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Board of Commissioners
Unified Government of Wyandotte County / Kansas City, Kansas

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County / Kansas City, Kansas (Unified Government), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Unified Government’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated July 22, 2022. Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the Board of Public Utilities, as described in our report on the Unified Government’s financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors’ testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Unified Government’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Unified Government’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Unified Government’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. We identified a certain deficiency in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2021-001 that we consider to be a significant deficiency.
Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Unified Government’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

The Unified Government’s Response to Findings

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the Unified Government’s response to the findings identified in our audit and described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The Unified Government’s response was not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Allen, Gibbs & Houlik, L.C
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Wichita, Kansas
July 22, 2022
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Independent Auditor’s Report

Board of Commissioners
Unity Government of Wyandotte County / Kansas City, Kansas

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the Unified Government of Wyandotte County / Kansas City, Kansas’ (Unified Government) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Unified Government’s major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2021. The Unified Government’s major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor’s results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

The Unified Government’s basic financial statements include the operations of the Board of Public Utilities, a major fund, whose federal awards are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the year ended December 31, 2021. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the Board of Public Utilities, which engaged other auditors to perform an audit in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.

In our opinion, the Unified Government complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2021.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (Government Auditing Standards); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the Unified Government and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on
compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Unified Government’s compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

**Responsibilities of Management for Compliance**

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the Unified Government’s federal programs.

**Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance**

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the Unified Government’s compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involved collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the Unified Government’s compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we

- exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the Unified Government’s compliance with the compliance referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- obtain an understanding of the Unified Government’s internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Unified Government’s internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

**Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questions costs as items 2021-002, 2021-003, 2021-004, 2021-005, 2021-006, 2021-007 and 2021-008. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.
Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the Unified Government’s response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questions costs. The Unified Government’s response was not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we did identify certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2021-002, 2021-003, 2021-004, 2021-005, 2021-006, 2021-007 and 2021-008 to be significant deficiencies.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the Unified Government’s response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The Unified Government’s response was not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.
Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Unified Government of Wyandotte County / Kansas City Kansas (Unified Government) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the Unified Government’s basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated July 22, 2022, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. We have not performed any procedures with respect to the audited financial statements subsequent to July 22, 2022. We did not audit the financial statements of the Board of Public Utilities, which is both a major fund and 79 percent, 81 percent, and 85 percent, respectively of the assets, net position, and revenues of the business-type activities. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the Board of Public Utilities, is based on the report of the other auditors.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Allen, Gibbs & Houlik, L.C
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Wichita, Kansas
December 12, 2022
SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified? yes no
- Significant deficiencies identified? yes none reported
- Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? yes no

FEDERAL AWARDS

Internal control over major federal programs:

- Material weaknesses identified? yes no
- Significant deficiencies identified? yes none reported

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? yes no

Identification of major federal programs and type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major federal programs: See Below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSISTANCE LISTING NUMBER</th>
<th>NAME OF FEDERAL PROGRAM</th>
<th>OPINION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21.019</td>
<td>COVID - 19 - Coronavirus Relief Funding</td>
<td>Unmodified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.027</td>
<td>COVID - 19 - Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds</td>
<td>Unmodified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93.323</td>
<td>Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC)</td>
<td>Unmodified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93.044 / 93.045 / 93.053</td>
<td>Aging Cluster</td>
<td>Unmodified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.036</td>
<td>Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)</td>
<td>Unmodified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: $ 1,506,341

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? yes no
SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding 2021-001: Purchasing Policy – Professional Services (Significant Deficiency)

In performing additional procedures related to vendors who received purchase orders annually for several years, support could not be provided indicating that certain professional services related to insurance coverage and related personnel services had been subjected to the request for proposal process as outlined by the Unified Government’s purchasing policy.

Criteria or Specific Requirement: Internal controls should be designed to provide adequate control over monitoring that purchases orders processed adhere with the Unified Government’s purchasing policy.

Cause: These contracts have been in place for many years and the underlying support could not be located to support the services had been through the request for proposal process as outlined by the Unified Government’s purchasing policy.

Effect: Purchase orders may not follow the Unified Government’s purchasing policy which may lead to overpayment of services.

Recommendations: We recommend that the Unified Government review purchase orders that have been issued to vendors for multiple years to ensure the services properly followed the Unified Government’s purchasing policy.

Management Response (unaudited): The procurement team will continue to work with departments to develop a plan to issue request for proposals timely to ensure purchase orders issued are compliant with existing contracts and extensions.
SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Finding 2021-002 (Significant Deficiency):
Assistance Listing #21.027, COVID 19 – Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds, U.S. Department of Treasury

Assistance Listing #93.323, COVID 19 – Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Award No. N50CK000549-01 and NU50CK000549-02, Passed through the Kansas Department of Health and Environment.

Condition: Suspension and debarment checks were not completed for all vendors that received federal funds

Criteria: Per 2 CFR 200.318(h), the non-federal entity must award contracts only to responsible contractors possessing the ability to perform successfully under the terms and conditions of a proposed procurement. Consideration will be given to such matters as contract integrity, compliance with public policy, record of past performance, and financial and technical resources. Part of the process for determining if contractors are responsible is to ensure that contractors are not suspended or debarred under 2 CFR 200.213.

Questioned Costs: None noted.

Context: For 21.027, there were 10 vendors subject to suspension and debarment testing. Of those 10, 9 vendors were not evaluated for suspension and debarment that received funds. For 93.323, there were 5 vendors subject to suspension and debarment testing. Of those 5, 3 vendors were not evaluated for suspension and debarment that received funds.

When purchases go through the Unified Government’s procurement department, a suspension and debarment check is completed or is incorporated into the request for proposal process; however, for 9 of these vendors, the procurement did not go through the procurement department and the suspension and debarment checks were not completed. Subsequent review was completed noting that these vendors were not suspended or debarred.

The sample size was determined based up on guidelines provided by the AICPA which is not a statistically valid sample.

Cause: Expenditures for these programs were identified after many of these purchases had taken place. Departments performing their own procurement processes may not have been familiar with federal requirements or known at the time of purchase that this would be reimbursed with federal funds and did not complete the required suspension and debarment checks.

Effect: Federal funds could be paid to entities that are suspended or debarred.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Unified Government communicate to all departments that purchases using federal funds have suspension and debarment and requirements and receive assistance from the procurement department to properly document that suspension and debarment checks are completed.
Management Response (Unaudited): Procurement has begun the process of checking SAM.gov for debarment for potential suppliers. Also, department have been informed of this required step.

Finding 2021-003 (Significant Deficiency):
Assistance Listing #21.027, COVID 19 – Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds, U.S. Department of Treasury

Assistance Listing #93.323, COVID 19 – Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Award No. N50CK000549-01 and NU50CK000549-02, Passed through the Kansas Department of Health and Environment.

Condition: Contracts entered into during the year did not contain the applicable provisions described in appendix II of 2 CFR Part 200.

Criteria: Per 2 CFR 200.327, the non-federal entity’s contracts must contain the applicable provisions described in appendix II of 2 CFR Part 200.

Questioned Costs: None noted.

Context: All contracts tested for both programs did not contain the applicable provisions of appendix II of 2 CFR Part 200.

The sample size was determined based up on guidelines provided by the AICPA which is not a statistically valid sample.

Cause: Standard contract language did not include the required provisions as applicable so they were not built into the contracts entered into during fiscal year 2021.

Effect: Contractors will not be alerted to these provisions and may mis-use federal funding.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Unified Government ensure that applicable provisions of appendix II of 2 CFR Part 200 are included in contracts that use federal funding.

Management Response (Unaudited): Contracts entered into during the year now have an appendix that contains the applicable provisions of appendix II of 2 CFR Part 200. We have also received templates from our grant consultants i-parametrics.
Finding 2021-004 (Significant Deficiency):
Assistance Listing #21.027, COVID 19 – Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds, U.S. Department of Treasury

Condition: Costs were charged to the program prior to the period of performance of March 3, 2021.

Criteria: Per CFR sections 200.308, 200.309 and 200.403(h), a non-federal entity may charge only allowable costs incurred during the approved budget period of a federal award’s period of performance and any costs incurred before the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity made the federal award that were authorized by the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity.

Questioned Costs: $15,706.

Context: $15,706 of $821,636 tested for period of performance was incurred prior to the period of performance beginning period. This program had total expenditures of $27,088,857.

The sample size was determined based up on guidelines provided by the AICPA which is not a statistically valid sample.

Cause: Invoices for the underlying transaction was received during the period of performance; however the actual expenditure was incurred prior to the period of performance.

Effect: Costs could be unallowable if incurred outside of the period of performance.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Unified Government ensure that costs allocated to federal programs are incurred during the period of performance and not just invoiced during the period of performance.

Management Response (Unaudited): Costs incurred outside the period of performance could be unallowed. The Unified Government will ensure that costs allocated to federal programs are incurred during the period of performance.
SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued)

Finding 2021-005 (Significant Deficiency):
Assistance Listing #21.027, COVID 19 – Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds, U.S. Department of Treasury

Assistance Listing #21.019, COVID 19 – Coronavirus Relief Fund, U.S. Department of Treasury, Award #182000006, Passed through the Kansas Department of Commerce (Repeat Finding # 2020-002)

Assistance Listing #93.044/93.045/93.053, Aging Cluster, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Award #'s 2201KSOASS, 2201KSOACM and 2201KSOAHD, Passed through the Kansas Department of Aging

Condition: Suspension and debarment checks were not completed for the subrecipients that received federal funds.

Criteria: Per 2 CFR 200.318(h), the non-federal entity must award contracts only to responsible contractors possessing the ability to perform successfully under the terms and conditions of a proposed procurement. Consideration will be given to such matters as contract integrity, compliance with public policy, record of past performance, and financial and technical resources. Part of the process for determining if contractors are responsible is to ensure that contractors are not suspended or debarred under 2 CFR 200.213.

Questioned Costs: None noted.

Context: The subrecipients were not evaluated for suspension and debarment that received federal funds. It was noted after subsequent check, that subrecipients were not suspended or debarred.

The sample size was determined based up on guidelines provided by the AICPA which is not a statistically valid sample.

Cause: For the Unified Government, this is typically included in the contracts, but was not included in the subrecipient contracts for this program.

Effect: Federal funds could be paid to entities that are suspended or debarred.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Unified Government review current contracts and if suspension and debarment is not in the contracts to do a third-party check using the SAM.gov website. When new programs are established that include subrecipients, we also recommend the contracts include suspension and debarment language.

Management Response (Unaudited): Procurement has begun the process of checking SAM.gov for debarment for potential suppliers. Also, departments have been informed of this required step. Downstream, need to evaluate if this language can be added to the contract templates.
Finding 2021-006 (Significant Deficiency):
Assistance Listing #21.027, COVID 19 – Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds, U.S. Department of Treasury

Condition: For subrecipients that were required to receive a single audit, the Unified Government did not review the audit reports as part of their monitoring process.

Criteria: Per 2 CFR 200.332(d)(1) and (2), the non-federal entity must review financial and performance reports required by the pass-through entity and follow-up and ensure that the subrecipient takes timely and appropriate action on all deficiencies pertaining to the Federal award provided to the subrecipient from the pass-through entity detected through audits, on-site reviews, and written confirmation from the subrecipient, highlighting the status of actions planned or taken to address Single Audit findings related to the particular subaward.

Questioned Costs: None noted.

Context: The subrecipients were monitored through monthly reports provided to the Unified government; however, the Unified Government did not review single audit reports for subrecipients that were required to have a single audit.

The sample size was determined based up on guidelines provided by the AICPA which is not a statistically valid sample.

Cause: The Unified Government did not have a process in place to review if subrecipients were required to have a single audit and review the results of the single audit reports.

Effect: Subrecipients may have findings related to the particular award the Unified Government passed to the subrecipients that does not get addressed.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Unified Government put a process in place to monitor which subrecipients do meet single audit requirements and obtain a copy of the finalized single audit report and follow-up on any findings related to awards the Unified Government passes down to the subrecipients.

Management Response (Unaudited): We have a subrecipient monitoring form and will begin to monitor single audit reports, where applicable.
Finding 2021-007 (Significant Deficiency):
Assistance Listing #93.044/93.045/93.053, Aging Cluster, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Award #'s 2201KSOASS, 2201KSOACM and 2201KSOAHD, Passed through the Kansas Department of Aging

Condition: No risk assessment of the subrecipient was performed.

Criteria: Per 2 CFR 200.332(b), a pass-through entity is required to evaluate each subrecipient’s risk of noncompliance with federal statutes, regulations, and terms and conditions of the subaward.

Questioned Costs: None noted.

Context: The subrecipient for this program is a long-time subrecipient that is familiar with federal compliance requirements, but the risk assessment was not done in writing.

The sample size was determined based up on guidelines provided by the AICPA which is not a statistically valid sample.

Cause: The Unified Government has a long-time relationship with this subrecipient and did not formalize the risk assessment process.

Effect: Federal funds could be improperly utilized by a subrecipient which does not have an adequate understanding of the requirements or tools to support the program.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Unified Government develop procedures to perform a risk assessment on all potential subrecipients before entering into an agreement to provide federal funds to that entity.

Management Response (Unaudited): Aging department is now completing these assessments annually.
Finding 2021-008 (Significant Deficiency):
Assistance Listing #93.323, COVID 19 – Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Award No. N50CK000549-01 and NU50CK000549-02, Passed through the Kansas Department of Health and Environment.

Condition: Quarterly reports were not submitted by the deadline of 15 days after the end of the quarter as required.

Criteria: Per review of the grant award, quarterly reporting was required to be submitted by the 15th day following the end of the quarter.

Questioned Costs: None noted.

Context: All reports tested were filed accurately, but all were filed after 15 days following the end of the quarter.

The sample size was determined based on guidelines provided by the AICPA which is not a statistically valid sample.

Cause: New grant program of the Unified Government which delayed reporting submissions.

Effect: Information may not be received by granting agencies timely and impact granting agencies reporting requirements.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Unified Government ensure that reports are filed within required deadlines.

Management Response (Unaudited): Decentralized staff need to validate the reporting occurs as required.
Findings required to be Reported by the Uniform Guidance

AL #93.778, Medicaid Cluster, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Award No. 1X0CMS330767, Passed through the Kansas Department of Aging.

Finding 2020-001: Documentation of the comparison of budgeted distributions of salaries to actual costs based on time activity reports could not be located for employees that work on multiple activities. Budgeted distributions were changed throughout the year based on quarterly reviews completed; however, due to employee turnover, the supporting files for the quarterly reviews could not be located.

Corrective Action: Management will implement procedures for record retention to ensure required documentation is properly retained.

Status: Completed

AL #21.019, Coronavirus Relief Fund, U.S. Department of Treasury, Award No. 182000006, Passed through the Kansas Department of Commerce.

Finding 2020-002: Suspension and debarment checks were not completed for the subrecipients that received federal funds.

Corrective Action: The Unified Government will implement policies entity-wide to ensure suspension and debarment provisions are included in the necessary grant contracts.

Status: See repeat finding 2021-005
UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY / KANSAS CITY, KANSAS

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Year Ended December 31, 2021

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.
## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

**Year Ended December 31, 2021**

### U.S. Department of Health and Human Services:

#### Passed Through Kansas Department of Aging:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Federal Assistance Listing #</th>
<th>Pass-Through Entity Number</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
<th>Total By Federal Agency</th>
<th>Passed-Through to Subrecipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part B - Grants for Supportive Services and Senior Centers</td>
<td>93.044</td>
<td>2201KSOAS #</td>
<td>80,958</td>
<td>52,234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID 19 - Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part B - Grants for Supportive Services and Senior Centers</td>
<td>93.044</td>
<td>2201KSOAS #</td>
<td>93,235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C - Nutrition Services</td>
<td>93.045</td>
<td>2201KSOACM, 2201KSOAHD #</td>
<td>157,773</td>
<td>75,449</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID 19 - Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C - Nutrition Services</td>
<td>93.045</td>
<td>2201KSOACM, 2201KSOAHD #</td>
<td>355,155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Services Incentive Program</td>
<td>93.053</td>
<td>2201KSOACM, 2201KSOAHD #</td>
<td>59,935</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part D - Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Services</td>
<td>93.043</td>
<td>2201KSOAPH</td>
<td>2,971</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part E - National Family Caregiver Support</td>
<td>93.052</td>
<td>2201KSOAFC</td>
<td>72,779</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part E - National Family Caregiver Support</td>
<td>93.052</td>
<td>2201KSOAFC</td>
<td>32,495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part E - National Family Caregiver Support</td>
<td>93.052</td>
<td>2201KSOAFC</td>
<td>32,495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Passed Through Kansas Department of Health and Environment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Federal Assistance Listing #</th>
<th>Pass-Through Entity Number</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
<th>Total By Federal Agency</th>
<th>Passed-Through to Subrecipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Preparedness Program and Public Health Emergency Preparedness Aligned Cooperative Agreements</td>
<td>93.074</td>
<td>NJ60TPR21936-04</td>
<td>105,664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury Prevention and Control Research and State and Community Based Programs</td>
<td>93.136</td>
<td>NJ157C524968-02</td>
<td>45,718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Planning Services</td>
<td>93.217</td>
<td>FFBPA063644-02</td>
<td>271,748</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Planning Services</td>
<td>93.217</td>
<td>FFBPA063648-02</td>
<td>149,751</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID 19 - Immunization Cooperative Agreements</td>
<td>93.268</td>
<td>NHH03P923267-02-00</td>
<td>22,055</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID 19 - Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC)</td>
<td>93.323</td>
<td>NJ500K000549-01</td>
<td>1,083,504</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID 19 - Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC)</td>
<td>93.323</td>
<td>NJ500K000549-02</td>
<td>758,701</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National and State Tobacco Control Program</td>
<td>93.387</td>
<td>NJ68DP006623-01</td>
<td>11,744</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National and State Tobacco Control Program</td>
<td>93.387</td>
<td>NJ68DP006623-02</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthening Public Health Systems and Services through National Partnerships to Improve and Protect the Nation's Health Child Care and Development Block Grant</td>
<td>93.421</td>
<td>6N0380T000306-01-01</td>
<td>78,992</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care and Development Block Grant</td>
<td>93.575</td>
<td>7NU35MC535891-01</td>
<td>84,099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Assistance Program</td>
<td>93.778</td>
<td>105MC535891-01</td>
<td>165,829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting Grant</td>
<td>93.870</td>
<td>X10MC305891-01</td>
<td>287,174</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer Prevention and Control Programs for State, Triboral Organizations</td>
<td>93.898</td>
<td>5NU58DP006273-00</td>
<td>57,917</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive Health and Health Service Block Grant</td>
<td>93.991</td>
<td>NB01OT009315-01</td>
<td>45,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive Health and Health Service Block Grant</td>
<td>93.991</td>
<td>NB01OT009315-01</td>
<td>45,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive Health and Health Service Block Grant</td>
<td>93.991</td>
<td>B04MC38339-01</td>
<td>236,452</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive Health and Health Service Block Grant</td>
<td>93.991</td>
<td>B04MC38339-01</td>
<td>236,452</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Executive Office of the President:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Federal Assistance Listing #</th>
<th>Pass-Through Entity Number</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
<th>Total By Federal Agency</th>
<th>Passed-Through to Subrecipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program</td>
<td>96.001</td>
<td>G18MW0003A</td>
<td>77,957</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G18MW0003A</td>
<td>77,957</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G19MW0003A</td>
<td>77,957</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20MW0003A</td>
<td>77,957</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### U.S. Department of Homeland Security:

#### Direct Funding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Federal Assistance Listing #</th>
<th>Pass-Through Entity Number</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
<th>Total By Federal Agency</th>
<th>Passed-Through to Subrecipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assistance to Firefighters Grant</td>
<td>97.044</td>
<td>6NU480T000306-01-01</td>
<td>1,060,208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Passed Through Kansas Division of Emergency Management:

#### Federal Awards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Federal Assistance Listing #</th>
<th>Pass-Through Entity Number</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
<th>Total By Federal Agency</th>
<th>Passed-Through to Subrecipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COVID 19 - Disaster Grants - Public Assistance</td>
<td>97.036</td>
<td>PA-97-KS-4504-PW-00063/07</td>
<td>2,717,908</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Federal Assistance Listing #</th>
<th>Pass-Through Entity Number</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
<th>Total By Federal Agency</th>
<th>Passed-Through to Subrecipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**TOTAL: $50,211,377 $3,686,644**

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.
Note 1. Organization

The Unified Government of Wyandotte County / Kansas City, Kansas is the recipient of several federal grants. Various Unified Government departments administer these grant programs. The grants are accounted for in the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds, and Capital Project Funds.

Note 2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of the Unified Government and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information presented in this schedule is in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFR Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements.

Note 3. Local Government Contributions

Local cost sharing, as defined by 2 CFR Part 200, Section 200.306, is required by certain federal grants. The amount of cost sharing varies with each program. Only the federal share of expenditures is presented in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Note 4. Additional Audits

Grantor agencies reserve the right to conduct additional audits of the Unified Government’s grant programs for economy and efficiency and program results which may result in disallowed costs to the Unified Government. However, management does not believe such audits would result in any disallowed costs that would be material to the Unified Government's financial position at December 31, 2021.

Note 5. Scope of Audit Pursuant to The Uniform Guidance

This report does not include the federal financial assistance of the Board of Public Utilities of Kansas City, Kansas. This entity, including the federal financial assistance programs, is audited by other auditors. Copies of financial statements and Single Audit reports can be obtained at the following address:

Board of Public Utilities of Kansas City, Kansas
540 Minnesota Avenue
Kansas City, Kansas 66101
Note 6. Indirect Cost Rate

The Unified Government of Wyandotte County / Kansas City, Kansas has elected not to use the 10-percent de minimis cost rate allowed under Section 200.414(f) of the Uniform Guidance.

Note 7. Revolving Loan Funds

For the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, the amount expended for the U.S. Department of Commerce Revolving Loan Fund (RLF) program is determined as follows:

- Balance of RLF loans outstanding at December 31, 2021: $32,548
- Plus: cash and investment balance in the RLF at December 31, 2021: $21,071
- Plus: administrative expenses paid out of RLF income during the year ended December 31, 2021: $4,560
- Plus: unpaid principal of all loans written off during the year ended December 31, 2021: $0

Subtotal: $58,179

Federal share of the RLF: 73.53%

$42,779

Note 8. Social Services Block Grant Passed Through the Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services

CDDO-KDADS-20-087
Comparison of Expenditures to Budget
For the Contract Period July 1, 2020 Through June 30, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>REVENUES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Contract</td>
<td>$477,891</td>
<td>$238,946</td>
<td>$218,012</td>
<td>$456,958</td>
<td>$(20,933)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$477,891</td>
<td>$238,946</td>
<td>$218,012</td>
<td>$456,958</td>
<td>$(20,933)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Aid</td>
<td>$144,607</td>
<td>$54,237</td>
<td>$69,437</td>
<td>$123,674</td>
<td>$(20,933)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>333,284</td>
<td>150,208</td>
<td>183,076</td>
<td>333,284</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$477,891</td>
<td>$204,445</td>
<td>$252,513</td>
<td>$456,958</td>
<td>$(20,933)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>