you know one thing we went by is what we did at Midtown and the station there which is about 6,000 square feet. This is structured off of a10,000 square foot facility because we added room for Community Policing and, Mike, maybe you can speak to it. I know we had a communications system room bunker within it, which was a very expensive facility. It’s not in this. There was a large community room component. Yes, maybe there’s some value engineering but there’s some change in the scope that we put in this and we’re more directed toward taking the Midtown Patrol Station and then growing it for some of the other input based on what we received from the Police Department. Mike, do you want to answer that.

Mr. Tobin said well, and if I might start with the $2.25M is just South Patrol. If the Tactical Unit did go there or wherever it ends up, that’s another $750,000. Your facility is actually at $3M. As Doug said, I did not have a lot of documents from Wysocki to see what they came up with but I can assure you that at $225 a foot this will be a nice facility. That’s a more expensive per foot cost than the Midtown structure and it’s also a more expensive cost than the office part of the Fleet Center. Commissioner Murguia said okay. Mr. Tobin said I’m sorry, Commissioner, but more in line that $225 a foot is even a little bit more than modern fire station construction at this point. Commissioner Murguia said okay. I guess I have to trust you on that because I don’t have any plans in front of me and I don’t know what’s been you know reduced or
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taken out. Your math makes sense to me. I would agree with you there. I guess what I want to know is—I’d like to maybe hear from police, which is it, Tactical is best staying or Tactical is best going. That is a mixed message because when we were doing these, I was over there, I know some of the other commissioners here were at the planning session at headquarters and they were very specific to say that the Tactical Unit should move also. I just need for someone to tell me. What I don’t want to do is leave this meeting and then hear from people in the Tactical Unit that say what happened to us. Mr. Bach said there are two different answers. I’m going to ask Chief Hanson to come forward please. One, is the movement of the Tactical Unit to be located in the shopping center, and if you would speak to our thoughts on that part of the process, and the second is speaking to whether or not, I think Tactical is left in the current facility is a different question. First, I’d like for you to address the discussion we had about moving it into the shopping center. Ellen Hanson, Chief of Police, said something about our Tactical Unit or our Score Unit a lot of people don’t understand is one of the important elements for them is to show up at whatever location that they need to respond to and have an unanticipated arrival as best as they can.

Moving into a strip mall type of an environment kind of eliminates that possibility. You’ve got so much foot traffic, even like you said 24 hours a day. To be able to take this because it would be really cool to get that on Facebook and say oh look we don’t know where they’re going, but you know, here, they’re leaving now. Then that goes on Facebook and then the element of surprise is compromised.

The fact where we are now, they leave and you know they are almost where they need to go before they attract a lot of attention. We haven’t had a problem with people seeing this and deciding they are going to follow along and kind of caravan to see what’s going on, but in other places where they have had to establish a headquarters where there’s a lot of the traffic and activity that has happened. Really, ideally and I don’t know about the beginning of the project, I don’t know that it was ever offered as an option that the Score could be in a separate location. A location that is more isolated that gives them the opportunity to drill, practice, have their equipment; move around without attracting attention is really what’s best for that type of an operation.

To stay where they are, that is a possibility. It’s not ideal. I think it probably is in many ways preferable to going someplace where they are going to be high visibility. We would
obviously like for them to have a place where they can practice, drill and have a pleasant work environment. You’ve been in there. That, yes, we would very much like to see that happen. If they have to stay there or stay there for a while, then that’s an option that certainly is a possibility.

Mr. Bach said and this is why I built the option that brings it back. As I said, there’s not a recommendation from us and maybe the difference between when the architects were talking to them is a different question then when I was talking to them. Because it’s my job to drill down on does this work for you and how well does it work for you versus how we can build the facility on the site. It’s probably the tone or the manner in which the question was asked. They went along with it as the architects worked with it but when they start dealing as to how well it will work for us as from an operational perspective, that’s where it became very clear that was not an operational move we thought would be a good one. If we’re going to spend the money to do it—you know we really, from a TIF perspective, we use all the TIF doing the South Patrol of this anyway. Whether you put South Patrol in that area or you put them somewhere else, you’re spending all that money. I broke it out and had all this separate so if you say, you know what, we’re just going to make the move and we’re going to get out of that location and I don’t have a number in here factored for the sale of that property so if we completely left that property, I believe we would be able to sell it. We’d get some money back. It’s not a million dollars coming back. I don’t honestly know what the number is but it’s somewhere in there. It would be a number we could get some money back for that property. It’s not going to cover the $743,000 but we would find—at that point we would look for what is the best location for Tactical to go and try to fit them in somewhere and here’s our building cost. It may be some property we already have access to that we could get relatively cheap. They’re not a Community Policing unit anyway. They don’t go somewhere to interact with the neighbors and hang out with people. People aren’t going to go there and do reports. It’s not the same kind of component as coming in with the Community Policy Station or a Patrol Station like that. It would be logistically located based on our other needs and how that could work for us in the community. That’s why this cost is here for you to evaluate. Like I said, you could say you like the way the South Patrol looks. We could pursue that option; here’s the cost. We need to figure out how we’re going to identify that to pay for it and then Tactical, that’s just the net cost. As you see Lew built this in to kind of
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mirror the other development as you see. As you see it puts it at about $41,000-$42,000 and then ramps it up when the other one gets taken over by the TIF. It allows it to be a little lower cost and then go up to a higher cost as the other part of the project is taken out by the TIF piece.

**Commissioner Murguia** said I’d just like some reassurance. I don’t think this is how police works but I would like some reassurance that the Tactical Unit is okay with staying where they are at. The reason that I care about that is I did a tour of the South Patrol and the Tactical Unit building which is the barn in the back; surely Chief, no one was complaining but I asked them to sort of walk me through what happens when they come into work and they get ready to go do something and there were some things that I was a little personally concerned by. I will tell you, none of them seemed very concerned frankly, so they were okay but things like they have drug dogs and that there isn’t any place for those drug dogs to be out and oftentimes they will wait in one of the cars for an extended period of time.

Also, there is not a shower in that facility. These officers use things like teargas and whatever else if you get on you it hurts. They need to get it off of themselves and there is no way to do that right now. I know that they have a lot of electronic equipment and it looks like they only have one or two outlets. They have all these little extra strips that they have to plug into and that’s a difficulty.

The temperature control is very difficult in the building and they have explosive equipment. I guess anti-terrorist sort of equipment that could explode if the temperatures are too hot or too cold. They need some temperature control going on there, which they do a little bit but I think it’s an air conditioning window unit and they have to leave the door open to the garage I believe. That’s just my observation really. Some of those observations might be wrong but that’s what I saw go on when I was there. I could see how there could be some adjustments made to South Patrol, especially like Administrator Bach said, if you move the guys offices and I say guys only because there are no women there. **Chief Hanson** said right. **Commissioner Murguia** said I will tell you that the other thing I was concerned about is that for people who haven’t been there, the room is no bigger than from myself to you that they get ready for roll call and they get together to leave in. When they change sometimes into the appropriate gear, they do that in the same spot they meet in. It’d be like if all of a sudden we stood up from these desks and we changed our clothes. If there’s a girl in that crowd and there’s a bunch of guys, that
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would be a little awkward, I would think. I think there has to be more reasonable accommodations than that. That’s just my personal opinions. I don’t think those are too high expectations to have for our police officers. I’ll back up and just tell you if the actual officers, men or women that are in this unit, if it addresses some of those issues and if they’re okay with that; then I would be fine with that. I want to be sure that they’re okay and they don’t have any concerns. Chief Hanson said sure. Nobody wants to take better care of all of the officers including the SCORE Team than I do. I will tell you of the options that you have in front of you, I think the point we’re trying to make is yes, eventually you’re going to need to invest in a new headquarters for the SCORE Team. If you’re going to invest in the new headquarters, we strongly recommend it not be in a strip mall because you’re going to spend that money there. We recommend rather than spend it there, spend it somewhere that is more isolated and suits there purposes better. If we had to make a choice right now between going to a strip mall and working within the confines of what South Patrol will leave behind, then that would probably be the preferable, because it’s less expensive and still leaves the option that perhaps in the future SCORE could have what they really need. Your assessment of where they are right now is 100% accurate. Commissioner Murguia said good. Chief Hanson said and actually when I visited South Patrol, the women’s restroom was nonfunctional that day. They are old buildings and they need something to make them anywhere near what the other headquarters and buildings have. Commissioner Murguia said and by no means are we asking to take a good building and build a luxury building. I mean that’s why I gave those specific examples. Chief Hanson said right, and you know when you talk about police dogs, you don’t want those police dogs anywhere around a strip mall. There’s just a lot of elements there that cry for a setting that is not in the middle of a lot of other human activity. Commissioner Murguia said my gut tells me I don’t want to leave them behind. I don’t want to leave the Tactical Unit behind. I want to make sure—they’ve been very cooperative through this whole thing. They also would like to see, I think, some improvements. I just want to make sure that if we do move like you said, Doug, if we do do the South Patrol facility with just the South Patrol officers that we look at better accommodations in the meantime for the Tactical Unit.

Chairman McKiernan said I think it’s safe to say, I’ve had the opportunity to see many of our fire stations and all of our division stations for the police and it’s safe to say that we have things
that we need to address in almost every facility that we operate. We certainly have issues of accommodations for women in almost every one of those fire and police stations that we still need to address. We have issues of old infrastructure. What we really, I think need to think about here is in the context of an overall strategic plan for how we upgrade all of our infrastructure for police and fire alike. Even if we stay behind—if SCORE were to stay at their current location now, it still has to be part of the bigger discussion about how are we going to make everything better across our whole operation.

In terms of South Patrol Station itself, Mike, you said that this ends up coming in at a lesser per square foot cost than our current Midtown. Mr. Tobin said yes, not drastically but somewhat lesser. Chairman McKiernan said but comparable. Midtown, did the TIGER Grant build all of that or did we contribute to that. Mr. Tobin said no. We contributed to the interior finish and the cost of design. Mr. Bach said and he needs to clarify. I think when he’s saying less. You’re saying what the real cost was in it not what we contributed. He’s saying it’s less than what the actual construction cost was to build this facility. Mr. Tobin said I’m not addressing the grant parts or what we—Chairman McKiernan said but you’re where I’m going next. What was our contribution to match the TIGER at Midtown? Mr. Tobin said I don’t have that in front of me Commissioner. I don’t remember what that exact number was. Lew Levin, Chief Financial Officer, said I’m thinking it was around $600,000. Mr. Bach said correct. Chairman McKiernan said this is just the thing that kind of runs through my mind here. As we think about strategically investing in all of our public safety and government facilities; if we spent $600,000 up against the TIGER match we have TIF money and the Department of Commerce money, which certainly less than the TIGER Grant was. Our match would be a little bit bigger but it still seems to me we’re taking the same step of leveraging money and picking off one more piece where we’re not paying the full price. To me, although we’re going to be challenged to find a place to fund this in CMIP for the first seven years up until the TIF revenues are sufficient, to me it’s a part of that big discussion we have to have about how we’re going to make things right across the whole operation. My first blush look at this is, the leverage isn’t as big as Midtown was but it’s still leveraged and it’s better than us paying the whole bill.
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Mr. Tobin said as the Administrator stated earlier Commissioner, you’re basically getting your police structure for $700,000. It’s a $2.25M plus facility. Chairman McKiernan said yes. It’s a beautiful thing. So we’re getting a 1 to 2 match.

Commissioner Murguia said so, commissioners, I’ll just also add one other small thing but I think it’s important for you to know. I just informed our Administrator this week that the not-for-profit I work for will be doing $25,000 worth of site prep to the site in advance if the facility is placed there; which would be $25,000 worth of work that the Unified Government would need to do which is control of the environmental contaminated fill site. We’re putting a new fence around it and we’re clearing and grubbing that area. We’re doing that simply to help because we recognize the cost are really high. It’s not a ton of money but we’ve already given the Police Department $10,000 cash and Walmart will be giving them $3,600 on Wednesday awarding them money.

I’ve already met on multiple occasions with Administrator Bach that when we had a concrete number and we had an idea of what the quality of the facility was going to be, once you have that I personally am more than willing to raise money to close that gap, to ask people to contribute. I have a couple of funders that are interested. My concern is that, not that it wouldn’t be, but if the facility wasn’t nice a funder wouldn’t necessarily want their name on it. I don’t know how to say it without just saying it that way. It would have to be a quality facility for someone to donate and put their name on it. I agree it’s a balancing act. I’m appreciative for what they’re bringing forward and based on what Mike Tobin has told us about the cost being pretty comparable to what was proposed initially, I feel much better about that. I’ll be glad to continue to do that.

Chairman McKiernan said when we look at the South Patrol $700,000 but it says total UG cost estimated cost $1.08M and so it looks like we’ve got just operation charges that are factored in there over time. What’s the common area maintenance charges estimate? Mr. Tobin said that’s basically a per square feet charge for the maintenance and upkeep of the facility. You know historically, commissioner, we’re not very good at taking care of facilities once we get them built. We’re going to go ahead and include that cost in part of the project. Chairman McKiernan said so we’re actually dedicating upfront to avoid deferring maintenance over the
long haul. Mr. Tobin said correct. Chairman McKiernan said well, that answers my question because I wondered if there was a similar charge that could be backed out against this but I would guess the answer is no.

Now if we look at the Tactical Unit. If we were to go the route of South Patrol moves and Tactical stays where they are right now until we can get a better plan for where to move them, the total project cost there would be reduced somewhat or could be reduced somewhat by the sale of that existing building at that time. Would that be right? Mr. Bach said yes. Chairman McKiernan said that would take down of our total estimate of investment by some factor, maybe a tenth of the project or less than that. Mr. Tobin said, also Commissioner, if I might, if the Tactical Unit were not part of a strip mall as the Chief addressed, the aesthetics of the building on the exterior elevations might not have to be as expensive and that might also bring down that cost somewhat. There hasn’t been a discussion of a location other than strip mall or current. We are the largest property owner in the county. We have a lot of property where it could be located. Chairman McKiernan said ultimately that figure could come down to something that might end up being manageable even in the fairly short run. Mr. Bach said yes.

Chairman McKiernan asked what do you need from us. Mr. Bach said well I guess in the end what we’re looking for is the direction. I guess to some of you, you would probably remember the radio project that we funded this summer that I came back for—this is how that kind of thing happens. We moved forward with the project a couple of years ago. We received direction from the commission but in this case I wouldn’t be seeking the funding to put into the budget until down the road after we already commit ourselves and we issue bonds and we’re there and we have to build it in.

In the case of that project we brought it forward and now I found a way to—you know we had to add it to our budget to work with it and do a little manipulations but that’s the kind of thing we’re looking at. It’s kind of the eyes wide open by all the governing body that I’m not identifying $150,000 to take out of the Police Department’s budget right now or take out of somebody else’s budget. It’s not an overwhelming amount of money but bottom line is when we’re coming down to a $3M budget and our Fund Balance is almost nonexistent, it’s an issue when we go through and build our budget next year.
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It’s the reason we go through and we put half of a roof on the courthouse when we needed to fix the leaky area. Instead of fixing the whole thing, we’re not getting the money to do it all in one shot. I’m asking you to look at it from that perspective. This is money we’ll need and we have to find our ways to manage through that. Essentially, we’re looking for direction. If you’re saying this is something we’re willing to invest in, we recognize we don’t have funding identified for it now but we’ll go into next year’s budget process knowing that essentially you’re directing me to get the money and put it in and find out where we’re going, that’s a process we’d follow. Lew would be moving in advance of that based on our timing of how we would advance this project to really get a bond issue structured. We would already go out and issue a bond at some point in the near future so we would have the money to start working with because he’s got to cut the whole thing you know for our cash basis law to move forward. We may have a little bit of latitude with the money from the state that allows us to advance some things but they’re not going to release it until they’ve got the full commitment from us to move forward. I think Mike was looking at doing a design bid for this, weren’t you Mike, or were you going to try to do full designs and then come back and do a project or are you still evaluating. Mr. Tobin said it was the staff opinion, Doug, that we would proceed with the Notice of Need given the direction of the commission and go design, build because we think that would be the quickest, most efficient cost effective way to do it. That’s what we found in that. Mr. Bach said I think during that process when we come through we would show them what our expectations are in terms of the design and such like that. As they go through their preliminary numbers if they’re looking at something to say well, you’re way off, we’ll come back and say well no we’re wrong $2.25M is not going to get it. We don’t have to issue our bonds until we kind of have some of those early conversations with them.

Commissioner Townsend said I just want to back up because I feel like I’m coming in the middle of the conversation. I just wanted to make sure I understand what it is that we’re being asked to do tonight and I’ll break these questions up as the discussion has been going previously, South Patrol vs. The Tactical Unit issue. With regard to the South Patrol, has it already been decided that there is going to be a new South Patrol facility and our only issue is how do we pay for it? Mr. Bach said no. There’s no final decision that’s been made. That’s still there. Now I will say, this went before the Public Safety Committee because we were talking about the
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facility. That committee voted on it and said we want you to move forward and get a new facility done and directed us to come before this committee and figure out how to pay for it. They were very emphatic and supportive in saying we’d like to figure out how to do this but knowing they haven’t seen the numbers either.

I mean, in fairness it would be—that’s where it comes back to this committee where we go through, we evaluate the numbers and what we’d be looking as far as a future commitment. I would say this would probably be a good package for us to take forward to the full governing body and if they have any questions on it, bid or if we move forward. This is unorthodox; I mean this is not the typical way we’ve done these kinds of things. We build them in. We go through the budget process, we identify that’s where it’s going to be in the future. We build our budget and we go from there.

This is an opportunity that comes off cycle, which happens and we don’t have a precedence of doing it in our facilities so it’s a good opportunity to look at from that perspective. If we get that direction from you all, then I would say we would take it so the whole governing body can vote on it to say we agree this is an estimate that we think looks reasonable to us from a bond schedule in the future and then we would proceed down the path to get a Notice of Need out to get someone in to do a design build option. That’s just for the South Patrol and then really the same question then on the Tactical Unit. Commissioner Townsend said well, let me stick with the South Patrol. You were saying we have an opportunity here to do this. Is this a good opportunity because there is a need here. I’m trying to get to the bottom line of this and does this look different now. Scratch that. What would the numbers look like? What is the impact of the state not making their contribution, for instance from 2016 up through 2022 you’re talking about $104,000 just for the South Patrol and us trying to cover that deficit. What would that have looked like had the state gone forward. Commissioner Murguia said I think I got it. I’ll try. I think where there is confusion at, Commissioner Townsend, is that the state not coming through just means we’re not building the portion of the building that would be housing state employees, the parole offices. They decided not to enact that lease. Actually, the state came through with flying colors in my opinion. We just received $400,000 from the state cash to help us build a new South Patrol facility. What Administrator Bach is saying is that if we don’t build this public safety facility, this South Patrol, then they want their money back. This is free money we don’t
ever have to pay back. There really wasn’t a loss. Whether we built the building with parole or without parole there was always a gap. It was always how to fill that gap.

**Commissioner Townsend** said this is the Department of Commerce. Is this Kansas Department of Commerce or is this US Department of Commerce. **Commissioner Murguia** said candidly, Commissioner Townsend, I went to the governor and I said we need your help. We have a gap on this property and he went to Commerce and they worked together to help figure out how to get us $400,000 to help offset the cost of a new safety building only because in almost every one of our surveys in Wyandotte County, all of our constituents have said public safety is their number one concern. That’s what happened. **Commissioner Townsend** said okay, so we have the $400,000 but because the state will not have this tenant in there, would we have gotten more than $400,000. I guess that’s what I’m trying to understand. So we’ve gotten as much as we ever would have got. **Mr. Bach** said I would say that what we had found when the state was part of the facility, we were going to be able to build a larger facility. Some of the site work costs which were going to be generally the same helps bring down your cost per square foot. Yes, the answer to that is yes, there was some synergy that was being created by some culmination of the two facilities that was going to lower our costs. You wouldn’t have had the same commitment of numbers coming into this when we went through and ran what they were going to contribute to the site. Site work cost were brought down because of the joint facilities and then also going into the same facilities. Some of the mechanical systems you can start to share and stuff like that. You just bring down your overall cost per square feet. It was going to be a little better deal for us based on the rents we were going to receive.

**Commissioner Townsend** said it just sounds like, correct me if I’m wrong, then we would still have had this $104,000 deficit on average for those seven years. **Mr. Bach** said maybe a little less than that, but yes. **Commissioner Townsend** said well, $100,000. What happens if this is not built? Since what I’m understanding is that we have not addressed that fundamental question yet. What happens if it’s not built? We can see we don’t have the money initially, long-term it may be a good deal. What happens if it’s not built? **Mr. Bach** said well, we’ll continue operating in the facilities that we’re operating out of today. **Commissioner Murguia** said we’d give the $400,000 back.
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Chairman McKiernan said I think that’s the key is that we would not only give the $400,000 back but we would also, I think we’d just miss an opportunity to take one dollar and turn it into three because effectively when you look at our contribution to the project and the total cost of the project, one of our dollars ultimately turns into three over time with the combination of the state’s contribution and the TIF revenue from the project. I think it could represent a lost opportunity but recognizing that it does have an impact on CMIP in 2016-2022. My suggestion would be, unless we’re under a tremendous time crunch, what if we took this to our November 1st Strategic Planning. We have the entire commission there. We can have a discussion about how this fits into another step in strategically starting to address deficiencies in our infrastructure. We can also kind of think about how it impacts the overall CMIP, then bring the Request For Action back to the entire commission to act on moving forward depending—

Commissioner Murguia said so I have something to say. I have a greater sense of urgency than that Commissioner McKiernan. I appreciate what you’re saying and from a process perspective it sounds great, but this is the deal; I’ll say it again, we have the first ever Walmart Neighborhood Market in Kansas City, KS, and Wyandotte County. We have the first ever I believe, Doug and I’ve talked about this statistic, Walmart east of 635. We have a chance for this business to be very successful east of 635 and open up potential for additional Walmarts throughout our county. We have to be successful.

This facility is going to be open 24 hours a day, right off a major highway. You don’t have to know a lot about crime to know that that is right for picking. I want to make sure that it is the safest possible Walmart Neighborhood Market around. The best way to do that is to get Public Safety right up underneath them and make sure that they’re always there. Those have multiple shifts going 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, on the holidays. I want to know that that market is taken care of. For me, it’s really much beyond just a new South Patrol. It’s about economic development. That’s what it is for me. It’s about proving that we can build quality businesses east of 635 and have them perform well. The best way to do that is to protect those investments.

Chairman McKiernan said but it doesn’t occur in isolation. It has an impact on CMIP that then cascades down as we talked about this year. Any change in CMIP cascades down and moves other projects around and quite an emphasis there on ensuring the safety of this development. I
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appreciate that but it makes me wonder what are we going to require, what are we going to ask for, what are we going to expect in terms of Public Safety investment in other economic development activities.

**BPU Board Member Alvey** said I would like to address this to the Chief if I may. I want to be clear and this is probably—I’m sure this discussion has already been—you’ve had this several times. You’re not suggesting that if we locate the South Patrol adjacent to the Walmart that somehow there will be a reordering of resources to ensure that that Walmart receives absolute security or at least to arrange absolute security which would then compromise the coverage of the rest of the South Patrol. You’re not suggesting that in any way. **Chief Hanson** said no. I think it’s exactly what the commissioner described. The natural comings and goings of those marked cars and 24-7 in that area, just by that nature. We’re not thinking about any other extra efforts. **BPU Board Member Alvey** said my concern would be, if there were to be some incident or crime, which there certainly will be no matter what, that then the expectation would not be that we’re not devoting enough resources to this strip mall, this new mall here. That’s not the expectation. **Chief Hanson** said no. We have very limited resources in total. We’re very limited in how we can move and deploy. We have a certain amount of coverage and protection that we have to provide across the city. We can’t just all of a sudden rob Peter to pay Paul. That won’t happen. **BPU Board Member Alvey** said I just wanted to make sure that was clear.

**Commissioner Townsend** said going back to the need right now, I’m starting from scratch with this. How much closer or not would the building of this new facility be to the Walmart than what it is currently. Are we saying that without this new facility we do not believe our citizens who patronize that area would be safe? **Commissioner Murguia** said no, I think what I’m saying is, typically it’s been my experience as a former probation officer, people do not like to commit crime when there are law enforcement officers around. It’s not any more resources. It’s just their presence. It’s not that they wouldn’t be safe, it’s just the likelihood of criminal acts occurring with a police station right there next to the Walmart is less likely then if there was not a police station next to the Walmart. **Commissioner Townsend** said this is it. Where is the closes police presence now? How close is that? **Commissioner Murguia** said the current South Patrol which is a couple miles away. **Commissioner Walters** said it’s about a mile.
Commissioner Townsend said well, I guess I understand the desire to have all of our citizens safe and to protect the new development but I guess I’m thinking along the lines of Commissioner McKiernan; not saying no but this is how the things happen and I think the Administrator made reference to the radio project. My recollection was that was mandated. I thought that we didn’t have really any option or the previous boards didn’t have any option. It was something we had to do.

I’m wondering how much leeway we have to accomplish this in a way that we’re not setting up commissions down the road to deal with something similar and have them wondering what were we thinking about at the time. I didn’t hear when I asked the question initially what would happen if we don’t do this. If our citizens are still going to be safe, I think that’s the number one motivation as Commissioner Murguia mentioned. Maybe it’s a matter of timing or looking at this overall as Commissioner McKiernan suggested with the other impact of it, strategically speaking. Chief Hanson said just let me say one thing. When you talk about need, I would just suggest if you haven’t been to South Patrol, please go by there because I think that was one of the initiating factors here is if South Patrol would have been in a building without asbestos, a building that was in good repair, a building that really served the needs and provided adequate workspace, this proposal would have never moved forward. There is a real need there. If you haven’t been there, I invite you to go take a tour. It’ll take about two minutes because it’s very small. It’s a house and a barn. It’s a tiny little workspace. Every time I talk to somebody from South Patrol I am amazed they have great moral and they are enthused about their job in spite of that. I will tell you when I walked in there the first time I was appalled. The need isn’t just for the safety level for the community. The need is also providing a safe and adequate workspace for the people that work out of that station. Commissioner Townsend said well I appreciate that. I guess I wasn’t hearing what was driving this in the prior discussion. As I said, I’m just coming new to this. I think these are the kinds of questions we need to be asking and need to have addressed.

Commissioner Murguia said, Commissioner McKiernan, I just want to say I absolutely have some ideas for strategically addressing future public safety needs across the board in our entire county when that discussion comes up. I guess I’ll go back to what I said originally. I have a sense of urgency with this project. I personally have worked very hard to recruit development to
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my district. You know I would like to see that investment protected. What else is bringing it forward is the demand and the need for a more suitable facility for public safety also. **Chairman McKiernan** said and I think that’s unarguable that there is a tremendous need but every developer with a new economic development wants that investment protected, wants those citizens protected. If that’s one of our arguments and rationale now what does it set us up for. **Commissioner Murguia** said well I think it sets—like I said this is more of a Wyandotte County wide strategy that you’re talking about and I do think it’s something we need to consider. I don’t want to get too far off with what the subject is but we’ve had multiple conversations about new public safety facilities in the Legends area and how that might have been done differently when that was done and the need for an immediate facility right on site there to protect that level of investment. I’m just saying, I was just reiterating that’s been talked about before. We learned from our mistake and hopefully we’ll remedy that when we have large investments in areas that we back them up. **Chairman McKiernan** said I totally agree but we have a very, very tight budget so we have to be very thoughtful about how we go about backing all those up.

The item tonight actually didn’t ask us for any action. It just says discussion and direction regarding potential financing options so I’m not sure. Our next meeting is the 29th of this month I believe and then no meeting in October because that is the October meeting moved up, then Strategic Planning on November 1st. You would prefer not to hold it for Strategic Planning. **Commissioner Murguia** said I think we need to have the discussion at Strategic Planning but what I’d like to see put out, what do you call it again, Doug, a NOFA. **Mr. Bach** said a Notice of Need. **Commissioner Murguia** said a Notice of Need. I’d like to see that Notice of Need go out and I’d like to see real numbers collected on the cost of the facility. **Chairman McKiernan** asked can that be accomplished before Strategic Planning. **Mr. Tobin** said we can certainly attempt to get that done before Strategic Planning. The Notice of Need could be sent out and we could have the selection of the design built contractor. I don’t believe we could get the final numbers by November 1st. **Commissioner Murguia** said well if you could start the process, I think I’d feel good about that. **Mr. Tobin** said okay.

**Commissioner Townsend** asked what will that give us, this Notice of Need, because here’s the thing, it sounds as though based on what the Chief said, what I’m hearing here, there is a need to do this but the question remains, what are we not going to do to cover this $104,000 on average
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in the budget for the next seven years. What are we not going to do? I think that’s why it’s important we do look at this at the Strategic Planning. I’m more comfortable than when this discussion began about the why, the need and what the current situation is but the real question then becomes what’s not going to be done. Chairman McKiernan said we’ll save this for Strategic Planning but we could look at that as the flip side. Rather than saying what are we not going to fund, we could say what’s our target for increasing our overall revenue so that we can cover this and still fund everything that’s on the current list and I would suggest that’s probably the better discussion to have because we certainly need to fund everything that is on that list plus 12 or 100 more items. Again, it’s how we frame that discussion. I think we would want to look at how can we grow our tax base and our revenues so that we can afford not only this but other. Commissioner Townsend said I like that approach too.

Commissioner Walters said a few months ago we had an opportunity and it affected the CMIP budget on Minnesota Avenue and I think we made an adjustment to the CMIP of like $1.3M without a whole lot of heartburn. I’m a little surprised that we don’t have that option before us today. I think the staff brought forward modifications to the CMIP budget over time and how we would find that $1.3M so that we could advance some projects which was another opportunity. This is $100,000 so if we’re going to revisit this at some point in the future, I would like to ask staff to make recommendations along the lines that Commissioner Townsend was mentioning just how we’re going to pay for it, where’s the money going to come from and if we could find $1.3M to spend in one year, surely we can find $100,000 to spend in one year. Commissioner Townsend said I’m glad you said that, Commissioner, because I can remember it was maybe after the Strategic Planning or one of the early budget that we actually went down and identified this is not happening, this is being delayed so we can accommodate that. That is the way I think we need to approach it. Mr. Bach said can I just clarify that point. You’re right. This is the annual output that you’re looking for. What we did was we came back and said here’s a million dollar project so you had a debt service to that. It would have been like $50,000 on an annual basis that we were changing. Your comparable would be by saying that million dollars is compared to the $2.25M because we were looking at a whole project, inserting another project in place of it so it’s just what the annual debt is. That’s certainly an option for us to work on and
obviously that’s what I figured we would be doing if we had the direction that this was a project we’re willing to pursue.

**BPU Board Member Alvey** said from what I’ve heard, again I feel like I come in to the middle from the tail end of a long-termed discussion. It would seem to me and I appreciate your comments about the Minnesota Avenue project up fronting some of those costs because we have a developer coming in and sensitive to what the Chief assures us that it’s not a redirection of resources, just simply the presence there, and your comments that there is—we’re accessing dollars that we would not be able to access. It would seem to me that a little more quick movement on this would be appropriate but I also am sensitive to what you have consistently said that for the Unified Government Commissioners as you try to really have a Strategic Plan for addressing the very real needs in the community and systematically approaching those and having a good plan but given that, it would seem to me you could move forward a little bit more quickly.

**Chairman McKiernan** said I want to be clear. I think it’s a crying shame if we leave $2 on the table for every dollar we can spend then shame on us. I have no problem bringing a RFA back at our next meeting to say make it happen and then take that to the full commission. I want to be clear about that. I just thought that if it only delayed it by a month and allowed all the commissioners to be engaged in that discussion there might be some benefit to that but if there is a time urgency to this, I have no problem bringing an RFA back at our next meeting and then moving forward on that. **Commissioner Murguia** said I’d like to do that. I am totally like I said supportive of having an overall discussion about a strategy and addressing public safety needs. I really have put a lot of time and thought into that and how that can be helpful throughout the county. My concern is I just don’t want this one project and this one opportunity to get lost in that conversation and get delayed even further. **Chairman McKiernan** said there’s an urgency to this one though because there’s real money that will disappear if we don’t make this commitment.

**Commissioner Murguia** said so I just have one last question. Doug, could you please on the record for the whole community, what is the actual financial gap that we’re trying to cover? If
someone is going to write us a check—I’m just curious if someone is going to write us a check right now for whatever that gap was, now don’t add the debt financing. If someone was going to write us a check to cover the gap, what would that be for? **Mr. Bach** said well basically $100,000 for seven years. **Commissioner Murguia** said so $700,000. **Mr. Bach** said its $102,000-$104,000. I’m sure we can come up with $1,000. If we could come up with $100,000 for seven years, assuming the TIF revenue comes in as we’re currently projecting it, we would be covering our debt so from 2016–2022. **Commissioner Murguia** said could somebody potentially, if somebody was to give us $300,000 would that delay three more years? Could theoretically that delay three more years of finding money? **Mr. Bach** said, Lew, how would you structure that? Would you be able to just make that part of the bond payment and do it in that fashion or would you pay it up front? **Mr. Levin** said I think what I would offer is, as proposed or if we had $300,000 additional, the CMIP’s are an evolving document. As proposed there is no impact to the budget in 2015. When we prepare the 2015 Amended Budget for 2016 we’ll look at the resources we have at that time. They’ll be other competing interest, however; if we commit to this project we’ve made a commitment to go forward and we may say as a result of that commitment we need to reduce another project where we may have sufficient resources that we do not. It’s not a static document, however; based on our current budget policy, this would be a change to our CMIP and I would be in agreement that we would need some concurrence whether it’s through an RFA to adjust our CMIP to accommodate this project. I’m not certain that’s the answer you’re looking for but we certainly can restructure the debt. The debt structure is a preliminary number where we’re assuming interest rates that were really current in the last couple months. They may change by the time March comes around. We’re going to issue our debt in January. I think if we’re given where we are this time of the year; we’re in September, this time this project would be approved I think we would add it to our CMIP and debt finance it after the first of the year. **Commissioner Murguia** said sounds good.

**Chairman McKiernan** said what I’m hearing then here is our direction is to create a RFA (request for action) that would be to effectively move forward with the financing as outlined on this projection sheet and to bring that back for our next meeting which would be the September 29, 2014 meeting. **Commissioner Murguia** said and we’re issuing the Notice of Need also. **Mr. Bach** said yes, I think we can move forward on that. I think one thing we’re looking at is
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probably a construction cycle that has a large amount of this work done in 2015 anyway. I mean it’s getting out there, getting bids and design stuff to move forward on it. The groundwork and what we’ll be doing to frame this thing up is all going to happen next year. We’re not going to start doing that in January or February anyway this year. **Commissioner Murguia** said okay. **Mr. Bach** said we’re looking at a spring/summer construction cycle for this project. You know, I think what we’re doing now is okay from a time perspective. Mike, correct me if you think I’m wrong. **Mr. Tobin** said no, you’re right on.

**Mr. Bach** said and I guess I’ll note if you’re following where Lew went with this, the difference in the—as the RFAs, we’re bringing this forward as to how this projects looks. When we moved our budget we were right before budget and we were talking about an action that was happening in our 2014 item. That’s where were on the Minnesota Avenue, Inc. project, that’s why we needed to identify. We’re talking something in 2016. Things push around back and forth, project cost estimates move. I don’t know that we would necessarily be identifying what project this changes out at this time. We have to figure it out. **Chairman McKiernan** said the RFA would be to just approve the structure of that financing as it currently exists knowing that the final numbers may have to change. **Mr. Bach** said and right now we are moving with the South Patrol piece of it and then we’ll evaluate the Tactical Unit in the future or are you looking for both of these pieces to come forward on that RFA? **Commissioner Walters** said personally I think that the Public Safety Committee needs to take action on the Tactical Unit. I don’t think I understand a real recommendation from them. **Commissioner Murguia** said the recommendation was to move the Tactical and the South Patrol Facility forward. That was the recommendation. I was at that standing committee. What I think Doug is trying to say and the Chief are trying to say is that despite the commission’s recommendation, which by the way the vote was unanimous in case anyone was wondering, despite the commissioners unanimous recommendations to move Tactical and South Patrol forward and for us to figure out here at Economic Development how to pay for that, their recommendation is that that from Police and Administration that location may not be the best location. Is that correct what I’m hearing you say? **Mr. Bach** said yes. That is correct. I think one thing to that too is you can say is as it’s put before you as its put before you as a task, South Patrol has a leveraged dollar factor coming from State Commerce and the TIF. Tactical Unit you could say well let’s just put that in and build
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that in as a future budget and bring that back during next year’s budget process in our CMIP Plan. We can move one without the other moving out the same day. Chief, unless I’m wrong, Tactical can operate there I believe. If South Patrol moves out end of 2016 and it moves out a year later or something like that. I think you’re right in a Public Safety facility to evaluate with the other projects because there is no leverage dollar I think as Commissioner McKiernan was pointing out that makes it happen.

It’s more looked at as a priority based on where we are with other projects. I think probably—really we’re supposed to be taking all of our projects forward to the committees and I believe that is this committee actually though that would be coming back because it’s probably a combination of the two committees. We’re going to be bringing back our projects and start—I think you had asked for that Commissioner Walters. The one is to identify what projects we’re doing now and that’s the Public Works Committee. We’ll be taking it to them to say here are the projects we’re moving on now, then our future year projects that are out there, 2016, 2017, 2018 which ones do we want to look around for a priority. We can put it in the mix, really to compete with the dollars we’re identifying with that group. Since it’s not leveraging any money now, that would probably be the fair way to do that.

Commissioners Walters said well, if our challenge is to figure out how to pay for this; have we figured out how to pay for this yet? Is that what we’re going to do next month? Mr. Bach said I would say the answer to that is the solution that’s been brought forth here that leverages the Commerce money with the TIF money noting what our level of risk is of some money we’ll bringing out of our dollars that are going to go, that we’re saying that we’re going to pay for it and then figure out how to finance it within our debt financing beginning in 2016. Chairman McKiernan said so now we haven’t yet. Mr. Bach said yes we haven’t identified—Chairman McKiernan said we go through the process of Strategic Planning and then the budget process next year.

Commissioner Murguia said and just so I’m clear too, I’m a little bit confused. I just want to be clear about this. Regardless of the process between now and January we’re not as a government issuing any more debt or any more bonds to cover cost until January, right Lew, between now and January? Mr. Levin said if this project were to move forward that would be
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the timeline I would recommend. **Commissioner Murguia** said but skip this project. We’re not going to go out and issue any more debt between now and January are we? **Mr. Levin** said we are currently working on a refunding of STAR Bonds, 1999 Speedway STAR Bonds. Our expectation is we’ll do that issuance prior to the end of the year. We don’t have any General Obligation debts scheduled through the remainder of the year. **Mr. Bach** said that’s not new. That’s just a refinancing because it makes sense financially. **Commissioner Murguia** said okay. I think what you’re saying is that we can get all of this done by the next time we go—**Mr. Bach** said our spring cycle for bonds. **Commissioner Murguia** said yes, which is in January and then construction can begin shortly thereafter because we’ll have issued the debt for the project, correct. **Mr. Bach** said yes. I believe that’s correct. **Commissioner Murguia** said obviously like you said, not in January but shortly as soon as construction season opens. **Mr. Bach** said I think that’s how we have it lined up to work. I think this project does have the benefit of having the state money into it. I’ll have to converse with them about how they would let that go but that may allow us to move forward with some engineering money in advance of when we would actually have the bond revenue money in advance. I say that engineering architecture money in advance of when we would actually have the bond money in hand which probably wouldn’t be until March.

**Chairman McKiernan** said what we’ve asked then is an RFA that would contemplate the construction of the South Patrol Station, not the Tactical Unit, the South Patrol using the financing proposal as laid out here or however it may be amended between now and our next meeting. We would take action to approve that construction and that financing plan, knowing that the ultimate details of that are yet to be worked out in the whole process of assembling the budget.

**Commissioners Walters** said so can I ask one more question. It’s related to the Common Area Maintenance, $20,000 a year for 20 years. Am I reading that correctly? So that’s $400,000 and we’re borrowing that money also. **Mr. Levin** said no we would not. That would be an additional annual operation. **Commissioners Walters** said so we’re not borrowing this. **Mr. Levin** said that would be added to—with the numbers you see on the top half of that, the sheet does not include those. **Commissioners Walters** said so we’re just going to pay that as we go
out of general operation. Mr. Levin said I assume over time if it’s $20,000 to begin with in year one and maybe less than year one, it certainly would increase over time.

Chairman McKiernan said okay that sounds like we have a consensus then on the direction that we’re asking staff to take and to bring back an RFA for our next meeting.

Commissioner Murguia said I want to say there are a lot of people here that came in support of the Public Safety. I’d just ask them, unless somebody has something to say, to just stand if you’re here and you’re in support of the Public Safety facility. If you could just stand up that would be great. It doesn’t mean you have to come forward. Don’t be afraid. (Approximately 12 people stood)

Teresa Gardner, 5745 Miami, said I do wish to speak. First off whether or not Walmart is there I think that would have been a good place for the South Patrol Station simply because a lot of the crime in that area is right across the street. It’s what the police call a hotspot. That would be a good location just to help cut down the crime in that particular housing development. The other thing is if you do allow retail development to go under, what does that cost? What did Indian Springs cost the UG when it failed? The need, South Patrol needs a new home, a better home, an adequate home and to me it’s a no brainer. This is the way to go and give them what they need to protect the community and that’s what I have to say.

Marcia Rupp said I just wanted to state I do agree with Commissioner Murguia on the presence of having a store there and by an interstate highway. I will tell you in 1967 bank robberies tripled because of the interstate highway. I know that because I worked in a bank for twenty-five years in security and we used to have once a month drillings by the FBI. This is not a bank but it’s a lot easier to rob than a bank.

Bill Rodgers, 7362 Yecker, said I’d just like to make a couple comments if I could. One, when we talk about leveraging dollars and I do agree with that wholeheartedly but one thing I didn’t hear tonight was the fact that a non-profit in the area has donated the land which should also be considerate of the cost of this facility and that’s a direct result of the hard work going on in that
area by certain non-profits. I would also like to say when we refer to this area as a strip mall, I don’t know if you guys have been down there, I typically wouldn’t refer to this as a strip mall. I’ve been involved from the very start of this plan and when we talk about the Tactical Unit, it would placed behind the South Patrol, I believe, and it’s a great location for it. You have the railyards, the big hill on that side is kind of hidden back there. I understand that when they leave they would be seeing no more than what I would call South Patrol.

I don’t disrespect your opinion by no means but I spent a lot of time on this project, hours on this project out in the community in the Argentine area, Metropolitan, Ruby and Silver City all in that area knocking on doors, talking to people on their porch, sitting in their living rooms and when we talk about Argentine community it’s a little bit different. When you know on their doors a lot of people invite you in. It’s not we’re going to talk on the porch, it’s come on in and we talk. I’ve spent a lot of time in individual houses.

Initially, we had the parole office evolve and the people were for it. They were for it. They want this. I was recently out again and had been revisiting with people and now the parole is gone they are really for it. We’ve had several meeting and packed house. I think what’s interesting is it’s not often that you get a community to agree on one thing, the entire community. This entire community has agreed that they want this police station. They support the police in that community, they want them there and they need this new facility. I for one know that the police come up a little short. They have their Command Unit housed at 50th & State, which was not designed for that. Now you have one of the bays which needs to be used for the work up there being used to park a Command Unit. They’re coming up a little short and I think it’s time you give them that. I want to thank you for your time.

Chairman McKiernan said that brings us to the end of Item No. 1 tonight. We’ll now cycle into what was originally Item No.1 so everything has pushed down by one.

Item No. 2 – 140303… QUARTERLY REPORT: JUNE 30, 2014

Lew Levin, Chief Financial Officer, said Commissioners, you may remember I believe it was two standing committee meetings ago at the request of the chairman of this meeting we brought forth an example report of Budget to Actuals and what we had discussed was presenting that report on a quarterly basis. What you have in your agenda packet is a report through the second quarter of 2014, however; we have actually modified it with the amended budget that was adopted in July of this year.

BUDGET TO ACTUAL REPORT, THRU JUNE 30, 2014
(Reflects 2014 Amended Budget)

Presented to Economic Development and Finance Standing Committee
September 8, 2014

Prepared by:
Finance Department
August 2014
## Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas

Budget to Actual through June 30, 2014

Second Quarter

### REVENUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALL FUNDS</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax Levy Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund - City</td>
<td>137,210,577</td>
<td>70,808,627</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond &amp; Interest - City</td>
<td>26,627,930</td>
<td>17,319,607</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund - County</td>
<td>51,500,522</td>
<td>39,003,796</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund - Consolidated Parks</td>
<td>5,183,112</td>
<td>3,335,284</td>
<td>64.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond &amp; Interest - County</td>
<td>1,241,945</td>
<td>981,003</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIFI Fund - County</td>
<td>2,721</td>
<td>1,407</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging</td>
<td>1,229,945</td>
<td>1,109,627</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental Disabilities</td>
<td>410,837</td>
<td>383,879</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elections</td>
<td>1,046,050</td>
<td>933,053</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>2,923,282</td>
<td>2,010,605</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>554,385</td>
<td>454,373</td>
<td>80.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total UG Tax Levy Funds</td>
<td>227,941,316</td>
<td>136,434,168</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPENDITURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALL FUNDS</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax Levy Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund - City</td>
<td>139,232,344</td>
<td>65,829,627</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond &amp; Interest - City</td>
<td>28,088,269</td>
<td>8,962,742</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund - County</td>
<td>53,149,278</td>
<td>29,748,714</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund - Consolidated Parks</td>
<td>5,486,234</td>
<td>2,824,900</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond &amp; Interest - County</td>
<td>1,712,013</td>
<td>534,009</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIFI Fund - County</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging</td>
<td>1,292,414</td>
<td>480,142</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental Disabilities</td>
<td>574,433</td>
<td>115,836</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elections</td>
<td>1,387,056</td>
<td>360,566</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>3,263,764</td>
<td>1,370,556</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>533,331</td>
<td>269,185</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total UG Tax Levy Funds</td>
<td>234,719,156</td>
<td>109,485,527</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Funds</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wyandotte County 911</td>
<td>770,000</td>
<td>424,463</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>545,500</td>
<td>259,389</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Trustee</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>206,691</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Sales Tax</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
<td>3,396,053</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>9,348,500</td>
<td>4,332,029</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Trust</td>
<td>1,019,000</td>
<td>414,658</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Commissary</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>14,028</td>
<td>56.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>537,000</td>
<td>264,947</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Levee</td>
<td>411,000</td>
<td>190,622</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register of Deeds Technology</td>
<td>140,300</td>
<td>66,453</td>
<td>47.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer System</td>
<td>28,620,000</td>
<td>12,651,022</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater</td>
<td>3,313,700</td>
<td>1,360,132</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street &amp; Highway</td>
<td>6,705,500</td>
<td>3,429,360</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower Hills Golf Course</td>
<td>773,100</td>
<td>239,021</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel &amp; Tourism</td>
<td>835,000</td>
<td>419,780</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stadium</td>
<td>8,126,329</td>
<td>8,111,694</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Other Funds</td>
<td>68,568,529</td>
<td>35,657,140</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL UG OPERATING BUDGET**

|                      | 295,599,845 | 172,101,369 | 58.0%       |

*County Library Fund*  

|                      | 2,236,757   | 2,089,594   | 93.8%       |

**Total ALL Funds**

|                      | 288,836,022 | 174,190,962 | 58.3%       |

*The County Library Mill Levy is set by the County Library Board and not the Unified Government Board of Commissioners.*
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### Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas

Budget to Actual through June 30, 2014

Second Quarter

#### CONSOLIDATED GENERAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax Revenue</td>
<td>$167,729,812</td>
<td>$99,747,335</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax</td>
<td>$59,511,000</td>
<td>$55,959,840</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent Tax</td>
<td>$2,465,700</td>
<td>$1,407,252</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Tax</td>
<td>$6,565,060</td>
<td>$3,288,721</td>
<td>50.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales &amp; Use Tax</td>
<td>$34,240,000</td>
<td>$17,494,698</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speedway Surplus</td>
<td>$520,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaza At Speedway Surplus</td>
<td>$423,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage Registration Tax</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>$807,561</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPU PILOT</td>
<td>$31,650,000</td>
<td>$11,836,948</td>
<td>37.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Franchise Tax</td>
<td>$8,117,600</td>
<td>$4,325,684</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casino Tax</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$498,847</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional 1% Casino Contribution</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Appropriation Debt Revenues</td>
<td>$6,133,606</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation Tax</td>
<td>$1,975,000</td>
<td>$1,428,755</td>
<td>72.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Tax Revenues</td>
<td>$2,524,946</td>
<td>$2,698,122</td>
<td>106.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$2,130,000</td>
<td>$1,150,004</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Licenses &amp; Permits</td>
<td>$3,543,600</td>
<td>$1,938,178</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation City General Fund</td>
<td>$2,800,000</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Intergovernmental Revenues</td>
<td>$743,600</td>
<td>$538,178</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$3,137,200</td>
<td>$7,446,356</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Service</td>
<td>$13,053,800</td>
<td>$5,557,061</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Trash Fees</td>
<td>$7,650,000</td>
<td>$3,125,559</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Inspection Fees</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
<td>$475,964</td>
<td>57.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Fee</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
<td>$449,632</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Shelters</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$147,040</td>
<td>73.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Rentals</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$144,306</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Charges for Services</td>
<td>$2,353,500</td>
<td>$1,214,511</td>
<td>51.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$5,538,000</td>
<td>$2,700,406</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fines Forfeits and Fees</td>
<td>$4,350,000</td>
<td>$2,167,215</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$4,350,000</td>
<td>$2,167,215</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Revenue</td>
<td>$1,825,000</td>
<td>$685,990</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Revenue</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$27,421</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Delinquent Taxes</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>$658,569</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td>$10,140,399</td>
<td>$1,487,669</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Charges</td>
<td>$1,480,382</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cemeter Land Sale</td>
<td>$545,455</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS Transfer</td>
<td>$2,256,000</td>
<td>$564,000</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer Fund Loan</td>
<td>$3,200,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Transfers</td>
<td>$53,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td>$2,608,563</td>
<td>$922,669</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$19,354,211</td>
<td>$11,265,644</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas  
Budget to Actual through June 30, 2014  
Second Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSOLIDATED GENERAL Expenditures</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$ 144,673,510</td>
<td>$ 70,610,312</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll</td>
<td>$ 98,199,905</td>
<td>$ 46,470,585</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>$ 3,496,627</td>
<td>$ 2,157,452</td>
<td>61.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPERs</td>
<td>$ 19,556,091</td>
<td>$ 9,888,552</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance</td>
<td>$ 17,089,502</td>
<td>$ 8,566,218</td>
<td>50.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retiree Health Insurance</td>
<td>$ 783,251</td>
<td>$ 333,085</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers’ Compensation</td>
<td>$ 1,001,278</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$ 4,545,856</td>
<td>$ 3,094,420</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual</td>
<td>$ 29,852,977</td>
<td>$ 19,309,716</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>$ 817,458</td>
<td>$ 419,042</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Maintenance</td>
<td>$ 695,404</td>
<td>$ 627,542</td>
<td>90.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATA/Transit Contract Fees</td>
<td>$ 2,692,365</td>
<td>$ 1,672,846</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorneys &amp; Lawyers</td>
<td>$ 459,984</td>
<td>$ 186,431</td>
<td>42.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Expense (Inmate)</td>
<td>$ 372,196</td>
<td>$ 302,097</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoner Housing (External)</td>
<td>$ 2,317,721</td>
<td>$ 2,317,721</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioner Medical Contracts</td>
<td>$ 3,310,880</td>
<td>$ 3,294,880</td>
<td>99.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash Contract</td>
<td>$ 6,279,157</td>
<td>$ 2,805,153</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$ 12,898,813</td>
<td>$ 7,674,203</td>
<td>59.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity</td>
<td>$ 5,880,663</td>
<td>$ 3,455,488</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>$ 411,503</td>
<td>$ 229,086</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$ 1,835,038</td>
<td>$ 1,115,950</td>
<td>60.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Parts</td>
<td>$ 459,687</td>
<td>$ 377,434</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$ 3,154,435</td>
<td>$ 1,733,018</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>$ 3,701,774</td>
<td>$ 1,657,880</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Equipment - Leases</td>
<td>$ 2,339,774</td>
<td>$ 1,287,083</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Projects</td>
<td>$ 1,362,000</td>
<td>$ 370,597</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants, Claims, Shared Revenue</td>
<td>$ 4,891,468</td>
<td>$ 2,184,767</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service</td>
<td>$ 7,021,677</td>
<td>$ 185,588</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service (Not STAR/TDD)</td>
<td>$ 888,071</td>
<td>$ 185,588</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service (STAR/TDD)</td>
<td>$ 6,133,606</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental Transfers (Out)</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous/Contingencies</td>
<td>$ 233,790</td>
<td>$ 98,719</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td>$ 1,600,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Expenditures</td>
<td>$ 197,884,866</td>
<td>$ 97,402,269</td>
<td>48.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

September 8, 2014
## Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas
### Budget to Actual through June 30, 2014
#### Second Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY GENERAL Revenues</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax</td>
<td>$44,194,128</td>
<td>$36,850,570</td>
<td>82.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent Tax</td>
<td>$30,946,200</td>
<td>$29,617,167</td>
<td>95.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Tax</td>
<td>$1,248,900</td>
<td>$724,337</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales &amp; Use Tax</td>
<td>$3,497,450</td>
<td>$1,747,194</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speedway Surplus</td>
<td>$4,260,000</td>
<td>$2,232,305</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaza At Speedway Surplus</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage Registration Tax</td>
<td>$43,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casino Tax</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>$807,591</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Tax Revenues</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$1,522,037</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses &amp; Permits</td>
<td>$538,576</td>
<td>$388,015</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental Revenue</td>
<td>$955,000</td>
<td>$388,015</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation City General Fund</td>
<td>$65,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Intergovernmental Revenues</td>
<td>$65,500</td>
<td>$14,954</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Service</td>
<td>$2,234,500</td>
<td>$643,074</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Fee</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
<td>$449,032</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Charges for Service</td>
<td>$434,500</td>
<td>$193,442</td>
<td>44.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines Forfeits and Fees</td>
<td>$1,016,000</td>
<td>$464,805</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$1,776,000</td>
<td>$677,877</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Revenue</td>
<td>$1,776,000</td>
<td>$677,877</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Delinquent Taxes</td>
<td>$1,776,000</td>
<td>$677,877</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td>$1,310,396</td>
<td>$183,528</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Charges</td>
<td>$53,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Transfers</td>
<td>$706,000</td>
<td>$183,528</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td>$706,000</td>
<td>$183,528</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenues</td>
<td>$61,880,622</td>
<td>$39,022,833</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas

## Budget to Actual through June 30, 2014

## Second Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY GENERAL</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actual</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$37,900,731</td>
<td>$17,912,239</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll</td>
<td>$25,974,859</td>
<td>$11,657,008</td>
<td>45.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>$1,904,378</td>
<td>$1,208,196</td>
<td>80.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPERS</td>
<td>$3,197,159</td>
<td>$1,518,948</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance</td>
<td>$5,013,790</td>
<td>$2,468,917</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retiree Health Insurance</td>
<td>$130,397</td>
<td>$50,206</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers’ Compensation</td>
<td>$278,146</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$1,202,004</td>
<td>$1,008,864</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contractual</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>$12,267,109</td>
<td>$9,319,161</td>
<td>76.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Maintenance</td>
<td>$272,200</td>
<td>$133,049</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorneys &amp; Lawyers</td>
<td>$144,239</td>
<td>$87,818</td>
<td>61.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoner Housing (External)</td>
<td>$82,528</td>
<td>$52,438</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoner Medical Contracts</td>
<td>$2,317,721</td>
<td>$2,317,721</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$3,294,880</td>
<td>$3,294,880</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commodity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>$1,282,923</td>
<td>$708,374</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$133,800</td>
<td>$77,511</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Parts</td>
<td>$162,150</td>
<td>$100,565</td>
<td>62.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$1,740</td>
<td>$263</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Outlay</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Equipment</td>
<td>$985,233</td>
<td>$528,015</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Projects</td>
<td>$1,091,681</td>
<td>$511,652</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grants, Claims, Shared Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous/Contingencies</td>
<td>$5,185</td>
<td>$4,145</td>
<td>79.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$83,148,278</td>
<td>$28,748,728</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*September 8, 2014*
Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas
Budget to Actual through June 30, 2014
Second Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY GENERAL</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Revenue</td>
<td>$111,837,574</td>
<td>$61,807,919</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax</td>
<td>$26,154,300</td>
<td>$24,992,546</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent Tax</td>
<td>$1,115,100</td>
<td>$646,905</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Taxes</td>
<td>$2,809,530</td>
<td>$1,463,014</td>
<td>50.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales &amp; Use Tax</td>
<td>$29,980,000</td>
<td>$15,262,391</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speedway Surplus</td>
<td>$460,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaza At Speedway Surplus</td>
<td>$380,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPU PILOT</td>
<td>$31,650,000</td>
<td>$11,336,048</td>
<td>37.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Franchise Tax</td>
<td>$8,117,500</td>
<td>$4,325,684</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casino Tax</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$499,847</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional 1% Casino Contribution</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Appropriation Debt Revenues</td>
<td>$6,133,606</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation Tax</td>
<td>$1,975,000</td>
<td>$1,428,755</td>
<td>72.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Tax Revenues</td>
<td>$1,962,538</td>
<td>$1,162,941</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses &amp; Permits</td>
<td>$1,175,000</td>
<td>$761,989</td>
<td>64.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental Revenue</td>
<td>$678,000</td>
<td>$523,225</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Service</td>
<td>$10,221,000</td>
<td>$4,567,539</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Trash Fees</td>
<td>$7,850,000</td>
<td>$3,125,588</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Inspection Fees</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
<td>$475,984</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Charges for Services</td>
<td>$1,721,000</td>
<td>$965,967</td>
<td>56.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines Forfeits and Fees</td>
<td>$4,630,000</td>
<td>$2,235,601</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Revenue</td>
<td>$4,350,000</td>
<td>$2,167,215</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Fines Forfeits and Fees</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
<td>$68,386</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Revenue</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$8,113</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td>$8,729,003</td>
<td>$1,204,141</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Charges</td>
<td>$928,986</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cenner Land Sale</td>
<td>$545,455</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS Transfer</td>
<td>$2,296,000</td>
<td>$564,000</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer Fund Loan</td>
<td>$3,200,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td>$1,798,582</td>
<td>$640,141</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenues</td>
<td>$137,210,577</td>
<td>$70,908,527</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

September 8, 2014
### Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas
**Budget to Actual through June 30, 2014**
**Second Quarter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY GENERAL Expenditures</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$104,988,779</td>
<td>$50,820,413</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll</td>
<td>$66,849,009</td>
<td>$33,620,513</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>$1,928,893</td>
<td>$831,059</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPERS</td>
<td>$16,143,531</td>
<td>$8,245,900</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance</td>
<td>$11,599,047</td>
<td>$5,849,780</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirees Health Insurance</td>
<td>$652,854</td>
<td>$275,149</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers’ Compensation</td>
<td>$723,132</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$3,172,313</td>
<td>$1,991,972</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>$16,725,823</td>
<td>$9,425,649</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Maintenance</td>
<td>$526,970</td>
<td>$278,162</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATE/Transit Contract Fees</td>
<td>$551,199</td>
<td>$539,424</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorneys &amp; Lawyers</td>
<td>$2,692,365</td>
<td>$1,672,846</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Expense (Internal)</td>
<td>$397,458</td>
<td>$170,995</td>
<td>43.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoner Medical Contracts</td>
<td>$372,195</td>
<td>$302,097</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash Contract</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$6,273,157</td>
<td>$2,805,153</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity</td>
<td>$5,881,911</td>
<td>$3,656,974</td>
<td>62.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>$4,071,036</td>
<td>$2,465,953</td>
<td>60.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$203,197</td>
<td>$106,703</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Parts</td>
<td>$1,499,815</td>
<td>$943,524</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$484,034</td>
<td>$376,315</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>$1,683,990</td>
<td>$1,025,611</td>
<td>54.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Equipment</td>
<td>$2,228,993</td>
<td>$982,057</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Projects</td>
<td>$1,557,993</td>
<td>$815,349</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants, Claims, Shared Revenue</td>
<td>$3,987,541</td>
<td>$1,887,020</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service (Not STAR Bond/TDD)</td>
<td>$888,071</td>
<td>$185,588</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAR Bond TDD Debt</td>
<td>$6,133,606</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous/Contingencies</td>
<td>$227,495</td>
<td>$93,954</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td>$906,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Expenditures</td>
<td>$139,232,344</td>
<td>$66,028,644</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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No action is required. It’s just information to share with the commissioners. I can review in any further detail what’s contained in the report. The first page looks at the summary for each fund
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that are budgeted and where they stand in terms of total budget and actuals through mid-year. The detail that’s provided after that looks in detail for the general funds and each of the three funds, the city/county and the consolidated parks funds that comprise the general fund.

Chairman McKiernan said certainly we would be open to any discussion or questions that commissioners have. I love these reports. I really appreciate you putting these together. They are excellent to give us an idea of how we’re doing and one of the things that I really love when I look at the consolidated report is at the 50% mark, and I know it’s dangerous to do this however, at the 50% mark of the year we’ve only spent 44% of what we budgeted that we would spend. On a percentage basis it would appear that both, revenue and expenditures, we’re pretty much hitting the mark that we expected. I know that we have peaks and valleys in terms of both revenue and expenditures but nothing looks like it’s just enormous. Would you agree that there is no one line or fund that really is way out of whack with what we kind of expected or projected? Mr. Levin said yes, I think if we turn to the Consolidated General Fund and I look at similar numbers that you do, I’ll start on the first page. It says Consolidated General Revenues and Tax Revenues that shows we’ve received 63% of the revenues year-to-date property, tax actually just under 96% and that’s because the major two tax distributions occur in January and June so we’ve received the majority of property tax revenues. By the end of the year our expectation is it will receive the total property tax revenue that we budgeted.

On some of the revenues there is actually slightly a one month lag, so we might have only received five out of the twelve months of revenues that we’re going to receive or receive it after the first week of the following month. The final distributions will be credited to December. If we turn the page, one key component is Personnel Expenditures and you see again, the Consolidated General Fund we have a total budget of $144.7M and we’re just under 50% as of June 30th and that’s a key number. There are certain expenses that will hit the fourth quarter but that’s the number we’re going to watch most closely and hopefully we’ll fall below budget on. On Contractual Expenses we’re above 50% but that is because certain of those expenditure areas that they’ve been encumbered early in the year so there won’t be any additional encumbrances.
Chairman McKiernan said what I would say is thank you to you and to your staff who prepared this. I think it’s great and I think it’s a great addition to what we get not only in this committee but then what the full commission gets as well. Thank you.

Action: For information only.

Item No. 3 – 140283...RESOLUTION: RENEWING UMB BANK AS A DEPOSITORY
Synopsis: A resolution renewing the designation of UMB Bank as an official Unified Government depository to receive UG funds, submitted by Lew Levin, Chief Financial Officer.

Lew Levin, Chief Financial Officer, said I’m actually going to turn this over to Jody Boeding just to give more of an explanation on the legal authority on why this is being brought before you.

Jody Boeding, Chief Legal Counsel, said UMB is currently designated as an official depository for the Unified Government funds both our operating accounts and any idle funds they choose to bid on. They have asked us to renew the corporate resolution and name the authorized officers and employees who are authorized to sign on the account and the change in personnel. That is the reason for this new resolution. It just updates a current one.

Action: Commissioner Walters made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Murguia, to adopt the resolution to renew the designation of UMB Bank as an official UG depository.

Commissioner Townsend said there is no time limit on this. It seems as though I read somewhere where this is required because of a recent change by FCC. Is that correct? Mr. Levin said I think that is really on the next item. Ms. Boeding said it does continue to have effect until written notice of the termination.

Roll call was taken and there were four “Ayes,” Walters, Murguia, Townsend, McKiernan.
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Item No. 4 – 140301… RESOLUTION: CASH MANAGEMENT POLICY


Doug Bach, County Administrator, said what staff is passing out is—you received a document for Item No. 3 but there was one additional change and that’s what came about this morning as we were working through it so Jody has highlighted it on your red line.

Lew Levin, Chief Financial Officer, said to give you a brief overview, Commissioners, the Unified Government has received expanded investment powers from the state and it allows us when we invest our idle funds we can go out up to four years and it gives us the opportunity even in this low interest rate environment to earn greater interest revenue. As part of that we have had in place for a number of years our Cash Management and Investment Policy. The state requires an annual review before the governing body. This is something we have done each year and we looked to see do we need to modify anything in our investment policy and how can we improve our investment policy.

We do have a staff committee that meets on a quarterly basis and at our last meeting we looked specifically at the area of an investment advisor and as Commissioner Townsend eluded to there has been action by the Securities and Exchange Commission associated with the Dodd-Frank Federal Legislation that specifically defines what a local government independent investment advisor is and what their requirements are. What we’ve attempted to do is simply add language that defines what an investment advisor is and we’ve incorporated it into our Cash Management and Investment Policy. The red line you have before you really details that addition to our Cash Management and Investment Policy.

The action we’re looking for is for the commission to approve this change, to take it before the full commission and then once that’s done we will submit it to the state of Kansas to continue our expanded investment power authority.
Action: Commissioner Walters made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Murguia, to approve. Roll call was taken and there were four “Ayes,” Walters, Murguia, Townsend, McKiernan.

Item No. 5 – 140298…ORDINANCE: DIGITAL OUTDOOR SIGNING SERVICES
Synopsis: An ordinance adding “digital outdoor advertising services” to the Occupation Tax list, amending Section 34-31, submitted by Patrick Waters, Legal.

Patrick Waters, Legal, said this is a proposed amendment that actually compliments another ordinance that is being considered by the Planning Commission as we speak. That would change the sign code to formally allow for digital billboards. What this does is amend the occupation tax code to tax those boards assuming that it passes through Planning. We have two different ones. One, the first ordinance simply adds the definition of digital outdoor advertising services. The second ordinance we actually implement the tax.

Chairman McKiernan said this is all related to the initiative that Commissioner Walker has been working on to try to clarify and update some of the sign ordinances in general. Mr. Waters said correct.

Commissioner Townsend said I was wondering in light of the fact that Commissioner Walker I think had withdrawn for a rework of that, what is the impact on moving this ahead without that part of the amended law being in place already. Mr. Waters said yes, Commissioner Townsend. I’ve actually spoken with Commissioner Walker and we’ve found language that he is comfortable with and those proposed revisions is what is going before the Planning Commission tonight. He is comfortable with that new language and that is going on a separate tract. If this were to pass tonight, they would both converge at the September 25th full commission meeting. You would have all of the ordinances. You would have the sign code amendment to look at and the occupation tax amendment. They are on separate tracts right now but they would come together on the 25th.
Commissioner Murguia said I’m not really clear about this. Are you saying that moving forward or have we in the past charged $10,000 for outdoor advertising signs less than 300 square feet and $20,000 for those over. Has that been our policy in the past? Mr. Waters said the blue sheeted version should be different. I hope you have—the blue sheeted numbers are $2,500 and $10,000. I’m sorry if you didn’t—Commissioner Murguia asked have we always charged the $2,500 and $10,000. Mr. Waters said no. This is new because we’ve never had digital billboards before. Currently for static billboards it is $351 for the small, $1,400 for the larger ones. What we’ve done is under the new proposed ordinance the digital boards would be allowed to display seven different boards per minute. What we’ve done to come up with these figures is basically multiply the tax amount that the static boards have by seven to reflect the increased amount of advertising and faces that it would be able to show. That’s how the numbers for the digital work. Commissioner Murguia said all the digital boards that we currently up that weren’t allowed, the people that got variances for those, that have moved forward that are already out there; did they pay $2,500 or $10,000 for those boards? Mr. Waters said actually, Commissioner, my understanding is I don’t believe KCK has any digital. The ones out by the casino are in Edwardsville. Mr. Bach said she is referring to digital signs versus digital billboards. I think that is where the confusion is. We don’t have any digital billboards in our community today. We do have digital stores that are there in front of or signs in front of stores. Commissioner Murguia said there is a digital billboard out by Edwardsville on 435. Mr. Bach said in Edwardsville. Commissioner Murguia said oh it’s in Edwardsville. Mr. Bach said it’s in their jurisdiction. Commissioner Murguia said that’s why. So you’re not talking about the digital signage, the ones that businesses have now. Mr. Waters said no. These are the large highway. These would be along the highway, the large board. Commissioner Murguia said all this is addressing is that cost on those issues that would allow those. Mr. Waters said correct.

Chairman McKiernan said we have two separate but related items here. The first is adding digital outdoor advertising services to the occupation tax list and the second is then setting the occupation tax amount as submitted on the blue sheet.
Action: Commissioner Walters made a motion to approve the ordinance adding digital outdoor services to the occupation tax list and the ordinance setting the occupation tax amount for those as presented in the blue sheet.

Chairman McKiernan asked, Jody can we approve both with the same vote? Ms. Boeding said if everybody votes the same way.

Action: Motion seconded by Commissioner Murguia, to approve both items. Roll call was taken and there were four “Ayes,” Walters, Murguia, Townsend, McKiernan.

Item No. 6 – 140299…ORDINANCE: DIGITAL OUTDOOR SIGNING SERVICES

Synopsis: An ordinance setting the occupation tax amount for digital outdoor advertising services, amending Section 34-77, submitted by Patrick Waters, Legal.

Action: Item heard with previous item.

Outcomes Economic Development. Foster and environment in which small and large business thrive, jobs are created, redevelopment continues, tourism continues to grow, and businesses located in the community.

January 6, 2014
1. Relook at the tax credit policy
2. Scoring system
3. Strategy countywide for attracting economic development as it relates to housing
4. How much low-income housing
5. How much fair-market housing
6. Over the last five years, what percentage of new housing has income restrictions – report from Charles Brockman, Economic Development Dept. and Mike Grimm, UG Research Division
7. Data on number of new housing starts; types of new housing starts
8. Total number of dwellings for each project
February 3, 2014
Discussion and presentation on workforce housing and its relationship to the LIHTC program.

March 3, 2014
Communication presenting a TIF financial status report which details each TIF since 1994, submitted by Charles Brockman, Economic Development. In addition to the separation of residential and commercial projects, the financial status is broken into three categories: 1) Current TIF’s 2) TIF’s paid off early, and 3) Other.

Adjourn

Chairman McKiernan adjourned the meeting at 7:16 p.m.
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TO: Economic Development and Finance Standing Committee

FROM: Lew Levin, Chief Financial Officer

SUBJECT: Quarterly Investment and Budget Revision Report, 9/30/14

DATE: October 21, 2014

Attached you will find three schedules entitled “Investment by Type, Interest Revenue Earned, and Cash by Fund Type”. A fourth table lists budget revisions approved by Administration in excess of $10,000. Also listed are budget revisions in excess of $50,000 that were presented to the Commission.

The first schedule contains details of the Unified Government cash currently invested indicating investment type, date invested, maturity date, as well as interest rate.

The second schedule is a chart comparing the total interest earned, and the average invested for years 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014 through June 30, 2014.

The third schedule indicates the total cash held by fund type.

The final table provides a brief description of the budget revisions referred to above.

These reports are presented for inclusion in the information packet to the Standing Committee members and no action is required.

cc: Cash Management Committee
## INVESTMENT BY TYPE
### UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY / KANSAS CITY, KANSAS
### September 30, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Invest. Date</th>
<th>Mat. Date</th>
<th>Days to Mat.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS</strong></td>
<td>$12,888,000</td>
<td>0.250%</td>
<td>09/30/14</td>
<td>10/01/14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty Bank Local Emphasis</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
<td>0.400%</td>
<td>05/13/14</td>
<td>05/12/15</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of Labor Local Emphasis</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>0.120%</td>
<td>05/12/14</td>
<td>05/12/15</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce Local Emphasis</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>0.200%</td>
<td>05/12/14</td>
<td>05/12/15</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Federal</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>0.400%</td>
<td>08/10/12</td>
<td>12/01/14</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty Bank</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1.280%</td>
<td>06/28/11</td>
<td>12/03/14</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Federal</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
<td>0.430%</td>
<td>12/13/12</td>
<td>08/29/15</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty Bank</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>0.650%</td>
<td>12/14/12</td>
<td>08/28/15</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Federal</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td>0.810%</td>
<td>01/20/12</td>
<td>11/03/15</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty Bank</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1.110%</td>
<td>01/20/12</td>
<td>11/03/15</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>0.700%</td>
<td>01/20/12</td>
<td>11/03/15</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Federal</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>0.480%</td>
<td>05/13/14</td>
<td>05/23/16</td>
<td>601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td>0.451%</td>
<td>05/28/14</td>
<td>05/23/16</td>
<td>601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Federal</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td>0.470%</td>
<td>05/22/13</td>
<td>07/24/16</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty Bank</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>0.820%</td>
<td>12/14/12</td>
<td>12/13/16</td>
<td>805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Federal</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td>0.890%</td>
<td>05/22/13</td>
<td>04/28/17</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Federal</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td>0.890%</td>
<td>05/22/13</td>
<td>05/22/17</td>
<td>965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>1.100%</td>
<td>05/09/14</td>
<td>11/01/17</td>
<td>1,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td>1.300%</td>
<td>05/09/14</td>
<td>05/04/18</td>
<td>1,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT</strong></td>
<td>$71,285,000</td>
<td>0.679%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMB/FFCB</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>1.670%</td>
<td>04/23/11</td>
<td>03/24/15</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMB/FNMA</td>
<td>7,037,722</td>
<td>0.500%</td>
<td>02/01/13</td>
<td>05/27/15</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMB/FHLMC</td>
<td>664,326</td>
<td>0.500%</td>
<td>05/09/13</td>
<td>05/13/16</td>
<td>591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMB/FHCBL</td>
<td>5,000,186</td>
<td>0.600%</td>
<td>12/14/12</td>
<td>12/13/16</td>
<td>805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMB/FHCM</td>
<td>4,995,774</td>
<td>0.700%</td>
<td>09/23/14</td>
<td>09/15/16</td>
<td>888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMB/FNMAC</td>
<td>1,031,813</td>
<td>0.800%</td>
<td>02/25/14</td>
<td>09/22/17</td>
<td>1,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMB/FFCBS</td>
<td>1,000,958</td>
<td>1.100%</td>
<td>02/25/14</td>
<td>09/22/17</td>
<td>1,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMB/FHLC</td>
<td>5,086,631</td>
<td>1.694%</td>
<td>09/25/14</td>
<td>09/14/18</td>
<td>1,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL U.S. TREASURY</strong></td>
<td>$30,007,290</td>
<td>0.949%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMP NOTE 2014V</td>
<td>210,000</td>
<td>0.250%</td>
<td>5/8/2014</td>
<td>3/1/2015</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMP NOTE 2014-VI</td>
<td>1,520,000</td>
<td>0.250%</td>
<td>7/15/2014</td>
<td>3/2/2015</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMP NOTE 2014V</td>
<td>60,125</td>
<td>0.450%</td>
<td>2/27/2014</td>
<td>8/1/2015</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMP NOTE 2014V</td>
<td>75,125</td>
<td>0.700%</td>
<td>2/27/2014</td>
<td>8/1/2016</td>
<td>871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMP NOTE 2014V</td>
<td>82,125</td>
<td>1.100%</td>
<td>2/27/2014</td>
<td>8/1/2017</td>
<td>1,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL TEMPORARY NOTES</strong></td>
<td>$1,947,375</td>
<td>0.309%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### STATISTICS
- **Total Investments**: $114,907,065  
  **Avg. Days**: 580
- **Overall Average Rate of Interest**: 0.70%
- **Average Investment**: $158,696,544
- **Weighted Average Yield**: 0.50%
- **91-day T-Bill Rate (Benchmark)**: 0.01%
- **Average Weighted Maturity**: 409.73
- **Interest Posted Through SEPTEMBER 30, 2014**: $362,307

**ALL ABOVE INVESTMENTS ARE FULLY COLLATERALIZED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT’S INVESTMENT POLICIES AND K.S.A. 9-1402**

**INTEREST POSTED IS CALCULATED ON A GAAP BASIS.**
Millions

Interest Revenue Earned
2010-2014

$2

$1

$0

2011

$142.1 average invested

2012

$140.8 average invested

2013

$163.6 average invested

2014

$158.7 average invested
CASH BY FUND TYPE
September 30, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND TYPE</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL FUND TYPE</td>
<td>22,082,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL REVENUE FUND TYPE</td>
<td>13,930,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEBT SERVICE FUND TYPE</td>
<td>887,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPITAL PROJECT FUND TYPE</td>
<td>54,171,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENTERPRISE FUND TYPE</td>
<td>14,312,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERNAL SERVICE FUND TYPE</td>
<td>(765,902)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUST AND AGENCY FUND TYPE</td>
<td>8,005,103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL CASH</strong></td>
<td><strong>112,632,868</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between the Cash by Fund Type and the Investment by Type report is the investment of reconciling items, such as outstanding warrants.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>City General Fund</th>
<th>City/County General Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Police Operating</td>
<td>Telephone Contract Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Finance Operating</td>
<td>Financial Reporting Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BUDGET REVISIONS $10,000 OR GREATER - THIRD QUARTER 2014**

For information only
**Staff Request for Commission Action**

**Tracking No. 140359**

| □ Revised | □ On Going |

**Type:** Standard  
**Committee:** Economic Development and Finance Committee

**Date:** 11/3/2014
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**Proposed for the following Full Commission Meeting Date:** 11/20/2014

**Confirmed Date:** 11/20/2014

**Changes Recommended By Standing Committee (New Action Form required with signatures)**
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**Item Description:** Budget to Actual Report thru 3rd Quarter of 2014

**Action Requested:** For review and discussion only.

**Publication Required**
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- **Amount:** $
- **Source:**
  - [ ] Included In Budget
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- [File Attachment]
- [File Attachment]
BUDGET TO ACTUAL REPORT, THRU SEPTEMBER 30, 2014

(Reflects 2014 Amended Budget)

Presented to Economic Development and Finance Standing Committee
November 3, 2014

Prepared by:
Finance Department
October 2014
## Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas

Budget to Actual through September 30, 2014
Second Quarter

### REVENUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALL FUNDS</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
<th>EXPENDITURES</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tax Levy Funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total UG Tax Levy Funds</strong></td>
<td>227,941,316</td>
<td>180,372,184</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund - City</td>
<td>137,210,577</td>
<td>99,267,986</td>
<td>72.3%</td>
<td>136,232,344</td>
<td>95,606,752</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond &amp; Interest - City</td>
<td>26,627,930</td>
<td>23,519,294</td>
<td>89.6%</td>
<td>28,068,269</td>
<td>26,791,185</td>
<td>95.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund - County</td>
<td>51,560,822</td>
<td>46,743,195</td>
<td>90.7%</td>
<td>53,146,278</td>
<td>40,021,809</td>
<td>75.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund - Consolidated Parks</td>
<td>6,193,112</td>
<td>3,588,171</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>5,486,234</td>
<td>4,070,822</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond &amp; Interest - County</td>
<td>1,241,945</td>
<td>1,270,022</td>
<td>102.5%</td>
<td>1,712,013</td>
<td>1,587,252</td>
<td>92.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIFI Fund - County</td>
<td>2,721</td>
<td>1,677</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging</td>
<td>1,229,945</td>
<td>1,212,478</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
<td>1,292,414</td>
<td>707,001</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental Disabilities</td>
<td>410,837</td>
<td>419,110</td>
<td>102.6%</td>
<td>574,433</td>
<td>270,714</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elections</td>
<td>1,049,060</td>
<td>1,030,268</td>
<td>98.5%</td>
<td>1,387,056</td>
<td>748,451</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>2,923,262</td>
<td>2,422,447</td>
<td>82.9%</td>
<td>3,263,784</td>
<td>2,270,517</td>
<td>69.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>504,305</td>
<td>497,557</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
<td>533,331</td>
<td>403,748</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyandotte County 911</td>
<td>770,000</td>
<td>612,873</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
<td>899,850</td>
<td>754,499</td>
<td>83.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>545,500</td>
<td>398,303</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>354,875</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Trustee</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>318,280</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
<td>591,699</td>
<td>301,780</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Sales Tax</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
<td>5,243,791</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
<td>7,262,308</td>
<td>3,620,793</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>9,346,500</td>
<td>6,839,117</td>
<td>73.2%</td>
<td>9,432,829</td>
<td>6,300,372</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Trust</td>
<td>1,019,000</td>
<td>675,727</td>
<td>66.3%</td>
<td>1,170,000</td>
<td>162,323</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Commissary</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>24,546</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>29,975</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>537,000</td>
<td>396,336</td>
<td>73.8%</td>
<td>637,000</td>
<td>334,836</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Levee</td>
<td>411,000</td>
<td>286,368</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>540,616</td>
<td>406,943</td>
<td>75.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register of Deeds Technology</td>
<td>140,300</td>
<td>101,317</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>170,008</td>
<td>106,872</td>
<td>64.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer System</td>
<td>28,620,600</td>
<td>19,219,687</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>34,277,884</td>
<td>21,452,371</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater</td>
<td>3,313,700</td>
<td>2,218,773</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
<td>4,575,372</td>
<td>1,839,451</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street &amp; Highway</td>
<td>6,706,560</td>
<td>5,081,361</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td>6,739,169</td>
<td>4,448,514</td>
<td>66.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower Hills Golf Course</td>
<td>773,100</td>
<td>504,806</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td>802,344</td>
<td>485,466</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel &amp; Tourism</td>
<td>835,000</td>
<td>629,790</td>
<td>75.4%</td>
<td>873,819</td>
<td>873,819</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stadium</td>
<td>8,126,329</td>
<td>8,119,569</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>6,287,476</td>
<td>5,703,690</td>
<td>90.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Other Funds</strong></td>
<td>68,568,529</td>
<td>50,670,642</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
<td>74,920,372</td>
<td>47,078,579</td>
<td>62.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL UG OPERATING BUDGET</strong></td>
<td>296,509,845</td>
<td>231,042,826</td>
<td>77.9%</td>
<td>309,639,528</td>
<td>219,556,828</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>County Library Fund</em></td>
<td>2,226,757</td>
<td>2,225,367</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>2,407,520</td>
<td>2,225,461</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total ALL Funds</strong></td>
<td>298,736,602</td>
<td>233,268,194</td>
<td>78.1%</td>
<td>312,047,048</td>
<td>221,782,289</td>
<td>71.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The County Library Mill Levy is set by the County Library Board and not the Unified Government Board of Commissioners.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY GENERAL</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax</td>
<td>$111,837,574</td>
<td>$82,412,280</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent Tax</td>
<td>$26,154,300</td>
<td>$26,146,993</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Tax</td>
<td>$1,115,100</td>
<td>$1,065,487</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales &amp; Use Tax</td>
<td>$2,909,530</td>
<td>$2,541,404</td>
<td>87.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speedway Surplus</td>
<td>$29,980,000</td>
<td>$23,265,621</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaza At Speedway Surplus</td>
<td>$460,000</td>
<td>$376,598</td>
<td>81.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPU PILOT</td>
<td>$31,650,000</td>
<td>$19,657,164</td>
<td>62.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Franchise Tax</td>
<td>$6,117,500</td>
<td>$5,179,554</td>
<td>63.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casino Tax</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$749,320</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional 1% Casino Contribution</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Appropriation Debt Revenues</td>
<td>$6,133,806</td>
<td>$1,482,221</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation Tax</td>
<td>$1,975,000</td>
<td>$1,482,221</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Tax Revenues</td>
<td>$1,962,538</td>
<td>$1,398,006</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses &amp; Permits</td>
<td>$1,175,000</td>
<td>$987,507</td>
<td>84.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental Revenue</td>
<td>$678,000</td>
<td>$623,155</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Service</td>
<td>$10,221,000</td>
<td>$7,242,752</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Trash Fees</td>
<td>$7,650,000</td>
<td>$5,069,786</td>
<td>66.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Inspection Fees</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
<td>$753,548</td>
<td>88.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Charges for Services</td>
<td>$1,721,000</td>
<td>$1,419,417</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines Forfeits and Fees</td>
<td>$4,520,000</td>
<td>$3,472,385</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Court Revenue</td>
<td>$4,350,000</td>
<td>$3,290,084</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Fines Forfeits and Fees</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
<td>$182,281</td>
<td>107.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Revenue</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$14,793</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td>$8,729,003</td>
<td>$4,515,134</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Charges</td>
<td>$928,986</td>
<td>$449,768</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerner Land Sale</td>
<td>$545,455</td>
<td>$545,455</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS Transfer</td>
<td>$2,258,000</td>
<td>$1,128,000</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer Fund Loan</td>
<td>$3,200,000</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td>$1,798,562</td>
<td>$891,912</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenues</td>
<td>$137,210,577</td>
<td>$99,267,986</td>
<td>72.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas

Budget to Actual through September 30, 2014

Second Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY GENERAL Expenditures</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$104,068,779</td>
<td>$76,582,284</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll</td>
<td>$68,849,009</td>
<td>$49,721,773</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>$1,928,893</td>
<td>$1,168,416</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPERS</td>
<td>$16,143,531</td>
<td>$12,230,363</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance</td>
<td>$11,599,047</td>
<td>$8,426,222</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retiree Health Insurance</td>
<td>$652,854</td>
<td>$406,065</td>
<td>62.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers' Compensation</td>
<td>$723,132</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$3,172,313</td>
<td>$3,629,445</td>
<td>114.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contractual</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telphone</td>
<td>$16,726,823</td>
<td>$13,019,333</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Maintenance</td>
<td>$551,169</td>
<td>$537,680</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATA/Transit Contract Fees</td>
<td>$2,992,365</td>
<td>$2,452,365</td>
<td>91.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorneys &amp; Lawyers</td>
<td>$397,456</td>
<td>$357,956</td>
<td>90.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Expense (Internal)</td>
<td>$372,195</td>
<td>$372,195</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoner Medical Contracts</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash Contract</td>
<td>$6,279,157</td>
<td>$4,487,476</td>
<td>71.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$5,881,611</td>
<td>$4,433,087</td>
<td>75.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commodity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>$4,071,036</td>
<td>$3,304,187</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$203,197</td>
<td>$122,897</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Parts</td>
<td>$1,499,815</td>
<td>$1,377,772</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$484,034</td>
<td>$395,239</td>
<td>81.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Outlay</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Equipment</td>
<td>$1,587,993</td>
<td>$1,365,655</td>
<td>85.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Projects</td>
<td>$632,000</td>
<td>$200,158</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grants, Claims, Shared Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service (Not STAR Bond/TDD)</td>
<td>$3,987,541</td>
<td>$1,914,741</td>
<td>48.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAR Bond TDD Debt</td>
<td>$888,071</td>
<td>$185,588</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous/Contingencies</td>
<td>$6,133,606</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td>$227,496</td>
<td>$95,554</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas
Budget to Actual through September 30, 2014
Second Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY GENERAL Revenues</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax</td>
<td>$44,194,126</td>
<td>$41,989,392</td>
<td>95.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent Tax</td>
<td>$3,096,200</td>
<td>$3,097,263</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Tax</td>
<td>$3,497,450</td>
<td>$3,050,993</td>
<td>87.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales &amp; Use Tax</td>
<td>$4,260,000</td>
<td>$3,425,234</td>
<td>80.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speedway Surplus</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$41,844</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaza At Speedway Surplus</td>
<td>$43,000</td>
<td>$40,179</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage Registration Tax</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>$1,247,241</td>
<td>78.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casino Tax</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$1,498,341</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Tax Revenues</td>
<td>$538,576</td>
<td>$538,549</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses &amp; Permits</td>
<td>$955,000</td>
<td>$669,845</td>
<td>70.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental Revenue</td>
<td>$65,500</td>
<td>$65,209</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation City General Fund</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Intergovernmental Revenues</td>
<td>$65,500</td>
<td>$15,209</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Fee</td>
<td>$2,234,500</td>
<td>$1,316,879</td>
<td>58.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Charges for Service</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
<td>$1,038,090</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines Forfeits and Fees</td>
<td>$434,500</td>
<td>$277,789</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,016,000</td>
<td>$754,981</td>
<td>74.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Revenue</td>
<td>$1,775,000</td>
<td>$1,202,033</td>
<td>67.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Delinquent Taxes</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>$71,865</td>
<td>41.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>$1,130,148</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Charges</td>
<td>$1,310,396</td>
<td>$766,866</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Transfers</td>
<td>$551,396</td>
<td>$506,396</td>
<td>91.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td>$53,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>94.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$708,000</td>
<td>$209,460</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenues</td>
<td>$51,560,522</td>
<td>$46,743,195</td>
<td>90.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas
Budget to Actual through September 30, 2014
Second Quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY GENERAL Expenditures</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$37,000,731</td>
<td>$27,809,746</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll</td>
<td>$25,674,886</td>
<td>$18,091,830</td>
<td>70.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>$1,504,378</td>
<td>$1,782,219</td>
<td>118.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPERS</td>
<td>$3,197,158</td>
<td>$2,410,948</td>
<td>75.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance</td>
<td>$5,013,790</td>
<td>$3,848,420</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retiree Health Insurance</td>
<td>$130,397</td>
<td>$74,436</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers’ Compensation</td>
<td>$278,146</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$1,202,004</td>
<td>$1,601,993</td>
<td>133.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual</td>
<td>$12,267,109</td>
<td>$10,172,106</td>
<td>82.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>$272,200</td>
<td>$191,269</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Maintenance</td>
<td>$144,235</td>
<td>$104,021</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorneys &amp; Lawyers</td>
<td>$62,528</td>
<td>$27,603</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoner Housing (External)</td>
<td>$2,317,721</td>
<td>$2,067,621</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoner Medical Contracts</td>
<td>$3,294,880</td>
<td>$3,294,880</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$6,175,545</td>
<td>$4,486,712</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity</td>
<td>$1,282,923</td>
<td>$926,565</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>$133,800</td>
<td>$87,010</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$182,150</td>
<td>$152,823</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Parts</td>
<td>$1,740</td>
<td>$263</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$985,233</td>
<td>$686,449</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>$1,091,681</td>
<td>$558,983</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Equipment</td>
<td>$551,681</td>
<td>$341,271</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Projects</td>
<td>$540,000</td>
<td>$217,712</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants, Claims, Shared Revenue</td>
<td>$898,649</td>
<td>$617,683</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous/Contingencies</td>
<td>$5,185</td>
<td>$6,372</td>
<td>122.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Expenditures</td>
<td>$53,146,278</td>
<td>$40,091,465</td>
<td>75.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas
### Budget to Actual through September 30, 2014
#### Second Quarter

### CONSOLIDATED PARKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax</td>
<td>$1,594,112</td>
<td>$1,630,910</td>
<td>96.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent Tax</td>
<td>$1,410,000</td>
<td>$1,410,026</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Tax</td>
<td>$101,700</td>
<td>$59,004</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Tax Revenues</td>
<td>$168,080</td>
<td>$139,014</td>
<td>83.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses &amp; Permits</td>
<td>$23,932</td>
<td>$23,976</td>
<td>100.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental Revenue</td>
<td>$2,800,000</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation City General Fund</td>
<td>$2,800,000</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Shelters</td>
<td>$668,000</td>
<td>$455,071</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Rentals</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$206,034</td>
<td>103.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Charges for Service</td>
<td>$198,000</td>
<td>$85,003</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines Forfeits and Fees</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Transfers</td>
<td>$101,000</td>
<td>$101,189</td>
<td>100.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td>$101,000</td>
<td>$101,189</td>
<td>100.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenues</td>
<td>$5,103,112</td>
<td>$3,688,171</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>2014 Budget</th>
<th>2014 Actuals</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payroll</td>
<td>$3,603,000</td>
<td>$2,752,934</td>
<td>76.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>$2,675,038</td>
<td>$1,821,191</td>
<td>67.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPERS</td>
<td>$63,260</td>
<td>$10,713</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance</td>
<td>$215,402</td>
<td>$197,083</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referee Health Insurance</td>
<td>$479,655</td>
<td>$366,659</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$39,539</td>
<td>$15,798</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual</td>
<td>$869,846</td>
<td>$866,809</td>
<td>79.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>$18,388</td>
<td>$12,746</td>
<td>69.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$841,657</td>
<td>$673,854</td>
<td>80.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity</td>
<td>$536,704</td>
<td>$463,485</td>
<td>73.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>$74,506</td>
<td>$45,383</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$196,373</td>
<td>$119,327</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Parts</td>
<td>$3,013</td>
<td>$3,121</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$285,212</td>
<td>$226,654</td>
<td>83.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>$380,100</td>
<td>$235,112</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Equipment</td>
<td>$190,100</td>
<td>$136,779</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Projects</td>
<td>$190,000</td>
<td>$98,333</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants, Claims, Shared Revenue</td>
<td>$5,275</td>
<td>$2,812</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous/Contingencies</td>
<td>$1,110</td>
<td>$620</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Expenditures</td>
<td>$5,466,234</td>
<td>$4,081,363</td>
<td>74.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Type: Standard
Committee: Economic Development and Finance Committee

Date of Standing Committee Action: 11/3/2014
(If none, please explain):

Proposed for the following Full Commission Meeting Date: 11/20/2014
Confirmed Date: 11/20/2014

☐ Changes Recommended By Standing Committee (New Action Form required with signatures)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>Contact Phone</th>
<th>Contact Email</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Department / Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/22/2014</td>
<td>Debbie Jonscher</td>
<td>5847</td>
<td><a href="mailto:avillarreal@wycokck.org">avillarreal@wycokck.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>Finance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Item Description:
Please find attached the following three schedules:

Schedule "A": A list of all CMIP projects approved to be funded per the 2015 CMIP Budget.

Schedule "B": A list of all ongoing projects per the CMIP Budget requiring an increase in Authority, amendment to description, and/or additional financing for the 2015 issue. These projects will require amendments to the original authorizing resolutions listed in the schedule.

Schedule "C": Five Reimbursement Resolutions: The Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas Expects to make capital expenditures after the date of these resolutions and the Unified Government intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of bonds, notes or a lease purchase agreement up to the maximum stated per project.

The 2015 Temporary Note and Bond Authorizing Sale Resolution will be presented to this committee at the December Standing Committee.

Action Requested:
Adopt Resolutions.

☐ Publication Required

Budget Impact: (if applicable)

Amount: $
Source:
☑ Included in Budget Consistent with the CMIP
☐ Other (explain)
## SCHEDULE "A"

### 2015 APPROVED PROJECTS PER CMIP BUDGET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>CMIP #</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Project Inception</th>
<th>2015 CMIP Budgeted Financing</th>
<th>2015 Total Project Authority</th>
<th>Project Contact &amp; Extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26th &amp; Chin Storm Sewer</td>
<td>963 3040</td>
<td>Storm Sewers</td>
<td>1/1/2015</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>$950,000.00</td>
<td>Brent Thompson 5710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ariel/Colt Resurfacing 2015 (970-1302)</td>
<td>941 0415</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>8/1/2013</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevator Upgrades City 2015 (969-8197)</td>
<td>948 0115</td>
<td>Public Building</td>
<td>3/1/2015</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>Don Jones 5331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities Parking Maint. &amp; Repair City 2015 (969-8513)</td>
<td>948 0215</td>
<td>Public Building</td>
<td>3/1/2015</td>
<td>$750,000.00</td>
<td>$750,000.00</td>
<td>Don Jones 5331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax Industrial Area Improvements, 2014</td>
<td>970 1220</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>1/1/2014</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial District Repairs 2015 (970-1113)</td>
<td>941 0115</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>8/1/2015</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota Ave, 7th - 8th</td>
<td>970 1610</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>10/31/2014</td>
<td>$1,450,000.00</td>
<td>$1,450,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Street Resurfacing 2015 (970-1209)</td>
<td>941 0215</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>8/1/2015</td>
<td>$1,900,000.00</td>
<td>$1,900,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Station #12 elimination</td>
<td>963 6124</td>
<td>Sanitary Sewers</td>
<td>12/30/2015</td>
<td>$750,000.00</td>
<td>$750,000.00</td>
<td>Lori Mundenhake 5708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speedway Blvd Resurfacing, I-70 to State Ave</td>
<td>970 1023</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>1/1/2015</td>
<td>$360,000.00</td>
<td>$360,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Corner Creek Interceptor Sewer 116th St</td>
<td>963 6122</td>
<td>Sanitary Sewers</td>
<td>1/1/2015</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
<td>Lori Mundenhake 5708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Oaks Capacity 82nd &amp; Hessler</td>
<td>963 5043</td>
<td>Storm Sewers</td>
<td>9/1/2015</td>
<td>$130,000.00</td>
<td>$730,000.00</td>
<td>Brent Thompson 5710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyco Lake - Drawdown Tower Repair</td>
<td>971 4223</td>
<td>Park Improvement</td>
<td>12/31/2014</td>
<td>$35,000.00</td>
<td>$350,000.00</td>
<td>Brent Thompson 5710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WYCO Lake Marina Service Docs</td>
<td>978 4222</td>
<td>Park Improvement</td>
<td>1/1/2015</td>
<td>$225,000.00</td>
<td>$225,000.00</td>
<td>Jack Webb 8362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTALS**

$7,809,000.00 $9,515,000.00
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN STORM WATER IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the "Unified Government") authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain storm water improvements, as more fully described herein, and

WHEREAS, on November 18, 2010, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No. R-80-10 authorizing the 29th and Ohio Storm Sewer Reconstruction Project, CMIP 963-5040 improvements, as more fully described therein; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government desires to repeal Resolution No. R-80-10 and adopt this Resolution in its place.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to make the following 29th and OHIO STORM SEWER CMIP 963-5040 improvements (the "Improvements"): Storm sewer improvements in the Matoon Creek water shed, an area bounded by Tauerme Avenue to the north, Grandview Boulevard to the east, Park Drive to the south and Matoon Creek to the west, including a preliminary engineering study, preliminary design, final design, repair and/or reconstruction of existing stormwater facilities including but not limited to removal and replacement of approximately 2600 linear feet of pipe, installation of 30 new concrete structures, manhole rehabilitation and restoration of storm water facilities, including any necessary land acquisition, engineering, design, and associated construction costs, including any appurtenances related thereto.

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $950,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.
Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $950,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 4. Resolution No. R-80-10 is hereby repealed.

Section 5. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ___ day of __________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

ATTEST: __________________________

Mayor/CEO

______________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN STREET IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the “Unified Government”) authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain street improvements, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to make the following 2015 ARTERIAL/COLLECTOR RESURFACING CMIP 941-0415 improvements (the “Improvements”):

Milling, concrete pouring, asphalt overlay, resurfacing, utility cover adjustments, pavement marking, traffic control modifications, Americans With Disabilities Act compliant street to sidewalk access, including any appurtenances related thereto, any necessary land acquisition, and associated engineering, design, inspection, removal, replacement, and construction costs, at the following locations:

AREA 2 - 39
10th Street, from Montana Avenue to Ray Street
10th Street, from Ray Street to Gilmore Avenue
10th Street, from Gilmore Avenue to Ivandale Street
10th Street, from Ivandale Street to Homer Avenue
10th Street, from Homer Avenue to Pacific Avenue
10th Street, from Pacific Avenue to Lowell Avenue
10th Street, from Lowell Avenue to Central Avenue

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $250,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby
authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $250,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ____ day of ______________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

__________________________
Mayor/CEO

__________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN PUBLIC BUILDING, STRUCTURE, OR PARKING IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the "Unified Government") authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain improvements to public buildings, structures and parking facilities, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to make the following Elevator Upgrades - City 2015 CMIP 948-0115 improvements (the "Improvements"): Memorial Hall New Elevator:
600 N. 7th Street
This project will provide for all engineering and design to facilitate the New installation of ADA compliant Elevator at Soldiers and Sailors Memorial Hall. Project will include upgrade and redesign of adjacent lobby and stairwell existing to facilitate the new elevator.

City Hall
Municipal Court Prisoner Elevator:
701 N. 7th Street
The scope of work will include but not limited to the repair and replacements of drives, brakes, hoist cables, sheave brakes, governor, motor controls, and other associated mechanical equipment. Included will be upgrade and improvement to City Hall Prisoner elevator for Municipal Court. Project to insure compliance with City, State and Federal codes and regulations including ADA mandate as part of the overall upgrade and Rehabilitation Project.

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $100,000 plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.
Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $100,000 plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of the Reimbursement Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ____ day of _________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

__________________________
Mayor/CEO

__________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN PUBLIC BUILDING, STRUCTURE, OR PARKING IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the “Unified Government”) authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain improvements to public buildings, structures and parking facilities, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to make the following FACILITIES PARKING MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR - CITY 2015 CMIP 948-0215 improvements (the “Improvements”):

This project will provide for all engineering, design, inspection and construction for Unified Government Parking Garage C (7th & Armstrong Ave., North), and Parking Lot #1 (7th & Armstrong Ave.). Work will include concrete surface and deck, stair, wall and column repair and replacement. Work will provide new gates, entry control equipment, lighting, signage and security equipment upgrades. Also will be new deck ware surface treatment and the painting of the garage interiors and exteriors.
Surface Lots: Downtown

Parking Lot #1 (Parking Stalls – 121)
7th & Armstrong Ave.
Parking Lot Entry Pavement Rehabilitation

Parking Garage C (Parking Stalls – 479)
7th & Armstrong, North

Partial Depth Slab Repair
Full Depth Slab Repair
Overhead Beam/Joist Repair
Epoxy Injection Crack Repair
Traffic Bumper Removal
Stair Tower Roof Rehabilitation
Elevator Vestibule Screening
Reanchor Existing Barrier Post
Parking Barrier
Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $750,000 plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $750,000 plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of the Reimbursement Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ___ day of ____________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

______________________________
Mayor/CEO

______________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO.___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN STREET, SIDEWALK AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the “Unified Government”) authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain street, sidewalk and intersection improvements, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to make the following FAIRFAX INDUSTRIAL AREA IMPROVEMENTS 2014, CMIP 970-1220 improvements (the “Improvements”):

Upgrade curbs and sidewalks at select locations along Sunshine Road from McCormick Road to Fairfax Trafficway, including removal, replacement or repair of existing curb and sidewalks in poor condition, new ADA ramps at intersections, and all associated asphalt patching and restoration.

All work includes necessary traffic control and detour signing, and utility adjustments, necessary to accommodate the improvements as well as all necessary engineering, design, inspection, all necessary appurtenances and related construction.

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $100,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $100,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.
PASSED by the Governing Body on ___ day of ________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

ATTEST: ______________________________________________________________________

______________________________  Mayor/CEO

______________________________  Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN STREET IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the “Unified Government”) authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain street improvements, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to make the following 2015 INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT REPAIRS CMIP 941-0115 improvements (the “Improvements”):

Milling, concrete pouring, asphalt overlay, resurfacing, utility cover adjustments, pavement marking, traffic control modifications, railroad crossing enhancements, Americans With Disabilities Act compliant street to sidewalk access, including any appurtenances related thereto, any necessary land acquisition, and associated engineering, design, inspection, removal, replacement, and construction costs, at the following locations in Industrial Districts with the City of Kansas City, Kansas:

AREA 6 - 49
26th Street, from Argentine Boulevard to Cheyenne Avenue
26th Street, from Cheyenne Avenue to Miami Avenue
26th Street, from Miami Avenue to Kansas Avenue

AREA 6 - 54
Oliver Avenue, from 44th Street west to the end of public roadway
Forest Avenue, from 44th Street west to the end of public roadway

AREA 8 - 54
Griffin Road, from Kansas Avenue to 68th Street
Griffin Road, from 68th Street west to 2nd Rail Road track crossing

All work includes necessary traffic control and detour signing, pavement markings, utility box adjustments, and traffic signal modifications necessary to accommodate the Improvements. Minor improvements to select sections of curbs and drainage inlets are included, as well as engineering and inspection services.
Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $100,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $100,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ___ day of ________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

Mayor/CEO

Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN STREET AND INTERSECTION
IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR
THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter
Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the
"Unified Government") authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of
improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or
temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain street
and intersection improvements, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE
UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS
FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is
necessary to make the following MINNESOTA AVENUE, 7th Street to 8th Street CMIP 970-1610
improvements (the "Improvements"):

Construct street improvements along approximately 600 feet of Minnesota Avenue from a
location in the middle of the 700 block thence west through the 8th Street intersection, including
the intersection approaches on 8th Street. The work includes replacement of existing sidewalks
and curbs, installation of decorative paver brick, pedestrian crossing points and medians,
replacement and reconfiguration of street parking, landscaping, new traffic signal at 8th and
Minnesota Avenue, ADA ramps, decorative street lighting, storm sewer inlets, storm piping, and
manhole and vault lid adjustments, milling of existing pavement and resurfacing with asphalt,
pavement markings and signs, plus associated street repairs.

All work includes necessary traffic control and detour signing, and utility adjustments, necessary
to accommodate the improvements as well as all necessary engineering, design, inspection, all
necessary appurtenances and related construction.

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the
governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds
pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No.
CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $1,450,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance.
Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by
resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the
Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general
obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $1,450,000, plus capitalized interest
and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of
this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the
date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.
Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ___ day of __________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

ATTEST: ____________________________

Mayor/CEO

Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN STREET IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the "Unified Government") authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain street improvements, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to make the following 2015 NEIGHBORHOOD STREET RESURFACING CMIP 941-0215 improvements (the "Improvements"):

Milling, concrete pouring, asphalt overlay, resurfacing, utility cover adjustments, pavement marking, traffic control modifications, railroad crossing enhancements, Americans With Disabilities Act compliant street to sidewalk access, including any appurtenances related thereto, any necessary land acquisition, and associated engineering, design, inspection, removal, replacement, and construction costs, at the following locations:

AREA 1 - 52
Lakeview Drive, from 55th Street east to the end of pavement
Joyce Drive, from 55th Street to south and east to the end of pavement

AREA 1 - 53
Parkview Avenue, from North 51st Street west to end of pavement
Sloan Avenue, from North 51st Street west to end of pavement
Sewell Avenue, from North 51st Street west to end of pavement

AREA 1 - 57
13th Street, from Quindaro Boulevard to Yecker Avenue
13th Street, from Yecker Avenue to Georgia Avenue
13th Street, from Georgia Avenue to Rowland Avenue
13th Street, from Rowland Avenue to Cleveland Avenue
13th Street, from Cleveland Avenue to Haskell Avenue
13th Street, from Haskell Avenue to Waverly Avenue
13th Street, from Waverly Avenue to Greeley Avenue
13th Street, from Greeley Avenue to Lafayette Avenue
13th Street, from Lafayette Avenue to Parallel Parkway

AREA 2 – 51
Gilmore Avenue, from Mill Street to 9th Street
Gilmore Avenue, from 9th Street to Boeke Street
Gilmore Avenue, from Boeke Street to 10th Street

AREA 3 – 33
Strong Avenue, from 25th Street to 24th Street
24th Street, from Metropolitan Avenue to Strong Avenue

AREA 3-37B
45th Avenue, from Eaton Street to Cambridge Street
45th Avenue, from Cambridge Street to State Line Road
46th Avenue, from Rainbow Boulevard to Francis Street
46th Avenue, from Francis Street to Eaton Street
46th Avenue, from Eaton Street to Cambridge Street
46th Avenue, from Cambridge Street to State Line Road
Eaton Street, from 45th Avenue to 46th Avenue
Eaton Street, from 46th Avenue south to end of pavement
Cambridge Street, from 45th Avenue to 46th Avenue
Cambridge Street, from 46th Avenue south to end of pavement

AREA 3 – 47
29th Street, from Steele Road south to cul-de-sac

AREA 3-55A
44th Avenue, from Adams Street to Rainbow Boulevard
Seneca Avenue, from Adams Street to Rainbow Boulevard
Adams Street, from Seneca Avenue north to end of pavement
Adams Street, from Seneca Avenue to 44th Avenue
Adams Street, from 44th Avenue to 45th Avenue

AREA 3-58
Alley Way, from Strong Avenue south to end of pavement

AREA 3-59
South 9th Street, from Esterly Avenue to Federal Avenue

AREA 4 – 38
Stewart Avenue, from 32nd Street to 31st Street
Stewart Avenue, from 31st Street to 29th Street
Stewart Avenue, from 29th Street to 27th Street

AREA 4 – 41
Ford Avenue, from 35th Street to 34th Street
Ford Avenue, from 34th Street to 33rd Street
Ford Avenue, from 33rd Street to 32nd Street
32nd Street, from Park Drive to Ford Avenue
32nd Street, from Ford Avenue to Ohio Avenue

AREA 4 – 50
New Jersey Avenue, from 22nd Street to New Jersey Avenue
New Jersey Avenue, from New Jersey Avenue to 20th Street
New Jersey Avenue, from 22nd Street to 21st Street
New Jersey Avenue, from 21st Street to New Jersey Avenue
New Jersey Avenue, from 20th Street to 18th Street
Walker Avenue, from 20th Street to 18th Street
21st Street, from Freeman Avenue to New Jersey Avenue
20th Street, from New Jersey Avenue to Freeman Avenue
20th Street, from New Jersey Avenue to Walker Avenue
20th Street, from Walker Avenue to Wood Avenue

AREA 4 – 51
29th Street, from State Avenue to Nebraska Avenue
29th Street, from Nebraska Avenue to Washington Avenue

AREA 5 – 31
Longwood Avenue, from 76th Street west to End of pavement
76th Street, from Lathrop Avenue to Roswell Avenue
76th Street, from Roswell Avenue to Webster Avenue
76th Street, from Webster Avenue to Longwood Avenue
76th Street, from Longwood Avenue south to End of pavement
Lathrop Avenue, from 76th Street west to End of pavement
Lathrop Avenue, from 76th Street east to End of pavement

AREA 5 – 48
Nebraska Avenue, from 78th Place to 78th Street
78th Place, from State Avenue to Nebraska Avenue
79th Street, from State Avenue to Washington Avenue
Washington Avenue, from 79th Street to 78th Street

AREA 5 – 62
Everett Avenue, from 78th Street to Oakland Avenue
Everett Avenue, from Oakland Avenue to 77th Street
Everett Avenue, from 77th Street to 75th Drive
Oakland Avenue, from Everett Avenue to 77th Street
77th Street, from Corona Avenue to Oakland Avenue
77th Street, from Oakland Avenue to Everett Avenue

AREA 6 – 44A
Steele Road, from 39th Street to 38th Street
Steele Road, from 38th Street to 34th Street
43rd Street, from Gibbs Road north to end of pavement
39th Street, from Gibbs Road to Steele Road
38th Street, from Gibbs Road to Steele Road
AREA 6 - 50
75th Terrace, from Edgehill Road to Swartz Road
75th Street, from Edgehill Road to 74th Terrace
75th Street, from 74th Terrace to Swartz Road
74th Street, from Edgehill Road to Swartz Road
Edgehill Road, from 75th Terrace to 75th Street
Edgehill Road, from 75th Street to 74th Street
74th Terrace, from 75th Street to Swartz Road

AREA 6 - 57
42nd Street, from Oliver Street to Locust Avenue
39th Street, from County Line Road to Oliver Street
39th Street, from Oliver Street to Locust Avenue
36th Street, from County Line Road north to end of pavement
37th Street, from County Line Road north to end of pavement
Oliver Street, from 42nd Street to 39th Street
Locust Avenue, from 42nd Street to 39th Street

AREA 7 - 22
94th Street, from State Avenue to State Frontage Road
94th Street, from State Frontage Road to Minnesota Avenue
94th Street, from Minnesota Avenue south 4080 feet

AREA 7 - 23
134th Street, from Parallel Parkway to Richmond Avenue
134th Street, from Richmond Avenue to Delaware Parkway

AREA 7 - 26
121st Street, from Parallel Parkway south to end of pavement

AREA 7 - 27
Speaker Road, from 78th Street east to end of pavement

AREA 8 - 48
77th Street, from Leavenworth Road to Farrow Avenue
77th Street, from Farrow Avenue to Cernech Road
77th Street, from Cernech Road to McGurk Road
Pomeroy Drive, from McGurk Road to Barnes Drive
79th Street, from Luke Lane to Wolcott Drive

AREA 8-57
Greeley Avenue, from 72nd Street to 71st Court
Lafayette Avenue, from 72nd Street to 71st Street
71st Court, from Greeley Avenue north east to end of pavement
71st Street, from Parallel Parkway to Lafayette Avenue
71st Street, from Lafayette Avenue to 71st Court
AREA 8-58
Haskell Avenue, from 72nd Street to Court
Waverly Court, from Haskell Avenue south east to cul-de-sac
Waverly Avenue, from 72nd Street to Waverly Court

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $1,900,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $1,900,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ___ day of ____________________, 2014
and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

__________________________  
Mayor/CEO

ATTEST:

__________________________  
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN SANITARY SEWER AND STORM WATER FACILITIES, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the "Unified Government") authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain improvements to sanitary sewer facilities and sewage treatment and disposal plants, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to make the following PUMP STATION #12 ELIMINATION CMIP 963-6124 improvements (the "Improvements"):

Demolition and removal of Pump Station #12 at 3108 W 43rd St. Construction of approximately 1,440 feet of sanitary sewer main from 3108 W 43rd St to the southwest connecting to existing manhole number 052-032, all in Kansas City, Kansas. Construction of 240 feet of storm sewer parallel to a portion of the sanitary sewer. The project includes but not limited to pipe removal, replacement or installation, manhole rehabilitation or installation, and restoration of sanitary and storm water facilities, including any necessary land acquisition, engineering, design, associated construction costs, and any appurtenances related thereto.

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $750,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $750,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes
issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ___ day of _____________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

__________________________
Mayor/CEO

__________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO.____

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN STREET AND INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the "Unified Government") authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain street and intersection improvements, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to make the following SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD RESURFACING, I-70 to STATE AVENUE, CMIP 970-1023 improvements (the "Improvements"): Milling of existing concrete surface, asphalt overlay, resurfacing, utility cover adjustments, pavement marking, traffic control modifications, and associated construction costs, including any appurtenances related thereto, any necessary land acquisition, engineering, design, removal and replacement at the following locations: Speedway Boulevard from 110th Street near I-70 thence westerly and northerly to the intersection with State Avenue.

All work includes necessary traffic control and detour signing, and utility adjustments, necessary to accommodate the improvements as well as all necessary engineering, design, inspection, all necessary appurtenances and related construction.

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $360,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $360,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and
approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ___ day of ________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

__________________________
Mayor/CEO

__________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN SANITARY SEWER FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the “Unified Government”) authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain improvements to sanitary sewer facilities and sewage treatment and disposal plants, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to make the following UPPER CONNOR CREEK INTERCEPTOR SEWER CMIP 963-6122 improvements (the “Improvements”):

Construction of approximately 9,200 feet of sanitary sewer main extension from Delaware Parkway at approximately 115th Street, north to approximately 117th and Leavenworth Road all in Kansas City, Kansas. The project includes but not limited to pipe removal, replacement or installation, manhole rehabilitation or installation, and restoration of sanitary facilities, including any necessary land acquisition, engineering, design, associated construction costs, and any appurtenances related thereto.

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $1,500,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $1,500,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or
after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ____ day of ______________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

__________________________
Mayor/CEO

ATTEST:

__________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN STORM WATER IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the “Unified Government”) authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain storm water improvements, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNITED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to make the following WHITE OAKS CAPACITY, 82nd and HASKELL AVE CMIP 963-5043 improvements (the “Improvements”):

Repair, replacement and/or reconstruction of approximately 2000 feet of existing storm water lines, and 1000 feet of open ditch drainage within the White Oaks subdivision and beginning generally at North 83rd & Haskell and going Southeasterly to North 78th Terrace and Parallel Pkwy.

The project is anticipated to include repair replacement and/or reconstruction of existing stormwater facilities including but not limited to pipe removal and replacement, manhole rehabilitation and restoration , grading, including any appurtenances related thereto, and including any necessary land acquisition, engineering, design, and associated construction costs.

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $730,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $730,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or
after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ___ day of __________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

______________________________
Mayo/CEO

______________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN PUBLIC PARK IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the "Unified Government") authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain improvements to public park facilities, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to conduct the following WYANDOTTE COUNTY LAKE — DRAW DOWN TOWER REPAIR CMIP 971-4023 improvements (the "Improvements"): The project consists of underwater sonar inspection and imaging of the Wyandotte County Lake drawdown tower’s intake area, repairs to the drawdown tower’s existing rolling slide gate and dual 24” gate valves, removal of existing manual gate/valve operators and installation of electric-powered equipment gate/valve operators, including any appurtenances related thereto, and any associated inspection, engineering, design, and construction costs.

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $350,000 plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $350,000 plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of the Reimbursement Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage
and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ____ day of __________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

______________________________
Mayor/CEO

______________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CERTAIN PUBLIC PARK IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, Article 12, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the "Unified Government") authorizes the governing body of the Unified Government to make a variety of improvements as further described in CO-03-09 and to issue its general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes for the same; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government has determined that it is necessary to make certain improvements to public park facilities, as more fully described herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is necessary to conduct the following WYANDOTTE COUNTY LAKE MARINA SERVICE DOCKS CMIP 978-4022 improvements (the "Improvements"):

The project consists of removal of existing docks and repair or replacement of 5 dock structures located at Wyandotte County Lake. Three of the docks will have a total of approximately 80 boat slips, in addition to one fishing dock, and one loading/unloading courtesy dock. The work includes new flotation, infrastructure, decking and electrical systems, security fencing, as well as any appurtenances related thereto, and any associated inspection, engineering, design, and construction costs.

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $225,000 plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 3. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $225,000 plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of the Reimbursement Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.
Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ___ day of ______________________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(SEAL)

__________________________
Mayor/CEO

ATTEST:

__________________________
Unified Government Clerk
# SCHEDULE "B"

## 2015 Renewals Requiring an Increase in Authorization & Amendments to Current Resolutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>CMIP #</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>2014 Authority</th>
<th>Increase in Authority Required</th>
<th>2015 Authority</th>
<th>Project Contact &amp; Ext.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31st &amp; Riverland Short Span Structure Replacement</td>
<td>963 5311</td>
<td>Storm Sewers</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
<td>$40,000.00</td>
<td>$340,000.00</td>
<td>Brent Thompson 5040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50th St. Bridge Over Neerman Creek</td>
<td>962 2134</td>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>$1,520,000.00</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>$1,670,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Repair, Priority</td>
<td>962 2305</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>$430,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$450,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durango Rd, 119th to 131st (KDOT Final Closeout)</td>
<td>970 1038</td>
<td>Traffic Reg.</td>
<td>$2,700,000.00</td>
<td>$255,000.00</td>
<td>$2,955,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donovan Rd, 111st to K-7 (KDOT Final Closeout)</td>
<td>970 1019</td>
<td>Traffic Reg.</td>
<td>$2,410,000.00</td>
<td>$35,000.00</td>
<td>$2,445,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaw Point Solid Waste Reclamation Rehabilitation</td>
<td>963 6199</td>
<td>Sanitary Sewers</td>
<td>$5,000,000.00</td>
<td>$7,000,000.00</td>
<td>$12,000,000.00</td>
<td>Jim Larkin/Trenton Foglesong 1301/5708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Grove Rd - 53rd to 55th</td>
<td>970 1174</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>$1,250,000.00</td>
<td>$350,000.00</td>
<td>$1,600,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Lake Dam Study &amp; Repair</td>
<td>971 4424</td>
<td>Park Improvement</td>
<td>$350,000.00</td>
<td>$185,000.00</td>
<td>$535,000.00</td>
<td>Brent Thompson 5040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTALS**

$13,980,000.00  $6,015,000.00  $21,995,000.00
RESOLUTION NO. __________

A RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-62-11
AUTHORIZING CERTAIN STREET AND BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS,
AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, the Unified Government is authorized and empowered pursuant to Charter
Ordinance No. CO-03-09 and Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas,
to issue general obligation bonds for the purpose of paying for street and bridge improvements; and

WHEREAS, on November 17, 2011, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No.
R-62-11 authorizing the 51st and Rowland Short Span Structure Replacement, CMIP 963-
5311 improvements (the “Improvements”), as more fully described therein; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to increase the estimated cost of the Improvements and the
amount of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes to be issued for the Improvements, as
provided by Resolution No. R-62-11.

NOW, THEREFORE: BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE
UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS
FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That Section 2 of Resolution No. R-62-11 is hereby amended to read as
follows:

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by
the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general
obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of
Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $340,000,
plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified
Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an
amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 2. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection
with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of
general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $340,000, plus
capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes
issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or
after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S.
Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 3. Resolution No. R-62-11, as amended by this Resolution, is hereby ratified
and confirmed, and shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force immediately after its adoption by the governing body.

THIS RESOLUTION WAS PASSED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS THIS ____ DAY OF ________________, 2014, AND APPROVED BY THE MAYOR.

(SEAL)

____________________________________
Mayor/CEO

ATTEST:

____________________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ________________

A RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-81-10
AUTHORIZING CERTAIN STORM WATER AND STREET
IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING
FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, the Unified Government is authorized and empowered pursuant to Charter
Ordinance No. CO-03-09 and Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas,
to issue general obligation bonds for the purpose of paying for storm water and street
improvements; and

WHEREAS, on November 18, 2010, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No.
R-81-10 authorizing the 55th Street Bridges over Nearman Creek CMIP 962-2134
improvements (the “Improvements”), as more fully described therein; and

WHEREAS, November 17, 2011, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No. R-
85-11 increasing the estimated cost of the Improvements previously authorized by Resolution
No. R-81-10; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to increase the estimated cost of the Improvements and the
amount of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes to be issued for the Improvements, as
provided by Resolution No. R-81-10, as amended.

NOW, THEREFORE: BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE
UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS
FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That Section 2 of Resolution No. R-81-10, is hereby amended to read as
follows:

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by
the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general
obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas
and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $1,670,000, plus capitalized
interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby
authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of
general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 2. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection
with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of
general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $1,670,000, plus
capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes
issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or
after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

**Section 3.** Resolution No. R-81-10, as amended by this Resolution, is hereby ratified and confirmed, and shall remain in full force and effect. This Resolution shall supersede Resolution No. R-85-11.

**Section 4.** This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force immediately after its adoption by the governing body.

THIS RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS THIS ___ DAY OF ________________, 2014.

(SEAL) 

____________________________________
Mayor/CEO

ATTEST:

____________________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. __________________

A Resolution Amending Resolution No. R-73-12
Authorizing Certain Street and Bridge Improvements,
And Providing for the Manner of Paying for the Same.

WHEREAS, the Unified Government is authorized and empowered pursuant to Charter
Ordinance No. CO-03-09 and Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas,
to issue general obligation bonds for the purpose of paying for street and bridge improvements; and

WHEREAS, on November 15, 2012, the Unified Government adopted Resolution
R-73-12 authorizing the PRIORITY BRIDGE REPAIR, CMIP 962-2305 improvements, as
more fully described therein; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to amend the description and scope of work of the
Improvements, as provided by Resolution No. R-73-12.

NOW, THEREFORE: BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE
UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS
FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That Section 1 of Resolution No. R-73-12 is hereby amended to read as
follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the above the Unified Government hereby finds and determines that it is
necessary to make the following 2013 PRIORITY BRIDGE REPAIR CMIP 962-2305
improvements (the “Improvements”):
Repair the Following Bridge Structures:
18th Street Bridge Over Turkey Creek (Bridge 210)
Riverview Avenue Wing Wall (Bridge 46)
Wolcott Drive Deck Repairs (Bridge 82)

For the 18th Street Bridge over Turkey Creek (Bridge 210) Project, the work will include major
bridge rehabilitation of the 75 foot structure located 480 feet south of Merriam Lane along 18th
Street. This process will include the removal of the existing 16 foot wide timber bridge deck,
structural repairs to the existing superstructure, sandblasting and removal of damaged locations,
welding/bolting of replacement sections, and replacement of the bridge deck with composite
concrete. Also included in this project will be concrete repairs to the north abutment and
stabilization of the existing rock footings as well as any traffic control, pavement marking, utility
adjustments, right of way purchases, and all engineering and design fees associated with the
scope of this project.
For the Riverview Avenue Wing Wall (Bridge 46) Project, the work include removal of existing concrete, clearing and grubbing, stabilization of the stream channel, construction of new reinforced concrete wing wall, other related concrete filling and patching, backfilling and earthwork. Also will be included; any traffic control, pavement marking, utility adjustments, right of way purchases, and all engineering and design fees associated with the scope of this project.

For the Wolcott Drive Deck Repairs (Bridge 82) Project, work includes removal of the top bridge deck surface, repair and replacement of reinforcing steal as needed, replacement of the top bridge deck surface in concrete, cleaning and regarding of the creek channel; and related earthwork. Also will be included; any traffic control, pavement marking, utility adjustments, right of way purchases, and all engineering and design fees associated with the scope of this project.

Section 2. Resolution No. R-73-12, as amended by this Resolution, is hereby ratified and confirmed, and shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 3. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force immediately after its adoption by the governing body.

THIS RESOLUTION WAS PASSED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS THIS ___ DAY OF _____________________, 2014, AND APPROVED BY THE MAYOR.

(SEAL)  

______________________________  
Mayor/CEO

ATTEST:

______________________________  
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. __________

A RESOLUTION AMENDING SECTION 2 OF RESOLUTION NO. R-86-05 PROVIDING FOR CERTAIN MAIN TRAFFICWAY IMPROVEMENTS AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, K.S.A. 12-685 et seq., as amended (the “Act”), authorizes the Governing Body of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the “Unified Government”), to improve or re-improve any main trafficway or trafficway connection designated and established under the Act, and to issue general obligation bonds therefor; and

WHEREAS, by the adoption of Ordinance No. O-63-05 on September 15, 2005, the Unified Government has previously designated Donahoo Road within the limits of the City of Kansas City, Kansas as a main trafficway; and

WHEREAS, on October 6, 2005, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No. R-86-05, authorizing the DONOHOOD ROAD 115th-131st - CMIP 970-1038 improvements (the “Improvements”); and

WHEREAS, on February 21, 2008, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No. R-24-08 increasing the estimated cost of the Improvements previously authorized by Resolution No. R-86-05; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to again increase the estimated cost of the Improvements and the amount of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes to be issued for the Improvements, as provided by Resolution No. R-86-05, as amended.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Section 2 of Resolution No. R-86-05 is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2. The estimated cost for the Improvements shall not exceed $2,955,000 plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance, approximately $0 of such funds to be paid by certain grant funds, and approximately $2,955,000 to be paid by the issuance of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes as authorized by the Act.

Section 2. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $2,955,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes
issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

**Section 3.** Resolution No. **R-86-05**, as amended by this Resolution, is hereby ratified and confirmed, and shall remain in full force and effect. This Resolution shall supersede Resolution No. **R-24-08**.

**Section 4.** This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on _____________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(Seal)

______________________________
Mayor/CEO

ATTEST:

______________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ________

A RESOLUTION AMENDING SECTION 2 OF RESOLUTION NO. R-15-08 PROVIDING FOR CERTAIN MAIN TRAFFICWAY IMPROVEMENTS AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, K.S.A. 12-685 et seq., as amended (the “Act”), authorizes the Governing Body of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the “Unified Government”), to improve or re-improve any main trafficway or trafficway connection designated and established under the Act, and to issue general obligation bonds therefor; and

WHEREAS, by the adoption of Ordinance No. O-63-05 on September 15, 2005, the Unified Government has previously designated Donahoo Road within the limits of the City of Kansas City, Kansas as a main trafficway; and

WHEREAS, on February 21, 2008, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No. R-15-08, authorizing the DONOHOO ROAD 131st – KANSAS HIGHWAY 7 CMIP 970-1019 improvements (the “Improvements”); and

WHEREAS, November 18, 2010, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No. R-77-10 increasing the estimated cost of the Improvements previously authorized by Resolution No. R-15-08; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to again increase the estimated cost of the Improvements and the amount of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes to be issued for the Improvements, as provided by Resolution No. R-15-08, as amended.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Section 2 of Resolution No. R-15-08 is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2. The estimated cost for the Improvements shall not exceed $2,445,000 plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance, approximately $0 of such funds to be paid by certain grant funds, and approximately $2,445,000 to be paid by the issuance of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes as authorized by the Act.

Section 2. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $2,445,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes
issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

**Section 3.** Resolution No. **R-15-08**, as amended by this Resolution, is hereby ratified and confirmed, and shall remain in full force and effect. This Resolution shall supersede Resolution No. **R-77-10**.

**Section 4.** This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force from and after its passage and approval by the governing body of the Unified Government.

PASSED by the Governing Body on ______________, 2014 and APPROVED by the Mayor.

(Seal)

________________________________________
Mayor/CEO

ATTEST:

________________________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ____________

A RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-76-11
AUTHORIZING CERTAIN SANITARY SEWAGE TREATMENT
FACILITIES AND IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE
MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, the Unified Government is authorized and empowered pursuant to Charter
Ordinance No. CO-03-09 and Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas,
to issue general obligation bonds for the purpose of paying for sanitary sewage treatment
facilities and improvements; and

WHEREAS, on November 17, 2011, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No.
R-76-11 authorizing improvements to the Kaw Point Solids Dewatering Rehabilitation
Project CMIP 963-6199 (the “Improvements”), as more fully described therein; and

WHEREAS, on November 15, 2012, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No.
R-96-12 increasing the estimated cost of the Improvements and the amount of general obligation
bonds and/or temporary notes to be issued for the Improvements; and

WHEREAS, on November 21, 2013, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No.
R-116-13 increasing the estimated cost of the Improvements and the amount of general obligation
bonds and/or temporary notes to be issued for the Improvements; and

WHEREAS, it is again necessary to amend Resolution No. R-76-11 to increase the
estimated cost of the Improvements and the amount of general obligation bonds and/or
temporary notes to be issued for the Improvements, as provided herein.

NOW, THEREFORE: BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE
UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS
FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That Section 2 of Resolution No. R-76-11 is hereby amended to read as
follows:

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by
the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general
obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas
and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $12,000,000 plus capitalized
interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby
authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount
of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 2. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection
with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of
general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $12,000,000 plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 3. Resolution No. R-76-11, as amended by this Resolution, is hereby ratified and confirmed, and shall remain in full force and effect. This Resolution shall supersede Resolutions No. R-96-12 and R-116-13.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force immediately after its adoption by the governing body.

THIS RESOLUTION WAS PASSED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS THIS _____ DAY OF __________________, 2014, AND APPROVED BY THE MAYOR.

(SEAL)                                                                                                       Mayor/CEO

ATTEST:

______________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO.  

A RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-109-12
AUTHORIZING CERTAIN STREET IMPROVEMENTS, AND
PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, the Unified Government is authorized and empowered pursuant to Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 and Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas, to issue general obligation bonds for the purpose of paying for street improvements; and

WHEREAS, on November 15, 2012, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No. R-109-12 authorizing the OAK GROVE ROAD 53rd to 55th Program CMIP 970-1174 improvements (the “Improvements”), as more fully described therein; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to amend Resolution No. R-109-12 to increase the estimated cost of the Improvements and the amount of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes to be issued for the Improvements, as provided herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That Section 2 of Resolution No. R-109-12 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $1,600,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 2. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $1,600,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

Section 3. Resolution No. R-109-12, as amended by this Resolution, is hereby ratified and confirmed, and shall remain in full force and effect.
Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force immediately after its adoption by the governing body.

THIS RESOLUTION WAS PASSED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS THIS _____ DAY OF ____________________, 2014, AND APPROVED BY THE MAYOR.

(SEAL)                                                  Mayor/CEO

ATTEST:

__________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ____________

A RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-89-12 AUTHORIZING CERTAIN PUBLIC PARK AND INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF PAYING FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, the Unified Government is authorized and empowered pursuant to Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09 and Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas, to issue general obligation bonds for the purpose of paying for public park and infrastructure improvements; and

WHEREAS, on November 15, 2012, the Unified Government adopted Resolution No. R-89-12 authorizing the PIERSON LAKE DAM STUDY AND REPAIR CMIP 971-4424 improvements (the “Improvements”), as more fully described therein; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to amend Resolution No. R-89-12 to increase the estimated cost of the Improvements and the amount of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes to be issued for the Improvements, as provided herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That Section 2 of Resolution No. R-89-12 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. For the purpose of providing funds for the Improvements, all as approved by the governing body, the Unified Government hereby authorizes the issuance of its general obligation bonds pursuant to Article 12, Section 5(a) of the Constitution of the State of Kansas and Charter Ordinance No. CO-03-09, in an amount not in excess of $535,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Temporary Notes of the Unified Government are hereby authorized to be issued from time to time by resolution in an amount not to exceed the amount of general obligation bonds herein authorized.

Section 2. The Unified Government expects to make capital expenditures in connection with the Improvements and intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes in an amount not to exceed $535,000, plus capitalized interest and costs of issuance. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.
Section 3. Resolution No. R-89-12, as amended by this Resolution, is hereby ratified and confirmed, and shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force immediately after its adoption by the governing body.

THIS RESOLUTION WAS PASSED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS THIS _____ DAY OF __________________, 2014, AND APPROVED BY THE MAYOR.

(SEAL)

______________________________
Mayor/CEO

ATTEST:

______________________________
Unified Government Clerk
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>CMIP #</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>2015 Authority</th>
<th>Project Contact &amp; Extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADA Pedestrian Ramp Improvements 2015 (970-1141)</td>
<td>941 0815</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Bridge Repair 2015</td>
<td>942 0115</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax Industrial Area Improvements, 2015 (970-1220)</td>
<td>941 0915</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Street Repair 2015 (970-1306)</td>
<td>941 0651</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Enhancements, 2015</td>
<td>963 5317</td>
<td>Storm Sewers</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>Brent Thompson 5710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**                                         |          |              | **$550,000.00** |                             |
RESOLUTION NO. ____________________

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS:

1. That the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the "Unified Government") expects to make capital expenditures after the date of this Resolution in connection with the 2015 ADA PEDESTRIAN RAMP IMPROVEMENTS (CMIP 941-0815) (the "Project"), and the Unified Government intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of bonds, notes, or a lease purchase agreement.

2. That the maximum principal amount of the bonds, notes, lease agreement, or other obligations expected to be issued for the Project is $100,000.00 plus cost of issuance and interest on any temporary financing. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS,
THIS _______ DAY OF ______________________, 2014.

(SEAL)

Mark Holland, Mayor/CEO

Attest:

Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ____________________

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS:

1. That the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the “Unified Government”) expects to make capital expenditures after the date of this Resolution in connection with the 2015 Emergency Bridge Repair Program (CMIP 942-0115) (the “Project”), and the Unified Government intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of bonds, notes, or a lease purchase agreement.

2. That the maximum principal amount of the bonds, notes, lease agreement, or other obligations expected to be issued for the Project is $100,000.00 plus cost of issuance and interest on any temporary financing. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, THIS _____ DAY OF __________________, 2014.

(SEAL)

Mark Holland, Mayor/CEO

Attest:

______________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. _____________________

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS:

1. That the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the "Unified Government") expects to make capital expenditures after the date of this Resolution in connection with the 2015 Fairfax Industrial Area Improvements Program (CMIP 941-0915) (the "Project"), and the Unified Government intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of bonds, notes, or a lease purchase agreement.

2. That the maximum principal amount of the bonds, notes, lease agreement, or other obligations expected to be issued for the Project is $100,000.00 plus cost of issuance and interest on any temporary financing. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, THIS _____ DAY OF ____________________, 2014.

(SEAL)

Mark Holland, Mayor/CEO

Attest:

Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. __________________________

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS:

1. That the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the "Unified Government") expects to make capital expenditures after the date of this Resolution in connection with the 2015 Neighborhood Street Repair Program (CMIP 941-0615) (the "Project"), and the Unified Government intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of bonds, notes, or a lease purchase agreement.

2. That the maximum principal amount of the bonds, notes, lease agreement, or other obligations expected to be issued for the Project is $100,000.00 plus cost of issuance and interest on any temporary financing. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, THIS _______ DAY OF _____________________, 2014.

(SEAL)

Mark Holland, Mayor/CEO

Attest:

______________________________
Unified Government Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. ____________________________________________

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS:

1. That the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the "Unified Government") expects to make capital expenditures after the date of this Resolution in connection with the 2015 STORMWATER ENHANCEMENTS (CMIP 963-5317) (the "Project"), and the Unified Government intends to reimburse itself for such expenditures with the proceeds of bonds, notes, or a lease purchase agreement.

2. That the maximum principal amount of the bonds, notes, lease agreement, or other obligations expected to be issued for the Project is $150,000.00 plus cost of issuance and interest on any temporary financing. Any general obligation bonds and/or temporary notes issued under the authority of this Resolution may be used to reimburse expenditures made on or after the date that is 60 days before the date of adoption of this Resolution pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulation §1.150-2.

ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, THIS _____ DAY OF ___________________, 2014.

(SEAL)

Mark Holland, Mayor/CEO

Attest:

______________________________
Unified Government Clerk
Staff Request for Commission Action

Type: Standard

Committee: Economic Development and Finance Committee

Date of Standing Committee Action: 11/3/2014

(If none, please explain):

Proposed for the following Full Commission Meeting Date: Confirmed Date: 11/20/2014

11/20/2014

☐ Changes Recommended By Standing Committee (New Action Form required with signatures)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>Contact Phone</th>
<th>Contact Email</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Department / Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Debbie Jonscher</td>
<td>3847</td>
<td><a href="mailto:avillarreal@wycokck.org">avillarreal@wycokck.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>Finance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Item Description:
Please find attached the following three schedules:

Schedule “D”: A list of projects presented to the Public Works Standing Committee on 8/25/14 as information only to replace the budget line item "Major Arterial Roadway Reconstructions (Fed AID) TBD”.

Schedule “E”: Four projects requiring an increase in authority and additional financing for the 2015 issue. Approval of these resolutions will amend the 2015 CMIP Budget.

Schedule “F”: Three projects approved to be funded per the CMIP budget. These projects are currently under review by bond counsel.

Resolutions for these projects will be submitted at the December Standing Committee for approval.

Action Requested:
For discussion only.

☐ Publication Required

Budget Impact: (if applicable)

Amount: $560,000
Source:

☐ Included In Budget  Schedule E does authorize additional spending to complete the projects.

☐ Other (explain)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>CMIP #</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Project Inception</th>
<th>2015 CMIP Budgeted Financing</th>
<th>2015 Total Project Authority</th>
<th>Project Contact &amp; Extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12th/10th Street Bikeway</td>
<td>970 1222</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>10/31/2014</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Avenue and 18th Street Intersection</td>
<td>970 1223</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>10/31/2014</td>
<td>$220,000.00</td>
<td>$480,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leavenworth Road, 63rd &amp; 38th Street</td>
<td>970 1224</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>10/31/2014</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>$7,340,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 107 Bus Stop and Station Upgrades</td>
<td>970 1225</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>10/31/2014</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
<td>$760,000.00</td>
<td>Justus Welker 6798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Routes to School, Group D</td>
<td>970 3334</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>10/31/2014</td>
<td>$270,000.00</td>
<td>$270,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Routes to School, Group E</td>
<td>970 3335</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>10/31/2014</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>$290,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTALS**  
$1,500,000.00  $9,540,000.00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>CMIP #</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Project Inception</th>
<th>Approved Amount per 2015 CMIP Budget</th>
<th>Total 2015 Requested Project Authority &amp; Financing</th>
<th>Project Contact &amp; Extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communication Center Roof Replacement</td>
<td>969 8444</td>
<td>Public Building</td>
<td>3/1/2014</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$135,000.00</td>
<td>Don Jones 5331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Replacement (City Hall)</td>
<td>969 8148</td>
<td>Public Building</td>
<td>3/1/2015</td>
<td>$750,000.00</td>
<td>$1,250,000.00</td>
<td>Don Jones 5331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$825,000.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,385,000.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Street Repair, 2014</td>
<td>941 0514</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>8/1/2013</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>$275,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR Crossing Improvements 2014</td>
<td>970 1307</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>7/31/2013</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>$175,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Heatherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$450,000.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$450,000.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,275,000.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,835,000.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>CMIP #</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Project Inception</td>
<td>2015 CMIP Budgeted Financing</td>
<td>2015 Total Project Authority</td>
<td>Project Contact &amp; Extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Patol Facility</td>
<td>978 0242</td>
<td>Public Building</td>
<td>10/1/2014</td>
<td>$1,850,000.00</td>
<td>$1,850,000.00</td>
<td>Debbie Jonacher 5847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westheight (End2.3mlf)</td>
<td>970 1221</td>
<td>Streets</td>
<td>10/31/2014</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>Bill Hetherman 5416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevator Upgrades City 2014</td>
<td>548 0114</td>
<td>Public Building</td>
<td>3/5/2013</td>
<td>$220,000.00</td>
<td>$220,000.00</td>
<td>Don Jonas 5331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,470,000.00</td>
<td>$2,470,000.00</td>
<td>Only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Staff Request for Commission Action

Type: Standard
Committee: Economic Development and Finance Committee

Date of Standing Committee Action: 11/3/2014
(If none, please explain):

Proposed for the following Full Commission Meeting Date: 11/20/2014
Confirmed Date: 11/20/2014

☐ Changes Recommended By Standing Committee (New Action Form required with signatures)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>Contact Phone</th>
<th>Contact Email</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Department / Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/22/2014</td>
<td>Debbie Jonscher</td>
<td>5847</td>
<td><a href="mailto:avillarreal@wycokck.org">avillarreal@wycokck.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>Finance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Item Description:
Please find the attached schedule:

Schedule “G”: A list of projects contained in the Commission Neighborhood Infrastructure Program (CNIP).

A resolution for these projects will be submitted at the December Standing Committee for approval.

Action Requested:
For discussion only.

☐ Publication Required

Budget Impact: (if applicable)

Amount: $
Source:
☑ Included In Budget Consistent with the CMIP.
☐ Other (explain)

Schedule G.docx
Microsoft Word Document
22.9 KB

File Attachment
File Attachment
File Attachment
SCHEDULE “G”
Project List for the Commission Neighborhood Infrastructure Program

A. SIDEWALKS AND CURBS: Construction of new or replacement sidewalks along one or both sides of the following streets, including removal and demolition of existing sidewalks, curbs and pavements, installation of new sidewalks, curbs, ADA compliant curb ramps, lighting adjustments, utility adjustments, seeding or sodding for restoration, restoration and repairs to street surfaces, pavement markings at crosswalks, and adjustments to signing. Many, but not all, of the following locations will be included:

District 1
Sidewalks near 47th And Parallel
Repairs to walks and curb and Gutter in Fairfax area

District 2
Sidewalks around John Fiske School including the following streets:
Scott Avenue, from 11th to 14th Street
Custer Avenue, intermittent sections 10th street to 14th street
Shawnee Avenue, west of Valley Street
Hasbrook Avenue, Valley Street to 14th
Osage Avenue, intermittent sections Argentine Boulevard to 14th Street
Valley Street, intermittent sections Osage to Scott Avenue
12th Street, intermittent sections Custer Avenue to Scott Avenue
11th Street ADA ramps

Ivandale Avenue, from Central Middle school to the east to Mill Street
13th Street from Tauromee Avenue to Ann Avenue

District 3
42nd Avenue, Mission Road to Rainbow: The following segments are being considered:
Mission road to Lloyd
Lloyd to Springfield
Springfield to Booth
Booth to Rainbow

22nd Street, from Lawrence Avenue to Steele Road

District 4
Westview Subdivision Sidewalk replacement
Sidewalk Replacement at 334 Parallel Avenue
North 10th Street, Freeman Avenue to Oakland Street
Walker Street Sidewalk Replacement – Walker Avenue west of 7th Street

District 5
District 5 allocation is being dedicated in its entirety to the Piper Community Center.

District 6
Key Lane, Gibbs to 55th Street
38th Street, Argentine Boulevard to Powell Avenue
53rd Street, Swartz Road to Miami Avenue
53rd Street, Locust Street to Oak Grove Avenue
Gibbs Road, I-635 to 34th Street
51st Street, County Line Road to Gibbs

**District 7**
Taurome Avenue, 84th to 86th
84th Street, Taurome Avenue to Isabel Street
Isabel Street, 84th to 86th

**District 8**
Taurome Avenue, 80th Street to 82nd Street
Presidential Highlands Park – Walking Trail

**District 2 At-Large**
14th Street, Steele Road to Noble Prentis School
Westvale neighborhood Curb Replacement

---

**B. OTHER PROJECTS:** Work also includes the following additional neighborhood infrastructure improvements as listed:

**District 1**

*Walking Trail at John Garland Park*: John Garland Park is a neighborhood park that currently only has a narrow stone walking circuit. The requests for this park are to create a shorter circuit utilizing a portion of the old path, widen it to 10 feet, provide an asphalt base and rubberized surface treatment. Along with this, three park benches, and trash receptacles would be added around the perimeter of the path to encourage pedestrian use.

*Futsal Court at John Garland Park*: This will be to prepare the base of a futsal court within the park including excavation, drainage and asphalt court. The intention is then for Sporting KC to incur the cost of finalizing the court for use.

*Play Equipment at John Garland Park*: Playground equipment would be added within the park, to allow for local residential use.

*Baseball Diamond upgrade at Quindaro Park*: This will be a new back stop and benches for the baseball diamond.

*Parkwood Park Improvements*: This would supplement previously completed work with four round tables and chairs.

**District 2**

*Kansas Avenue and Valley Street*: Add a crosswalk and pedestrian signal.

**District 3**

*Vega Park Futsal Court*: This will be to prepare the base of a futsal court within the park including excavation, drainage and asphalt court. The intention is then for Sporting KC to incur the cost of finalizing the court for use.

*Mill Street/Southwest Boulevard Pedestrian Crossings*
District 4
Skateboard Park between Heathwood Ball Park and Spray Ground - this would be similar to other skateboard parks recently constructed within the City.

Roberson Athletic Field Concessions - This entails a building with concessions, bathrooms and an eating area to be constructed in a new park facility that currently has high usage.

Walking Trail at Westheight Park – This will entail an asphalt walking circuit in an established park.

Mac Park – Full size basketball court with new posts and glass backboards. Perhaps a later phase to add a covered structure to allow for longer seasonal use.

District 5
District 5 allocation is being dedicated in its entirety to the Piper Community Center.

District 6
Ditches in Morris area-Gibbs Road between 65th and 73rd

District 6 Bus Stop Locations
Crest Dr & 55th St
Ottawa & 47th St
Sky Line Apts entrance
Quivira Hills Mobile Home Park

District 7
Futsal Court at Stony Point Park

District 7 Bus Stop Locations
USD 500 Bus Routes:
72nd, North of Eisenhower
41st & Georgia to 45th & Georgia
84th & Tauroomee
72nd & Ridge
72nd & Gilmore
72nd & Kansas Ave
73rd & Kansas Ave
74th & Kansas Ave
76th Terrace & Kansas Ave
81st Terr. & Ella Ave
80th Terr. & Riverview
82nd Terr. & Northrup A
72nd & Ridge Ave.
77th & Riverview
75th & Lyon Ave
72nd & Montana Ave
61st Terr & Oakland

District 8
Walking Trail at Presidential Highlands Park – This neighborhood park will receive a walking circuit within the park boundaries to encourage exercise.
District 8 Bus Stop Locations
College Park entrance
Terrace Point entrance
Tarumee & 65th St
Creekwood Apartments
Creekside MHP entrance
69th St & State Ave

District 1 At Large
City Park North, near 32nd and Ford (completed with 2013 Allocation)
Rosedale Park, near 41st and Mission Road (completed with 2013 Allocation)
Mac Park, near Glendale and Garfield
Wyandotte County Lake Park, near 91st and Leavenworth Rd
Thomson Park, near 59th and Nogard
City Park North, near 32nd and Ford
Wyandotte County Park, near 126th and State
Quindaro Park South, near 34th and Sewell
Klamm Park, near 22nd and Cleveland
Northrup Park, near 10th and Grandview
Stony Point Park, near 86th and Elizabeth
Rosedale Park, near 41st and Mission Road
St. Margaret's Park, near 7th and Holmer
Edgerton Park, near 3rd and Edgerton
West Height, near 22nd and Wood.

District 2 At Large
Alvey Field, Re-seed or replace field surface with artificial turf.
Traffic Calming on 55th Street (Turner Recreation)

School Bus Waiting Areas  Installation of pedestrian waiting areas at various designated stops
for school buses in the Kansas City, Kansas Public Schools District (USD 500) and Turner
School District (USD 202), including sidewalks, concrete pads for pedestrian standing, grading,
pavement widening, reshaping ditches and shoulders, storm sewer and culvert upgrades,
pavement markings, signage, lighting modifications, utility adjustments, and ADA compliant
curb ramps. Locations may include:

Turner School District (USD 202) Bus Routes:
College Park entrance at 71st St. & Armstrong
Terrace Point entrance at 72nd St
Tarumee & 65th St
Creekwood Apartments
Creekside Mobile Home Park entrance
69th St & State Ave

KCK Public Schools (USD 500) Bus Routes:
63rd & May Lane
63rd & Cernech Rd
65th & Cernech Rd
58th & Edith
57th & Parkview Ave
57th & Farrow Ave
58th & Yecker Ave
57th & Webster Ave
57th & Roswell Ave
57th & Lathrop Ave
63rd & Longwood
63rd & Yecker Ave
62nd & Longwood Ave
62nd & Yecker
62nd & Webster
63rd & Waverly Ave
65th & Everett
66th & Garfield
65th & 66th Dr.
67th & Donahoo Rd
77th & Riverview
61st Terr & Oakland
61st Terr & Freeman Ave
60th & Freeman Ave
60th & Oakland Ave
61st & Everett Ave
Arcadia St. & Arcadia Ct.

Parks Department Six park locations considered for funding for resurfacing issues, addition of Futsal courts, park furnishings and play equipment
Associated Wholesale Grocers (AWG) has consolidated their offices into a newly constructed Corporate office tower located at 5000 Kansas Ave in Kansas City, KS. Previously, the Board of Commissioners passed O-58-12 authorizing the issuance of $6.5M in IRBs and a PILOT schedule incorporating a 80% tax abatement on just the new office tower; the PILOT also included base tax values for the existing land and improvements. However, when COTA granted the exemption, it was only granted on the new office tower financed with the $6.5M in IRBs. Therefore the current PILOT includes the other land and improvements, but that property is also be subject to Ad Valorem taxes. The current request if for the issuance of $17,248,290 in IRBs, enough to finance all the AWG property. The PILOT would remain the same, with only the new Office tower eligible for the tax abatement. The PILOT will require a Public Hearing, anticipated to be held on November 20th.

Action Requested:
Pass Ordinance authorizing the issuance of $17,248,290 in IRBs.

Budget Impact: (if applicable)
Amount: $36,000.00
Source:
- Included In Budget
- Other (explain) Bond issuance fee.
ORDINANCE NO. O-_____-14

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, TO ISSUE TAXABLE INDUSTRIAL REVENUE BONDS (AWG RRE, LLC PROJECT), IN A PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $17,248,290, FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING FUNDS TO PAY THE COST OF ACQUIRING, IMPROVING, CONSTRUCTING, INSTALLING AND EQUIPPING OF A COMMERCIAL FACILITY, INCLUDING LAND, BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES, IMPROVEMENTS AND FIXTURES; AUTHORIZING THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT TO ENTER INTO A TRUST INDENTURE; AUTHORIZING THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT TO ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT WITH AWG RRE, LLC; AUTHORIZING THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT TO ENTER INTO A BOND PURCHASE AGREEMENT IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH BONDS, AND AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING THE EXECUTION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS AND THE TAKING OF OTHER ACTIONS IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF SAID BONDS.

WHEREAS, the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas, Kansas (the “Unified Government”), is authorized pursuant to the provisions of K.S.A. 12-1740 to 12-1749d, inclusive, as amended (the “Act”), to acquire, purchase, construct, install and equip certain commercial and industrial facilities, and to issue industrial revenue bonds for the purpose of paying the cost of such facilities, and to lease such facilities to private persons, firms or corporations; and

WHEREAS, the governing body of the Unified Government has heretofore and does now find and determine that it is desirable in order to promote, stimulate and develop the general economic welfare and prosperity of the Unified Government and the State of Kansas that the Unified Government issue its Taxable Industrial Revenue Bonds (AWG RRE, LLC Project) in a principal amount not to exceed $17,248,290, for the purpose of acquiring, constructing, improving and equipping commercial facilities located at 5000 Kansas Avenue, 4715 Speaker Road and 4765 Speaker Road, consisting of an approximately 1,019,697 aggregate square feet of warehouse and office facilities (the “Project”), and that the Unified Government lease the Project to AWG RRE, LLC, a Kansas corporation (the “Lessee”); and

WHEREAS, the governing body of the Unified Government further finds and determines that it is necessary and desirable in connection with the issuance of these bonds that the Unified Government enter into certain agreements, and that the Unified Government take certain other actions and approve the execution of certain other documents as herein provided;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Authorization for the Acquisition, Purchase, Construction, Installation and Equipping of the Project. The Unified Government is hereby authorized to provide for the acquisition,
purchased, constructed, installed and equipped of the Project, all in the manner and as more particularly described in the Indenture and the Lease hereinafter authorized.

Section 6. Ad Valorem Tax Abatement. In consideration of the Company’s decision to acquire, construct, improve and equip the Project, the Unified Government hereby agrees to take all appropriate action to request the Kansas Court of Tax Appeals to approve a 100% ad valorem property tax abatement (not including special assessments) for all real property financed with the proceeds of the Bonds.

The Unified Government and the Company shall enter into a Performance Agreement in substantially the form approved by the Governing Body for the Project. The Project financed with the proceeds of the Bonds shall be entitled to a 10-year tax abatement, with the first year of the abatement being the year beginning on the January 1 following the year the Bonds are issued by the Unified Government. The percentage of abatement is subject to adjustment in accordance with the Performance Agreement.

The Company agrees to submit to the Unified Government by March 1st of each year, an annual certification of the Company of the percentage of its employees employed at the Project on the previous December 31st that are residents of Wyandotte County as shown on the records of the Company.

In consideration of the Unified Government’s agreement to request 100% ad valorem property tax abatement, the Company will agree to make an annual fixed payment in lieu of tax to the Unified Government during the term of the abatement for the Project equal to the amounts set forth below
### Abatement Year PILOT PAYMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abatement Year</th>
<th>PILOT PAYMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (2015)</td>
<td>$579,560.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$609,416.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$731,511.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$745,615.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$760,001.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$774,675.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$789,643.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$804,910.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$820,482.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$836,365.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section 2. Authorization of and Security for the Bonds.** The Unified Government is hereby authorized to issue and sell its Taxable Industrial Revenue Bonds (AWG RRE, LLC Project) Series 2014, in a principal amount not to exceed $17,248,290 (the “Bonds”), for the purpose of providing funds to pay the cost of acquiring, purchasing, constructing, installing and equipping the Project. The Bonds shall be issued and secured pursuant to the herein authorized Indenture and shall bear such date, shall mature at such time, shall be in such denominations, shall bear interest at such rates, shall be in such form, shall be subject to redemption and other terms and conditions, and shall be issued in such manner, subject to such provisions, covenants and agreements, as are set forth in the hereafter defined Indenture. The Bonds shall be payable solely out of the rents, revenues and receipts derived by the Unified Government from the Project, and the Project and the net earnings derived by the Unified Government from the Project shall be pledged and assigned to the hereafter defined Trustee as security for payment of the Bonds as provided in the Indenture.

**Section 3. Authorization of Documents.** The Unified Government is hereby authorized to enter into the following documents, in substantially the forms presented to and reviewed by the governing body of the Unified Government (copies of which documents, upon execution thereof, shall be filed in the office of the Unified Government Clerk), with such changes therein as shall be approved by the officers of the Unified Government executing such documents, such officers’ signatures thereon being conclusive evidence of their approval thereof:

(a) Trust Indenture (the “Indenture”), between the Unified Government and UMB Bank, N.A. (the “Trustee”), pursuant to which the Bonds shall be issued and the Unified Government shall pledge the Project and assign the rents, revenues and receipts received pursuant to the hereafter defined Lease to the Trustee for the benefit of and security of the holder of the Bonds upon the terms and conditions as set forth in said form of Indenture;

(b) Lease Agreement (the “Lease”), between the Unified Government and the Lessee under which the Unified Government will agree to use the proceeds derived from the sale of the Bonds for the purpose of acquiring, purchasing, constructing, installing and equipping the Project
and to lease the Project to the Lessee, and the Lessee will agree to make payments in amounts sufficient to provide for the payment of the principal of, redemption premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds as the same become due;

(c) a Bond Purchase Agreement (the “Bond Purchase Agreement”) with respect to the Bonds, among the Unified Government, the Lessee and AWG RRE, LLC, as purchaser (the “Purchaser”); and

(d) Performance Agreement (the “Performance Agreement”), between the Unified Government and the Lessee.

Section 4. Execution of Bond and Documents. The Mayor/Chief Executive of the Unified Government is hereby authorized and directed to execute the Bonds and to deliver the Bonds to the Trustee for authentication for and on behalf of and as the act and deed of the Unified Government in the manner provided in the Indenture. The Mayor/Chief Executive of the Unified Government is hereby authorized and directed to execute the Indenture, the Lease, the Bond Purchase Agreement, the Performance Agreement and such other documents, certificates and instruments as may be necessary or desirable to carry out and comply with the intent of this Ordinance, for and on behalf of and as the act and deed of the Unified Government. The Clerk of the Unified Government is hereby authorized and directed to attest to and affix the seal of the Unified Government to the Bonds, the Indenture, the Lease, the Bond Purchase Agreement, the Performance Agreement and such other documents, certificates and instruments as may be necessary.

Section 5. Further Authority. The Unified Government shall, and the officers, employees and agents of the Unified Government are hereby authorized and directed to, take such action, expend such funds and execute such other documents, certificates and instruments as may be necessary or desirable to carry out and comply with the intent of this Ordinance and to carry out, comply with and perform the duties of the Unified Government with respect to the Bonds, the Indenture, the Lease, the Bond Purchase Agreement and the Performance Agreement.

Section 6. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage, approval and publication in the official Unified Government newspaper.

PASSED by the Governing Body of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas, this 20th day of November, 2014.

________________________________________
Mayor/CEO

[SEAL]

ATTEST:

________________________________
Unified Government Clerk
Staff Request for Commission Action

Type: Standard
Committee: Economic Development and Finance Committee

Date of Standing Committee Action: 11/3/2014
(If none, please explain):

Proposed for the following Full Commission Meeting Date: 11/20/2014
Confirmed Date: 11/20/2014

Changes Recommended By Standing Committee (New Action Form required with signatures)

Date: 10/13/2014
Contact Name: George Brajkovic
Contact Phone: x 5749
Contact Email: gbrajkovic@wycock.org
Ref: 
Department / Division: Economic Development

Item Description:
General Motors, LLC has completed the Paint Shop addition to the Fairfax Assembly Plant. The $80M Project includes a 400,000sqft addition that solidifies the job retention efforts by GM for the site. Previously, the Board of Commissioners had approved R-59-12, September 6, 2012, granting the tax abatement on real property. Originally contemplated to involve the use of IRBs, but as KSA 79-260 was enacted, the exemption will be offered via the new Statute. The Performance Agreement outlines a 50% PILOT for the expansion. Additionally, if Automotive suppliers that service the Plant locate within Wyandotte County, there can be an additional 5% per supplier applied to the PILOT; however, the PILOT will never be more than 75%.

Action Requested:
Adopt Resolution which approves the Performance Agreement.

Publication Required

Budget Impact: (if applicable)

Amount: $
Source:
- Included In Budget
- Other (explain) Policy action by Commission. Positive tax impact to government.

File Attachment
RESOLUTION NO. R- _____ -14

RESOLUTION APPROVING A PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT WITH GENERAL MOTORS LLC IN CONNECTION WITH PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTION

WHEREAS, the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the “Unified Government”), desires to promote, stimulate and develop the general welfare and economic prosperity of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas and their inhabitants and thereby to further promote, stimulate and develop the general welfare and economic prosperity of the State of Kansas; and

WHEREAS, General Motors LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the “Company”), has requested the Unified Government to assist with a property tax exemption for new automobile manufacturing property for a facility expansion at an estimated cost of $80,000,000 (the “Project”); and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government is authorized and empowered under the provisions of K.S.A. 79-260 (the “Act”), to require the payments in lieu of taxes related to the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government previously approved Resolution R-59-12 on September 6, 2012, providing for the granting of tax abatement on real property improvements for the Project on economic terms consistent with the proposed Performance Agreement between the Company and the Unified Government (the “Performance Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, it is hereby found and determined to be advisable and in the interest and for the welfare of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas and their inhabitants that the Unified Government to enter into the Performance Agreement with the Company for certain payments in lieu of taxes and related matters.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Authorization and Approval of Performance Agreement. The Performance Agreement between the Unified Government and the Company is hereby approved in substantially the forms presented to and reviewed by the Unified Government (a copy of which, upon execution thereof, shall be filed in the office of the Unified Government Clerk), and the Unified Government is hereby authorized to execute and deliver the Performance Agreement with such changes therein (including the dated date thereof) as shall be approved by the officials of the Unified Government executing such document, such officials’ signatures thereon being conclusive evidence of their approval and the Unified Government’s approval thereof. The Mayor/CEO of the Unified Government is hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the Performance Agreement for and on behalf of and as the act and deed of the Unified Government. The Unified Government Clerk of the Unified Government is hereby authorized and directed to attest, by manual signature, to the Performance Agreement and such other documents, certificates and instruments as may be necessary or desirable to carry out and comply with the intent of this Resolution.

Section 2. Further Action. Counsel to the Unified Government and Gilmore & Bell, P.C., special counsel for the Unified Government, together with the officers and employees of the Unified Government,
are hereby authorized to take such further action, and execute such other documents, certificates and instruments, as may be necessary or desirable to carry out and comply with the intent of this Resolution.

Section 3. Effective Date. This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force immediately after its adoption by the Governing Body of the Unified Government.


By: ______________________________
    Mayor/CEO of the Unified
    Government of Wyandotte County/
    Kansas City, Kansas
(Seal)

Attest:

By: ______________________________
    Unified Government Clerk
PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

Dated as of: October 1, 2014

BETWEEN THE

UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS

AND

GENERAL MOTORS LLC

Prepared By:

Gilmore & Bell, P.C.
Kansas City, Missouri
THIS PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT, dated as of October 1, 2014 (the “Agreement”), between the UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Kansas (the “Unified Government”), and GENERAL MOTORS LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the “Company”);

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, any new automobile manufacturing property, as defined in House Substitute for Senate Bill No. 83 (the “Abatement Statute”) shall be exempt from all property taxes levied under the laws of Kansas;

WHEREAS, the Unified Government is authorized by the Abatement Statute to enter into this Agreement for payments in lieu of taxes;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the foregoing, the Unified Government desires to enter into this Agreement with the Company in consideration of the Company’s desire to purchase, construct and equip new automobile manufacturing property (as defined under the Abatement Statute) to be located in Kansas City, Kansas on the real property legally described on Exhibit A attached hereto (the “Project Site”) and consisting of an approximately 800,000 total (base footprint is 400,000 square feet) square foot paint facility (the “Project” or “Exempt Property”);

WHEREAS, the Unified Government previously approved Resolution R-59-12 on September 6, 2012, providing for the granting of tax abatement on real property improvements for the Project on economic terms consistent with this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the parties are entering into this Agreement in order to meet the requirements under the Abatement Statute to establish the amount of the payment in lieu of taxes for the Project, which economic terms are consistent with the terms of R-59-12.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and the mutual representations, covenants and agreements herein contained, the Unified Government and the Company hereby represent, covenant and agree as follows:

ARTICLE I
DEFINITIONS

Section 1.1. Definitions of Words and Terms. In addition to the defined terms set forth in the recitals, the following words and terms as used herein shall have the following meanings:

“Agreement” means this Performance Agreement dated as of October 1, 2014, between the Unified Government and the Company, as from time to time amended and supplemented in accordance with the provisions hereof.

“Applicable Percentage” means the applicable percentage set forth in Section 2.3 hereof.
“Board of Tax Appeals” means the State of Kansas Board of Tax Appeals.

“Company” means General Motors LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and its successors and assigns.

“Event of Default” means any Event of Default as described in Section 5.1 hereof.

“Exempt Period” means ten (10) calendar years, commencing with the calendar year following the calendar year in which the construction of the Project is completed, subject to reduction pursuant to Section 2.4 hereof.

“Tax Payment” means an amount equal to the amount set forth in Section 2.3 hereof.

“Unified Government” means the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas.

ARTICLE II

EXEMPTION; PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAX

Section 2.1. Unified Government to File for Exemption. The Unified Government agrees to file the necessary application for exemption with the Board of Tax Appeals.

Section 2.2 Agreement to Make Tax Payments. The Company covenants and agrees that, for each calendar year during the Exempt Period, the Company will make a payment in lieu of tax to the Unified Government (or, if the Unified Government shall direct, to the county treasurer) for the Project in an amount calculated in the manner set forth in Section 2.3. The county treasurer shall apportion such in lieu of tax payments among the taxing subdivisions as required by the Abatement Statute. In addition, the county clerk shall compute the total amount of the property taxes which would be levied upon the Project if the Project were not Exempt Property as required by the Abatement Statute.

Section 2.3. Amount of Tax Payment. Each “Tax Payment” shall be equal to the sum of the Applicable Percentage multiplied by the amount of the ad valorem taxes which would otherwise be due with respect to the Exempt Property if such Exempt Property were not exempt from ad valorem taxes. For each calendar year, the Tax Payment with respect to such calendar year shall be due and payable on or before December 20th.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax Year</th>
<th>Projected Calendar Year</th>
<th>Percentages for Payments In Lieu of Tax for Real Property</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1*</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - this year shall be the first calendar following completion of construction of the Project – construction is currently estimated to be completed by year-end 2014 and operational by year-end 2015.

The above percentages for Tax Payments may be decreased by 5% for each supplier for the Company that locates in Wyandotte County, Kansas, commences operations to supply parts to the Company from such facility in Wyandotte County, Kansas and continuously supplies parts to the Company for the calendar years from such facility in Wyandotte County, Kansas. Such suppliers shall be evidenced by the Annual Certificate from the Company as provided in Section 3.2 hereof.

Notwithstanding the preceding paragraph, regardless of how many suppliers locate in Wyandotte County, the Tax Payment shall never be less than 25%.

**Section 2.4. Term of Agreement.** This Agreement shall become effective upon execution, and subject to earlier termination pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement (including particularly the following sentence and Article V hereof), shall terminate on December 31 of the year in which the actual final Tax Payment is made under **Section 2.3**.

**Section 2.5. No Abatement of Special Assessments.** The Unified Government and the Company hereby agree that the Abatement Statute and any tax abatement with respect to the Project shall not apply to special assessments. In the event special assessments are ever abated, the Company hereby agrees that 100% of the amount of such abated special assessments shall be paid to the Unified Government at the times and in the manner that Tax Payments are paid to the Unified Government pursuant to **Section 2.2** hereof.

**Section 2.6. Obligation of Unified Government to Effect Tax Abatement.** The Unified Government agrees to make all filings required by the Board of Tax Appeals or such other entities as are required by the Abatement Statute and any regulations thereunder; provided, however, the Unified Government shall not be liable for any failure of the Board of Tax Appeals to effect the exemption permitted by the Abatement Statute. The Unified Government covenants that it will not knowingly take any action which the Unified Government has knowledge may cause the Project to no longer be Exempt Property. In the event the Project is determined to no longer be Exempt Property, the Unified Government shall, at the Company’s request, cooperate with the Company in all reasonable ways to cause the Project to be Exempt Property, including cooperating with the Company in any related litigation. The Company agrees to pay to
the Unified Government the costs that the Unified Government incurs (including reasonable out of pocket legal fees and expenses) in cooperating with the Company in the manner required by this Section.

Section 2.7. **Classification; Limitation on Company’s Right To Protest.** During the term of this Agreement, the Company agrees that it will not, without the written consent of the Unified Government, (i) seek to change the classification of all or any portion of the Project Site from commercial to another classification, or (ii) contest the reclassification of all or any portion of the Project Site to commercial.

Except as set forth in the preceding paragraph, nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to limit or in any way restrict the ability of the Company to utilize any provision of Kansas or local law to appeal, protest or otherwise contest any property tax valuation, assessment, tax abatement policy or similar action, process, governing law or regulation with respect to the Project, the Project Site, any other real or personal property located on or used in connection with the Project Site or any portion thereof.

Section 2.8. **Credits for Tax Payments; No Duplicate Tax Liability.** Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to require the Company to make duplicate tax payments. The Company shall receive as a credit against its obligations to pay the Unified Government Tax Payments, the amount of any ad valorem taxes (other than special assessments) paid by the Company to the County to the extent that the amounts paid to the County include any taxes due with respect to the Exempt Property in excess of the Tax Payments due under Section 2.3.

Section 2.9. **Tax Abatement Order; Adjustment of Applicable Percentage.** The Unified Government and the Company acknowledge that, prior to the Project being determined to be Exempt Property, the Unified Government must obtain on behalf of the Company an order from the Board of Tax Appeals approving tax abatement on the Project for the Exempt Period.

Section 2.10. **Determination of Appraised Value and Assessments.** The Company acknowledges that the county appraiser independently determines the appraised value of Project. The Company further acknowledges that the Unified Government does not have input in or in any way control the determination of the appraised value of Project or the assessment of Project, and that the Unified Government cannot and is not attempting to bind the county appraiser or any other governmental authority with respect to a determination of the appraised value of the Project.

**ARTICLE III**

COVENANTS OF THE COMPANY

Section 3.1. **Compliance with Laws.** The Company will utilize commercially reasonable efforts to cause the Project to comply in all material respects with all applicable building and zoning, health, environmental and safety ordinances and regulations and all other applicable laws, rules and regulations.

Section 3.2. **Annual Certification.** The Company agrees to provide to the Unified Government upon annual request from the Unified Government by March 1st of each year beginning after the Project is operational which is anticipated to be by year-end 2015; it is anticipated that the first report will be March 1, 2016 and the certification is to be in substantially the form attached hereto as **Exhibit B.**
Section 3.3. Employment Covenants. As a result of the Company’s estimated capital investment in the Project of $80,000,000 and this being a job retention project, it is not anticipated that any new jobs will be created for the Project.

Section 3.4. Expenses and Annual Administrative Fee. Upon receipt of invoices, the Company agrees to pay: (a) all fees of the Board of Tax Appeals and all legal fees of the Unified Government in connection with preparation of this Agreement, and (b) an annual administrative fee of $5,000 to the Unified Government by March 1st of each year beginning March 1, 2015.

Section 3.5. Scope of the Project. The Company agrees that the Project shall meet the requirements of the Abatement Statute in that it: (a) will include a building or addition to a building constructed after December 31, 2011, having not less than 50,000 square feet or floorspace, and (a) was purchased or constructed after December 31, 2011, for a total cost of not less than $10,000,000, including the cost of both land and buildings.

Section 3.6. NAICS Code. The Company represents that its NAICS Code is 336111 which meets the requirements of the Abatement Statute.

ARTICLE IV
SALE AND ASSIGNMENT

The benefits granted by the Unified Government to the Company pursuant to this Agreement shall belong solely to the Company and such benefits shall not be transferred (other than to an affiliate of the Company), assigned, pledged or in any other manner hypothecated without the express written consent of the Unified Government; provided, nothing herein shall preclude the Company from assigning or pledging its interest in the Project so long as the Company continues to occupy the Project and otherwise remains responsible for its undertakings herein.

ARTICLE V
DEFAULT AND REMEDIES

Section 5.1. Events of Default. If any one or more of the following events shall occur and be continuing, it is hereby defined as and declared to be and to constitute an “Event of Default” hereunder:

(a) the Company shall fail to perform any of its obligations hereunder; or

(b) the Company shall breach any covenant contained herein or any representation of the Company contained herein shall prove to be materially false or erroneous.

Section 5.2. Remedies on Default. Upon the occurrence of an Event of Default hereunder, the Company shall be given 60 days (or such longer period as the Unified Government and the Company may agree), following written notice by the Unified Government to the Company of the occurrence of such Event of Default, to cure such Event of Default. If such Event of Default is not cured within such time, this Agreement may be terminated by written notice to the Company from the Unified Government. Such termination shall be effective immediately following delivery of such written notice. Upon the termination of this Agreement, the Company shall make a payment to the Unified Government (or as the Unified Government may otherwise direct) in an amount equal to the sum of (i) all due but unpaid Tax Payments
attributed to prior calendar years, (ii) the pro rata total Tax Payments that would be due with respect to the current calendar year, (iii) the pro rata amount of any taxes that would be due for the remaining portion of the current calendar year assuming the Project were not Exempt Property, and (iv) the amount of any reasonable out of pocket costs and attorneys’ fees incurred by the Unified Government as a result of such Event of Default as in enforcing this Agreement.

Section 5.3. Payments on Defaulted Amounts. Any amounts due hereunder which are not paid when due shall bear interest at the interest rate imposed by Kansas law on overdue ad valorem taxes from the date such payment was first due. In addition, amounts payable hereunder in lieu of ad valorem taxes which are not paid when due shall be subject to the same penalties imposed by Kansas law on overdue ad valorem taxes.

ARTICLE VI

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

Section 6.1. Notice and Waiver of Company. The Unified Government reserves the right to grant tax abatement for projects that are located adjacent to or in the proximity of the Project or for projects that are located elsewhere within the Unified Government but are similar to the Project in amounts that are above or below the amounts set forth herein. The Company acknowledges and agrees that the Applicable Percentage, the Exempt Period and the other terms of the tax abatement granted by the Unified Government with respect to such other projects may be more favorable than the terms provided for in this Agreement. As a condition to the Unified Government entering into this Agreement, the Company waives any claim it may have against the Unified Government as a result of the Unified Government granting tax abatement to other projects with terms that are more favorable than the terms provided for in this Agreement. Additionally, the Company agrees that it will not request that the Unified Government modify this Agreement because the Unified Government plans to grant or has granted tax abatement to another project or projects on terms that are more favorable than the terms provided for in this Agreement. Upon the occurrence of the Company’s breach of its obligations set forth in this Section, the Unified Government shall have the right to immediately terminate this Agreement and require that the Company pay to the Unified Government the amounts specified in clauses (i) through (iv) of Section 5.2.

Section 6.2. Notices. All notices, certificates or other communications required or desired to be given hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed duly given when (i) mailed by registered or certified mail, postage prepaid, or (ii) sent by overnight delivery or other delivery service which requires written acknowledgment of receipt by the addressee, addressed as follows:

(a) To the Unified Government:
Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas
701 North 7th Street
Kansas City, Kansas 66101-3064
Attention: Unified Government Clerk
Section 6.3. Mutual Assistance. The Unified Government and the Company each agree to take such actions as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the terms, provisions and intent of this Agreement and to aid and assist each other in carrying out said terms, provisions and intent. In addition, if legislation is proposed by or in any governmental body having jurisdiction over the Project which would have the effect of limiting the tax abatement under the Abatement Statute or this Agreement, the Unified Government agrees to use its best efforts to assure that the Company shall receive the benefits of the Abatement Statute and this Agreement as in effect prior to the effective date of any such legislation.

Section 6.4. Severability. If for any reason any provision of this Agreement shall be determined to be invalid or unenforceable, the validity and enforceability of the other provisions hereof shall not be affected thereby.

Section 6.5. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be construed in accordance with and governed by the laws of the State of Kansas.

Section 6.6. Execution in Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed simultaneously in several counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original and all of which shall constitute but one and the same instrument.

Section 6.7. Waiver. The Company shall not be entitled to any extension of payment of such amounts as a result of a filing by or against the Company in any bankruptcy court.

[The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.]
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed in their respective corporate names and attested by their duly authorized officers, all as of the date first above written.

UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS

(SEAL) By:________________________________________
Mayor/CEO

ATTEST:

By: _____________________________________
Unified Government Clerk
GENERAL MOTORS LLC

By: 
Name: Colleen Freeburg
Title: Global Director and General Counsel – Global Indirect Tax
EXHIBIT A

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT SITE
EXHIBIT B

ANNUAL CERTIFICATE

The undersigned duly authorized officer of General Motors LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the "Company") hereby certifies to The Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the "Unified Government") pursuant to that certain Performance Agreement dated October 1, 2014 between the Company and the Unified Government as follows:

1. The Project has been operated by the Company for not less than ___% of the preceding calendar year.
2. The parts suppliers for the Company that have located in Wyandotte County are listed below and are all currently operating and supplying parts to the Company:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplier Name</th>
<th>Supplier Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Date:_______________

GENERAL MOTORS LLC

By: ____________________________
Name: 
Title:
Staff Request for Commission Action

Type: Standard
Committee: Economic Development and Finance Committee

Date of Standing Committee Action: 11/3/2014
(If none, please explain):

Proposed for the following Full Commission Meeting Date: 12/4/2014
Confirmed Date: 12/4/2014

Date: 10/17/2014
Contact Name: George Brajkovic
Contact Phone: x 5749
Contact Email: gbrajkovic@wycock.org
Ref: Department / Division: Economic Development

Item Description:
Lane 4 Property Group has proposed the purchase and redevelopment of the Fairway North Shopping Center, located just east of W 47th Ave & Mission Rd. The center, constructed in 1963, consists of two buildings which total approximately 54,000 sqft. Lane 4 proposes to remodel the center, with a total capital investment of $5.56M. To assist with the redevelopment, the Developer has requested an incentive package that includes: NRA special projects area with a 20 year term, a 1.10% CID sales tax add-on with a 20 year term, and a Home Rule agreement for sharing of incremental sales tax with a 20 year term. The Home Rule agreement pledges 100% of the eligible increment to the project during years 1-10, and then a 50/50 share with the UG in years 11-20. The NRA and Home Rule agreement have a project incentives Cap amount of $1.9M, the CID has no Cap.

Staff is requesting to fast track to the November 6th FC, a Resolution setting a Public Hearing to consider the CID and Development Agreement for December 4, 2014.

ActionRequested:
Hear and offer input on Term Sheet.
Fast track Resolution setting a PH to the November 6th FC.

Publication Required

Budget Impact: (if applicable)

Amount: $
Source:
- Included In Budget
TERM SHEET

Project: Foundation Investors of Kansas, LLC (“Developer”) propose to acquire, redevelop and rehabilitate the commercial and retail center generally located near the northeast corner of 47th Street and Mission Road and commonly addressed as 2816-2872 W. 47th Avenue, in Kansas City Kansas (the “Project”). The Project contains approximately 51,140 square feet of leasable space on approximately 4.44 acres of land.

Estimated Project Costs: The Developer estimates that the Project will cost approximately $5.566 million plus financing costs.

Proposed Public Financing: The Project will receive public financing through the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas through the following mechanisms:

- Neighborhood Revitalization Act: A rebate of 95% of the incremental increase in ad valorem property taxes levied and collected within the Project pursuant to the Kansas Neighborhood Revitalization Act (the “NRA Rebate”). The NRA Rebate period will be for 20 years, but will be subject to the Public Financing Cap (as defined below).

- Sales Tax Rebates: A rebate of a portion of the incremental increase in the UG’s sales tax collected within the Project for a period of 20 years, but will be subject to the Public Financing Cap (the “Sales Tax Rebate”). The dedicated portion of the UG’s sales tax for purposes of the Sales Tax Rebate is the Kansas City general purpose 1% sales tax and the UG’s share of the Wyandotte County 1% sales tax, which is currently 0.94%, for a total of 1.94%, and shall remain 1.94% until the earlier of: (a) 10 years or (b) the Project producing $3.5 million in annual sales. Thereafter, the dedicated portion of the UG’s sales tax for purposes of the Sales Tax Rebate will decrease by 50% to 0.97%.

- Community Improvement District Financing: The imposition of a one and one tenth of a percent (1.10%) tax within the District on the sale of tangible personal property at retail or rendering or furnishing of taxable services within the Project (the “CID Sales Tax”). The CID Sales Tax will be imposed for a term of 20 years, but the CID Sales Tax will not be subject to the Public Financing Cap (as defined below).

Public Financing Cap: The proceeds from the NRA Rebate and the Sales Tax Rebate that will be available to Developer to reimburse or pay for Project Costs shall be limited to an amount which is equal to $1.9 million (the “Public Financing Cap”). Revenues from the CID Sales Tax shall not be subject to the Public Financing Cap.

Base Values and Pay-As-You-Go: All of the above public financing sources will be made available to the Developer on an “as collected” (i.e., Pay-As-You-Go) basis, and such funds shall only be distributed to the Developer when such funds are collected. Developer is not requesting that the UG issue bonds to fund the Project. The base sales for purposes of Sales Tax Rebate are $2.7M, and the base assessed value for purposes of the NRA rebate is $379,600.

Project Costs: Project Costs that are reimbursable for the proposed public financing sources shall include all authorized (whether by statute or policy), including acquisition costs, and vertical improvements. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Developer shall not be reimbursed from public financing sources for any accrued interest on unreimbursed Project Costs. For purpose of the CID Sales Tax, reimbursable Project Costs will not be limited to those associated with the initial acquisition and rehabilitation of the property making up the Project, but will include ongoing maintenance and improvement costs incurred by the Developer during the term of the CID Sales Tax.
PETITION FOR THE CREATION OF A
COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

TO: County Commission,
Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas

The undersigned, being the owner of record, whether resident or not, of the following:

1. More than fifty-five percent (55%) of the land area contained within the
hereinafter described community improvement district; and
2. More than fifty-five percent (55%) by assessed value of the land area contained
within the hereinafter described community improvement district.

hereby petition the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the
"Unified Government") to create a community improvement district and authorize the
proposed project hereinafter set forth, all in the manner provided by K.S.A. 12-6a26, et seq. (the "Act"). In furtherance of such request, the petitioners state as follows:

1. GENERAL NATURE

The general nature of the proposed project (the "Project") consists of the acquisition,
redevelopment and rehabilitation of a commercial retail shopping center containing
approximately 51,140 of leasable square feet at a four acre site located near the
northeast corner of 47th Street and Mission Road, including the development and
construction of related infrastructure and parking improvements. In addition, ongoing
maintenance and upkeep of the property within the boundaries of the community
improvement district shall be a Project eligible for reimbursement.

2. ESTIMATED COST

The estimated or probable cost of the Projects is approximately $5,500,000 plus
financing and interest expense. See the attached EXHIBIT A for a detailed budget.
In addition, ongoing costs associated with the operations and maintenance of property
within the boundaries of the community improvement district shall be eligible for
reimbursement once the costs detailed on EXHIBIT A have been reimbursed in full
plus interest.

3. PROPOSED METHOD OF FINANCING

It is proposed that the Project be financed through a combination of private equity,
private debt, a dedication of sales tax revenue, Neighborhood Rehabilitation Act
rebates and CID Pay-as-you-go financing, as defined in the Act.

4. PROPOSED AMOUNT OF SALES TAX
It is being proposed that the Projects be financed in part through the levying of up to a one and one tenth of a percent (1.10%) add-on sales tax as authorized by the Act (the “Sales Tax”).

5. **PROPOSED METHOD AND AMOUNT OF ASSESSMENT**

It is not being proposed that the Projects be financed through the levying of assessments.

6. **MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED DISTRICT**

A map of the proposed community improvement district (the “District”) is attached hereto as **EXHIBIT B**.

The legal description of the District is attached hereto as **EXHIBIT C**.

7. **NOTICE TO PETITION SIGNERS**

**NAMES MAY NOT BE WITHDRAWN FROM THIS PETITION BY THE SIGNERS HEREOF AFTER THE CITY COMMENCES CONSIDERATION OF THIS PETITION, OR LATER THAN SEVEN (7) DAYS AFTER THE FILING HEREOF WITH THE CITY CLERK, WHICHEVER OCCURS FIRST.**
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned petitioner has executed the above foregoing petition to create the district.

FAIRWAY NORTH SHOPPING CENTER INVESTMENT COMPANY, L.L.C., a Kansas limited liability company

By: 

Stephen Block 
Manager

STATE OF Missouri 
COUNTY OF Jackson

BE IT REMEMBERED, that on this 21 day of October, 2014 before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, came Stephen Block, who is known to me to be the same person who executed the within instrument, and such person duly acknowledged the execution of the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed my official seal the day and year last above written.

(Seal) 

Shelli M. Shane 
Notary Public-Notary Seal 
State of Missouri, Johnson County 
Commission # 12540068 
Notary Public in and for said County and State

My Commission Expires:

October 30, 2016
**The CID may be utilized to reimburse any CID Costs to the full extent permitted under the CID Act and the amounts contained herein shall not be construed as caps, subject to any requirements of the Development Agreement entered into by and between the Developer and the UG. In addition, ongoing costs associated with the operation and maintenance of property within the boundaries of the CID shall be CID Costs eligible for reimbursement once the CID Costs described above have been reimbursed plus interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td>$3,380,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facade and Roof</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lot &amp; Signage</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Hard Construction</td>
<td>$388,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture &amp; Engineering</td>
<td>$70,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>$49,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Soft Costs</td>
<td>$445,196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,566,026</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT B

MAP OF DISTRICT
EXHIBIT C

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF DISTRICT

TRACT 1:

Part of the Southwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 34, Township 11, Range 25, Wyandotte County, Kansas, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning in the Southwest corner of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, thence North along the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 282.69 feet; thence East along a line normal to the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 25 feet; thence North 85 degrees 43 minutes 28 seconds East a distance of 190.15 feet, to a point on the North line of the abandoned Missouri and Kansas Inter-Urban Railway right of way and 214.34 feet East of the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34; thence North 71 degrees 15 minutes 3 seconds East, a distance of 129.39 feet, to a point on the center line of the abandoned Missouri and Kansas Inter-Urban Railway right of way, said point being 46.70 feet Northeasterly from point of curvature of a 4 degree curve; thence North 61 degrees 7 seconds East along the center line of the abandoned Missouri and Kansas Inter-Urban Railway right of way, a distance of 90.30 feet, to a point of curvature; thenceNortheasterly along the center line of the abandoned Missouri and Kansas Inter-Urban Railway right of way, said center line being on a 12 degree curve to the left, a distance of 368.57 feet, to a point on the North line of the Southeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34; thence South 89 degrees 51 seconds East along the North line of the Southwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 22.90 feet to the Northeast corner thereof; thence South 0 degrees 3 minutes 40 seconds West along the East line of the Southwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 666.00 feet to the Southeast corner thereof; thence North 89 degrees 51 minutes West along the South line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 664.50 feet to the point of beginning, EXCEPT that part thereof lying in 47th Street and Mission Road.

AND EXCEPT

All that part of the Southeast Quarter of Section 34, Township 11, Range 25, in Kansas City, Wyandotte County, Kansas, more particularly described as follows: Beginning at the Southwest corner of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, thence North 0 degrees East along the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 217.97 feet; thence South 88 degrees 46 minutes 47 seconds East a distance of 223.05 feet; thence South 0 degrees East a distance of 213.80 feet to a point on the South line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34; thence North 89 degrees 51 minutes West along the South line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34 a distance of 223 feet to the point of beginning, EXCEPT that part used or dedicated for streets, roads or highways.

AND EXCEPT

All that part of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 34, Township 11, Range 25, Wyandotte County, Kansas, more particularly described as follows.

Beginning at a point on the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34 and 217.97 feet North of the Southwest corner thereof; thence North 0 degrees East, along the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 64.72 feet; thence North 90 degrees East, along a line perpendicular to the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 25 feet; thence North 84 degrees 43 minutes 28 seconds East, a distance of 190.15 feet, to a point on the North line of the abandoned Missouri and Kansas Interurban Railway right of way and 214.34 feet East of the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34; thence North 71 degrees 15 minutes 03 seconds East, a distance of 65.53 feet to a point on an existing concrete retaining wall, as now located; thence South 1 degree 31 minutes 10 seconds East, along said retaining wall, a distance of 76.12 feet, to a point 5 feet North of an existing building as now located; thence North 89 degrees 17 minutes 36 seconds West, along a line 5 feet North of and parallel to said building, a distance of 32.69 feet, to a point 5 feet West of said building; thence South 0 degrees 44 minutes 42 seconds West, along a line 5 feet West of and parallel to said building, a distance of 32.80 feet; thence North 88 degrees 46 minutes 47 seconds West, a distance of 245.36 feet, to the point of beginning, EXCEPT that part in Mission Road.

TRACT 2:

A nonexclusive Easement for ingress and egress on, over, and across all of the parking and driveway areas as provided in the instrument labeled "Kansas Warranty Deed", filed in Book 2758, Page 509.
RESOLUTION NO. R-_____14

A RESOLUTION CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR THE GIVING OF NOTICE OF A PUBLIC HEARING ON THE ADVISABILITY OF CREATING A COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT IN THE CITY OF KANSAS CITY, KANSAS TO BE KNOWN AS THE NORTHWOOD SHOPPING CENTER COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT AND REGARDING THE CITY’S INTENT TO LEVY A COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT SALES TAX WITHIN SUCH DISTRICT.

WHEREAS, K.S.A. 12-6a26 et seq (the “Act”) authorizes the governing body of any city or county to create community improvement districts to finance projects within such defined area of the city or county and to levy a community improvement district sales tax and/or special assessments upon property within the district to finance projects; and

WHEREAS, a petition (the “Petition”) was filed with the Unified Government Clerk on October 22, 2014, proposing the creation of the Northwood Shopping Center Community Improvement District (“CID”) under the Act and the imposition of a community improvement district sales tax in order to pay the costs of the CID Project as described in the Petition; and

WHEREAS, the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas (the “Unified Government”) intends to create the CID and to levy a community improvement district sales tax in an amount not to exceed 1.10% as requested in the Petition (the “CID Sales Tax”); and

WHEREAS, the Governing Body hereby finds and determines it to be necessary to direct and order a public hearing on the advisability of creating the CID, approving the CID Project set forth in the Petition, and levying the CID Sales Tax, pursuant to the authority of the Act; and further to provide for giving of notice of said hearing in the manner required by the Act.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY/KANSAS CITY, KANSAS:

Section 1. Petition. The Governing Body hereby finds and determines that the Petition meet the requirements of the Act.

Section 2. Public Hearing. It is hereby authorized, ordered, and directed that the Governing Body shall hold a public hearing, in accordance with the provisions of the Act, on the advisability of creating the CID, approving the CID Project set forth in the Petition, and whether to impose the CID Sales Tax in an amount not to exceed 1.10%, such public hearing to be held on December 4, 2014 at 7:00 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, in the
Commission Chambers, lobby level of the Municipal Office Building, 701 North 7th Street, Kansas City, Kansas, under the authority of the Act.

Section 3. Boundaries of the Proposed CID. The legal description of the property to be contained in the proposed CID is set forth in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. A map generally outlining the boundaries of the proposed CID is attached as Exhibit B hereto, and incorporated herein by reference.

Section 4. Proposed CID Project. The general nature of the proposed project (the “CID Project”) to be funded within the proposed CID are set forth on Exhibit C, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Section 5. Estimated Cost. The estimated cost of the proposed Project is $5,500,000.

Section 6. Method of Financing. The costs of the CID Project will be financed, in part, on a “pay-as-you-go” basis from the revenue generated by the CID Sales Tax, as well as any other applicable revenue streams as may be further set forth in a Development Agreement to be negotiated and executed by and between the Unified Government and the Petitioner, or successors in title.

Section 7. Notice of Public Hearing. The Unified Government Clerk is hereby authorized, ordered, and directed to give notice of said public hearing by publication of this Resolution in the official newspaper of the Unified Government at least once each week for two consecutive weeks. The second notice shall be published at least seven (7) days prior to the date of the public hearing. The Unified Government Clerk is hereby further ordered and directed to mail a copy of this Resolution, via certified mail, return receipt requested, to all property owners within such proposed CID at least ten (10) days prior to the date of the hearing.

Section 8. Effective Date. This Resolution shall be effective upon adoption by the Governing Body.

ADOPTED by the Commission of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas on November 6, 2014.

[SEAL]

__________________________________________
Mayor/CEO

Attest:

__________________________________________
Unified Government Clerk
EXHIBIT A

Legal Description of Proposed CID

TRACT 1:

Part of the Southwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 34, Township 11, Range 25, Wyandotte County, Kansas, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning in the Southwest corner of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, thence North along the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 282.69 feet; thence East along a line normal to the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 25 feet; thence North 85 degrees 43 minutes 28 seconds East a distance of 190.15 feet, to a point on the North line of the abandoned Missouri and Kansas Inter-Urban Railway right of way and 214.34 feet East of the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34; thence North 71 degrees 15 minutes 3 seconds East, a distance of 129.39 feet, to a point on the center line of the abandoned Missouri and Kansas Inter-Urban Railway right of way, said point being 46.70 feet Northeasterly from point of curvature of a 4 degree curve; thence North 61 degrees 7 seconds East along the center line of the abandoned Missouri and Kansas Inter-Urban Railway right of way, a distance of 90.30 feet, to a point of curvature; thence Northeasterly along the center line of the abandoned Missouri and Kansas Inter-Urban Railway right of way, said center line being on a 12 degree curve to the left, a distance of 368.67 feet, to a point on the North line of the Southeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34; thence South 89 degrees 51 seconds East along the North line of the Southwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 22.90 feet to the Northeast corner thereof; thence South 0 degrees 3 minutes 40 seconds West along the East line of the Southwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 666.00 feet to the Southeast corner thereof; thence North 89 degrees 51 seconds West along the South line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 664.50 feet to the point of beginning, EXCEPT that part thereof lying in 47th Street and Mission Road.

AND EXCEPT

All that part of the Southeast Quarter of Section 34, Township 11, Range 25, in Kansas City, Wyandotte County, Kansas, more particularly described as follows: Beginning at the Southwest corner of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, thence North 0 degrees East along the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 217.97 feet; thence South 88 degrees 46 minutes 47 seconds East a distance of 223.05 feet; thence South 0 degrees East a distance of 213.80 feet to a point on the South line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34; thence North 89 degrees 51 minutes West along the South line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34 a distance of 223 feet to the point of beginning, EXCEPT that part used or dedicated for streets, roads or highways.

AND EXCEPT

All that part of the Southwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 34, Township 11, Range 25, Wyandotte County, Kansas, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34 and 217.97 feet North of the Southwest corner thereof; thence North 0 degrees East, along the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 64.72 feet; thence North 90 degrees East, along a line perpendicular to the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34, a distance of 25 feet; thence North 84 degrees 43 minutes 28 seconds East, a distance of 190.15 feet, to a point on the North line of the abandoned Missouri and Kansas Interurban Railway right of way and 214.34 feet East of the West line of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 34; thence North 71 degrees 15 minutes 03 seconds East, a distance of 65.53 feet to a point on an existing concrete retaining wall, as now located; thence South 1 degree 31 minutes 10 seconds East, along said retaining wall, a distance of 76.12 feet, to a point 5 feet North of an existing building as now located; thence North 89 degrees 17 minutes 36 seconds West, along a line 5 feet North of and parallel to said building, a distance of 32.69 feet, to a point 5 feet West of said building; thence South 0 degrees 44 minutes 42 seconds West, along a line 5 feet West of and parallel to said building, a distance of 32.80 feet; thence North 88 degrees 46 minutes 47 seconds West, a distance of 245.36 feet, to the point of beginning, EXCEPT that part in Mission Road.

TRACT 2:

A nonexclusive Easement for ingress and egress on, over, and across all of the parking and driveway areas as provided in the instrument labeled "Kansas Warranty Deed", filed in Book 2758, Page 509.
EXHIBIT B

Map of Proposed CID Boundaries
EXHIBIT C

Description of CID Project

The redevelopment of an approximately 5.5-acre tract of real property located generally at the northeast corner of W. 47th Ave and Mission Road in Kansas City, Kansas and currently containing a shopping center. Such redevelopment shall include, but shall not be limited to, the acquisition, demolition, reconstruction and/or renovation of certain portions of such shopping center, as well as the construction of related infrastructure improvements. It is contemplated that the redeveloped shopping center will be utilized for commercial uses.
Staff Request for Commission Action

Tracking No. 140361

Date of Standing Committee Action: 11/3/2014

Proposed for the following Full Commission Meeting Date: 12/18/2014

Changes Recommended By Standing Committee (New Action Form required with signatures)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>Contact Phone</th>
<th>Contact Email</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Department / Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/21/2014</td>
<td>Charles Brockman</td>
<td>573-5733</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cbrockman@wycokck.org">cbrockman@wycokck.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>Economic Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Item Description:
The Neighborhood Revitalization Act (NRA) tax rebate program current plan years are 2012-2014.

The Economic Development staff is presenting eight recommended changes to the Economic Development & Finance Standing Committee for the 2015-2017 NRA Plan.

A memorandum is attached to the Economic Development & Finance Standing Committee Commissioners which notes the changes being recommended for the 2015-2017 NRA plan years.

Action Requested:
Staff respectfully request a review and discussion of the recommended changes to the 2015-2017 NRA plan.

Publication Required

Budget Impact: (if applicable)

Amount: $

Source:
- Included In Budget
- Other (explain)
Memorandum

TO: Economic Development & Finance Standing Committee Commissioners

THROUGH: George Brajkovic
Economic Development Director

FROM: Charles A. Brockman, Analyst
Economic Development

DATE: October 21, 2014

SUBJECT: Neighborhood Revitalization Act recommended changes to the 2015-2017 Plan

Economic Development, Finance and Legal staff have completed a review and discussion on the recommended changes to the Neighborhood Revitalization Act (NRA) tax rebate program for 2015-2017.

Staff is presenting the following recommended changes to the Economic Development & Finance Standing Committee for the 2015-2017 NRA Plan:

1. An application fee for the following projects:
   - $50.00 for Residential (refundable if the pre-application review is denied)
   - $1,000.00 for Commercial
   - $1,000.00 for Special Project Areas if the construction cost is under $10 million
   - $2,000.00 for Special Project Areas if the construction cost is over $10 million

2. Economic Development staff has the desecration on all NRA projects to set the base.

3. Unlimited transfer of the NRA tax rebate permitted for the term of the original applicant for both residential and commercial.

4. Residential and Commercial application fees are waived if Land Bank property is used. The following uses are not permitted when using the NRA:
   - Gardens
   - Yard extensions (side-lot)
   - Accessory structures

   Land Bank property will have a deed provision for building the structure.

5. Tax delinquency status of applicant review by Economic Development staff (with UG technology upgraded systems, it now allows staff to access the information).

6. Multi-family new construction is owner occupied only (this is a part of the existing policy, but clearing up the language).

7. Removal of the Prevailing Wage option.

8. Propose a new Area 3 that was previously excluded. Even though there are some residential areas, this will be an area of commercial focus along the K-32 corridor.

Additionally, staff will be presenting the recommended changes to the school districts, as well as, a courtesy presentation to the Piper school district.

Attachment: Proposed 2015-2017 NRA Plan Area Map
Neighborhood Revitalization Areas 2014
Wyandotte County, Kansas

Disclaimer of Warranty and Accuracy
Unified Government of Wyandotte Co./Kansas City, KS (UG) makes no representations or warranties about this map or its content, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Users of this map/document do so at their own risk; UG will not be liable to any such user for any loss or damage whatsoever.

Publication Date: 10/17/2014
Contents Copyright © 2014 Unified Government
All Rights Reserved
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