Unified Government - Storm Drainage Checklist

Name of Development: Master

Revision: $\underline{0}$ (Initial review = 0) Concur: Reviewer: **Review Date: 04/05/05 Initials** Bill Blackwell Accept: Bill Blackwell Reviewer Reviewability of Plans Engineer's seal and signature is on cover sheet and Revise ____ NA OK report. Comment: 2 Topography shows discharge route to receiving OK Revise NA stream, storm sewer, or improved channel. (Infill may discharge to existing storm sewer.) Comment: Plat is included as part of construction drawing set. OK Revise ____ NA 3 (Not required for DRC building permit.) Comment: Overall layout is included, has readable font and line OK Revise NA 4 selection, limits of construction are clearly indicated, node and line numbers are unique, legible and correlate among layout, profile & data table. Comment: 5 Sub-basin map is included, is readable and basin OK Revise NA identifiers correlate with data table. Comment: Upstream tributary areas are identified and match OK ___ Revise NA 6 ridgelines interpreted from the topography, or topographic evidence clearly shows they do not exist. Except upstream limits of a stream that flows though the site need not be shown. Comment: OK ___ 7 Erosion control plan is included. Revise NA Comment: OK NA Regulatory flood plain is either 1) not present, 2) not Revise 8 impacted, or 3) submittal of a LOMR is documented. Comment: Detention is shown or documented as exempt. OK NA 9 Revise OK___ Terminate Review ____ If any element above is not acceptable, the review is terminated at this point. UG technical provisions established as controlling OK Revise NA 10 specifications. (For DRC building permit review, the note must include all work in public easements and Right-of-Way and all erosion control work.) Comment: Hydrology Data Table and Layout show the same linkages. OK Revise 11 Comment:

12	Acreage of Tributary areas correlate between table	OK	Revise	NA
	and subbasin map			
	Comment:			
	ntion Requirements – All ponds			
13	Actual release rate and required volume are	OK	Revise	NA
	acceptable.			
	Comment:			
14	Actual volume from plan contours exceeds required	OK	Revise	NA
	volume.			
	Comment:			
15	Controlled release consists of a circular pipe, or	OK	Revise	NA
	justification and additional construction details of			
	alternate release are provided, and construction details			
	show tamper proof construction.			
	Comment:			
16	Location, length and material of detention overflow	OK	Revise	NA
	are shown.			
	Comment:			
17	Overflow unit discharge appropriate for cover	OK	Revise	NA
	material. "NA" if offsite tributary area < 150% of			
	onsite tributary area.			
	Comment:			
18	Elevation difference between overflow elevation and	OK	Revise	NA
	lowest openings in adjacent structures or lowest			
	elevation at building setback on adjacent SF lot meets			
	guidelines.			
	Comment:			
	itary Area Less Than 20 Acres			
19	Controlled release is correctly sized.	OK	Revise	NA
	Comment:			
	itary Area Greater Than 20 Acres			
20	Stage storage curves identify the water surface	OK	Revise	NA
	elevation at 50 % of the required detention.			
	Comment:			
21	Low release pipe is correctly sized.	OK	Revise	NA
1	Comment:			
22	The invert elevation of the second stage controlled	OK	Revise	NA
	release (the 100% design point) is greater than the			
	water surface elevation at 50 % of the required			
	detention.			
	Comment:			
23	The second stage analysis recalculates the portion of	OK	Revise	NA
	the flow the low release discharges due to increased			
	headwater depth.			
	Comment:			
24	The second stage release is correctly sized.	OK	Revise	NA
	Comment:			
Main	tenance Issues All Detention Ponds			

25	from ROW or appropriately graded, platted easement. (Exception for DRC building permit review.) Comment:	OK	Revise	NA
26	If wet pond detention is used, pond has settlement forebay (equal to 10 to 15% of total surface area), self-rescue slopes of 8:1 or flatter for 4 feet on either side of controlled water surface and clear water depths in center of main pool > 4'/ Comment:	OK	Revise	NA
Street	t and Lot Drainage System – Small Storm Sewers			
27	Inlet spacing adequate. Comment:	OK	Revise	NA
28	Pipe sizing is adequate. Comment:		Revise	
29	Minimum pipe velocity is adequate. Comment:	OK	Revise	NA
	ts for Small Sewers			
30	Discharge is to a stream, an engineered channel, or a level spreader. Or for infill development to an existing storm sewer. Comment:	OK	Revise	NA
31	If discharge in line with stream or engineered channel (pipe flow represents > 80% of downstream flow) length of riprap is 6 times the pipe diameter beyond the toe of embankment. Comment:	OK	Revise	NA
32	If discharge is lateral discharge to a stream or engineered channel 1) entry is not on the inside of a bend, 2) invert is 0 to 1 foot above the base flow elevation, 3) toe bank protection is provided and extends sufficiently upstream and is keyed in to prevent flanking by the stream. Comment:	OK	Revise	NA
33	If discharge is to level spreader 1) the unit discharge of flow does not exceed that allowable for the downstream ground cover, 2) depth of distribution channel is at least one half the diameter of the discharge pipe. Comment: Storm Sewers	OK	Revise	NA
		OK	Revise	NA
34	100-year design flows are adequate. Comment:	OK	Revise	11/A
35	Diameter and slope listed in table matches profile; and roughness coefficient 'n' meets guidelines. Comment:	OK	Revise	NA
36	Plotted results correlate with table values. Comment:	OK	Revise	NA
37	Inlet control considered at entrance loss. Comment:	OK	Revise	NA

38	Entry and exit loss calculations adequate.	OK	Revise	NA
	Comment:	01/		311
39	Energy slope calculation adequate. Comment:	OK	Revise	NA
40	Energy grade line does not exceed ground surface.	OK	Revise	NA
O-41-	Comment:			
	ets for Large Sewers	OV	Davisa	NIA
41	Energy dissipation is provided by either a rigid energy	OK	Revise	NA
	dissipating structure or by a plunge pool. Comment:			
42	If energy-dissipating structure is used, 1) Design	OK	Revise	NA
13.00	method is submitted in the report and is based on			
	calibrated research models, 2) Dimensions calculated			
	correctly from the methodology.			
	Comment:			
43	If plunge pool is used, 1) the length and width are	OK	Revise	NA
	adequate, 2) location and size of easement for plunge			
	pool is adequate, and 3) riprap is shown for upslope			
	protection and shaped to downstream channel.			
	Comment:			
Main	tenance Issues – All Storm Sewer Systems			County (Colores V
44	Flared end section or headwall used at outlets.	OK	Revise	NA
	Comment:			
45	If connecting to existing public storm sewer, existing	OK	Revise	NA
	nodes are identified by UG node numbers.			
	Comment:	OV	D .	374
46	a) Easements are provided and satisfy width	OK	Revise	NA
	requirements. (Exception for DRC building permit			
	review) b) The centerlines of storm sewer and			
	sanitary sewer are horizontally separated by a distance			
	not less than the difference in invert elevations plus			
	the sum of the diameters. c) For construction period offsite work (such as clearing or erosion control), a			
	notarized right of entry is required. For offsite work			
	that remains after construction has been completed			
	(such as grading and temporary cul-de-sacs) recorded			
	easements are required.			
	Comment:			
47	Pipe diameter does not decrease in the direction of	OK	Revise	NA
	flow through a node in a continuous system.	April 100 miles		
	Exception at detention controlled release.			
	Comment:			
48	Curb inlets openings are between 5 and 8 feet. Area	OK	Revise	NA
	inlets use UG detail.			
	Comment:			

49	detail 1) Embankment fill conditions do not exist or are mitigated, 2) Pipe is RCP under roads and RCP or HDPE elsewhere, 3) A minimum of 2 foot cover is provide at roads or load analysis is provided, 4) Pipe does not end mid-lot on a SF residential lot, except lots > 1 acre, 5) Slope anchors are provided or not required, 6) No reach exceeds 500 feet between access points, 7) Utility lines that cross storm sewers are shown; minimum vertical separation between pipe exteriors is provided – two feet for sanitary sewers and one foot for other utilities. (Exemptions for DCR building permit reviews) Comment:	OK	Revise	INA
Engi	neered Channels			
50	Depth, cross section, and slope correlate between tabulated values and construction plans.	OK	Revise	NA
	Comment:	OV	Revise	NA
51	Minimum velocity and channel lining erosion resistance are adequate.	OK	Kevise	INA
	Comment:			
52	The difference in elevation from the water surface to	OK	Revise	NA
32	the lowest structural opening exceeds 1.0'.			56 (10 000 (10 00)
	Comment:			
Strea	ım Crossings – Roadway Crossings Greater Than 50 S	F Opening		
53	Corps of Engineers NOI filed.	OK	Revise	NA
	Comment:			
54	Located in a tangent or toe bank protection provided	OK	Revise	NA
	sufficiently upstream.			
	Comment:		- ·	214
55	Design flows match regression curve.	OK	Revise	NA
	Comment:	OV	D :	NIA
56	Backwater does not cross property line	OK	Revise	NA
	Comment:	OK	Revise	NA
57	Backwater does not enter street.	OK	Kevise	11/7
58	Comment: Cross section includes a low flow channel and a flood	OK	Revise	NA
30	terrace.	OK	icvise	1471
	Comment:			
59	Outlet erosion control provided.	OK	Revise	NA _
- /	Comment:		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	Occidentes -
Strea	nm Crossing – Utility Crossings			
60	Located in a tangent or toe bank protection provided	OK	Revise	NA
	sufficiently upstream.			
	Comment:			
61	Located in pool or at top of riffle.	OK	Revise	NA
	Comment:			
62	Grade protection in next riffle downstream.	OK	Revise	NA
	Comment:			

Page 5 of 5